

Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ
29839 Santa Margarita, ste
100
Rancho Santa Margarita,
CA 92688

United States District Court
Southern District of Texas
FILED

JAN - 8 2016

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

TAITZ,) Case# 14-cv-00254
v) HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN PRESIDING
JOHNSON, ET AL)

SUMMARY OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

On December 15, 2015 this court held a hearing to determine whether the court should reconsider its' prior order and grant intervener petitions to other prospective interveners in light of 11.09.15 decision by the Fifth Circuit to grant an appeal filed on behalf of three illegal alien proposed interveners.

Since Taitz found out about this hearing from a newspaper and was not given a proper notice from the court, she was given by the court until 01.08.16 to submit additional arguments, facts and points of law in support of her petition to intervene.

Taitz argues that she should be granted an intervener status based on her original

petition, submitted herein as an exhibit A, argument during 12.15.15 hearing and additional argument submitted herein.

Taitz, proposed intervener, filed on 02.05.15 her intervener motion.(attached herein as exhibit A) She sought intervener status under three categories:

- a. as an American worker in danger of losing work and contracts due to DAPA under N.W. Forest Workers Association, 688 F Supp. precedent
2. as a tax payer under *Flast v Cohen* 392 U.S. 83(1968) precedent
3. as a doctor who will be exposed to contagious diseases

One of the main prongs in the test of whether to grant intervener petition, is whether the existing parties will redress the grievances of the intervener. Of the bet the Fifth Circuit noticed that the plaintiffs proposed to the court that there are individuals that will be harmed by the executive action in question and sought to sue as *parens patriae* on behalf of citizens facing economic competition from DAPA beneficiaries.

‘The court also considered but ultimately did not accept the notions that Texas could sue as *parens patriae* on behalf of citizens facing economic competition from DAPA beneficiaries and that the state had standing based on the losses it suffers generally from illegal immigration. *Id.* at 625–36.’ 11.25.15 Fifth Circuit ruling confirming Preliminary Injunction by this court in this case.

So, the parties and the court are aware that intervener will suffer losses due to economic competition, as a taxpayer and specific losses as a doctor working with immigrants, who will flood as a result of the executive amnesty. Previously, in order to narrow the scope of this case, this court denied all intervener petitions without prejudice, however in light of the decision of the Fifth Circuit allowing Defendant -Interveners, this court should grant the petition of this Plaintiff - Intervener as well, as she will be harmed and existing plaintiffs cannot redress her grievances and were expressly denied by this court the right to represent her and redress her grievances in *parens patriae*.

**SUPREME COURT DECISION MAY COME UP WITH A RELIEF FOR
THE STATES WITHOUT PROVIDING A RELIEF FOR THE
INTERVENER**

The case is currently in the Supreme Court on appeal of the preliminary injunction. Higher courts routinely uphold or reject decisions of the lower courts using different grounds and arguments than were used in the lower courts. There is a possibility that the Supreme Court would want to come up with a Solomon decision and cut the baby in half by allowing the government alleged "prosecutorial discretion," but requiring the Federal government to reimburse the states for damages. For example, the state of Texas claims a potential loss of \$65 million per

year (\$130 per drivers license for 500,000 illegal aliens) In this hypothetical the states will be reimbursed and effectively their case will be over, however millions of illegal aliens will continue competing with the Intervener for jobs. Additionally, she will continue to suffer damages as a tax payer and medical professional. So, in this hypothetical the resolution of the case as far as the States are concerned will not resolve the grievances and complaint of the Intervener.

Second hypothetical is one, where the Supreme Court decides to give standing only to Texas. As Taitz is not from Texas, she will not get any relief.

Third hypothetical is, where the Supreme Court renders relief only to 27 states, which are a part of the law suit. Since Taitz is not from one of the 27 Plaintiff states, she will not get any relief.

Recent history of ACA litigation shows that when US citizens and taxpayers are not allowed to participate in litigation of national importance, they end up losing and paying the price and holding the bag. Soon after passing of ACA (Affordable Care Act), also known as Obamacare, just as it is a case herein, 27 states filed a challenge to the act, *Florida et al v Department of Health and Human Services* 10- cv-91 US District Court for the Northern District of Florida. At a time, Taitz, as well as several other individual plaintiffs and taxpayers, sought to join as interveners. At a time, presiding judge, Roger Vinson, felt that the case was too big and ruled that individual plaintiffs could not join as interveners. Additionally, he possibly believed that his ruling of unconstitutionality of ACA based on

states' action only would be sufficient to throw the whole act out. This was not meant to be, as the real fight was looming in the Supreme Court and the pressure of the US ruling oligarchy was enormous. On January 31, 2011 Judge Vinson found ACA unconstitutional. 11th Circuit confirmed the ruling and the case reached the Supreme Court, US Department of Health v FL SCOTUS #11-400. Supreme Court gave the states a minor concession, allowing the states to refuse expansion of the Medicare, however the Supreme Court left the quintessence of the law, the "individual mandate", intact. As such, the law suit by 27

states had only very limited results. Plaintiff Taitz believes that if the citizens and individual tax payers were to be allowed to be a part of the case brought by the states early on, the Supreme Court would have been forced to consider not only the standing and damages of the states, but also the standing and damages of the individual citizens and taxpayers and the result might have been different.

There is a high probability that the law suit brought by the states herein will follow the same scenario as *Florida v HHS*.

The Supreme Court might decide that the states are not required to provide subsidized drivers licenses to DAPA and DACA recipients. This might end the case for the states, however it will leave the intervener grievances of loss of contracts to illegals, loss of tax payer dollars and exposure to infectious diseases unresolved, which highlights the fact that the intervener is not represented by the states and her petition should be granted.

**INTERVENER STATUS AS A DOCTOR WORKING WITH IMMIGRANTS
AND IMMINENT EXPOSURE TO INFECTIOUS DISEASES WARRANTS
INTERVENER STATUS**

There is a known legal maxim, don't cry fire in a crowded theatre. Obama's executive amnesty is more like crying fire in a crowded stadium during the super bowl. This executive order is saying: come on in, sooner or later you will get work permits and all the benefits. This is an invitation for a stampede and it is well documented that among illegal aliens crossing the border, many suffer from Tuberculosis, Lice, Measles and other infectious diseases. Taitz is a doctor-provider for federal programs providing care for immigrants. Taitz is a doctor in a border state working with immigrants and will be particularly affected.

We are seeing now how such orders by the executive or judiciary branch are playing out. We have seen a stampede of illegal immigrants flooding Europe after Chancellor Angela Merkel announced that she will not set limits and will take all in.

Here is an account from a medical doctor in Munich, Germany:

“ Relations between the staff and migrants are going from bad to worse. Since last weekend, migrants going to the hospitals must be accompanied by police with K-9 units.

Many migrants have AIDS, syphilis, open TB and many exotic diseases that we, in Europe, do not know how to treat them.” “For now, the local hospital staff has not come down with the diseases they brought here, but, with so many hundreds of patients every day – this is just a question of time”. “In a hospital near the Rhine, migrants attacked the staff with knives after they had handed over an 8-month-old on the brink of death, which they had dragged across half of Europe for three months. The child died in two days, despite having received top care at one of the best pediatric clinics in Germany. The physician had to undergo surgery and two nurses are laid up in the ICU. Nobody has been punished. The local press is forbidden to write about it, so we know about it

Similarly, recent Obama appointee, Judge Dolly Ge in the Central District of California, made a ruling in a 30 year old case, which was supposed to be closed decades ago. This case, started as Flores v Meese on July 11, 1985, during Reagan administration, and later continued as Flores v Ashcroft and Flores v Reno 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR, sought to allow illegal alien minors in DHS detention centers to be released on bail to non-parents. Judge Ge's recently re-opened this dormant 30 year old case and ordered release of all illegal alien minors from all DHS and HHS detention centers. On top of that she ordered release of all adults, who illegally crossed the US border and travelling with those illegal alien minors.

Fully expected negative results of this decision came swiftly. It created a stampede of illegal alien minors, over 10,000 crossed the border recently, particularly crossing in this jurisdiction, in Brownsville-Laredo area.

So, current DACA and DAPA executive orders are likely to create a similar or even bigger stampede than a million aliens stampede in Europe or a current stampede at the Southern border. Taitz, who is a doctor working with immigrants in a border state will be particularly affected, as she will be immediately exposed to a large number of contagious diseases that are seen in large groups of illegal aliens.

**TAITZ WILL HAVE STANDING TO INTERVENE ON A COMPETITIVE
STANDING DOCTRINE AS AN AMERICAN WORKER**

As an American worker, she will have to compete with millions more people, illegal aliens, who are suddenly getting work permits by an executive fiat. Competitor standing doctrine recognizes that a party suffers a cognizable injury under Article III when "agencies lift regulatory restrictions on their competitors or otherwise allow increased competition." *Mendoza*, 754 F.3d at 1011 (quoting *La. Energy and Power Auth. v. FERC*, 141 F.3d 364, 367 (D.C. Cir. 1998)). The competitor standing doctrine typically arises in the context of establishing a constitutionally adequate injury-in-fact, and it has established that agency action benefiting a plaintiff's competitor can have a direct effect on a plaintiff association or one of its members. *See Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters v. U.S. Dep't of Transp.*, 724 F.3d 206, 212 (D.C. Cir. 2013) (U.S. truck drivers had standing because agency program increased the competition they faced when the Department of Transportation lifted restrictions on Mexico-domiciled drivers operating in United States); *Sherley v. Sebelius*, 610 F.3d 69, 74 (D.C. Cir.) , 2010) (researchers had standing to challenge regulatory guideline that increased competition for stem-cell research grants); *La. Energy and Power Auth.*, 141 F.3d at 367 (plaintiffs merely needed to show they were direct competitor of energy company that received an advantage as a result of the agency action and injury assumed for standing purposes). "A party seeking to establish standing on the basis of competitor standing doctrine 'must demonstrate that it is a direct and current competitor whose bottom line may be adversely affected by the challenged government action.'" *Ivfendoza*, 754 F.3d at 1013 (quoting *KERM, Inc. v. FCC*, 353 F.3d 57, 60 (D.C. Cir. 2004)). Multiple courts found that citizens have standing challenging immigration laws and regulations. *N. W Forest Workers Ass'n*, 688 F. Supp. at 3 n.2 (holding that nonprofit organization "concerned with the economic, environmental and demographic effects of immigration" had standing to

challenge immigration regulations on the ground that the regulations improperly expanded the scope of a guest worker program); cf Fed'n for Am. Immigration Reform, Inc., 93 F.3d at 900.

TAITZ HAS JUDICIARY COGNIZABLE INJURY AND STANDING UNDER FLAST V COHEN PRECEDENT

Plaintiff herein is a U.S. taxpayer and the president of DOFF. Members of DOFF are US taxpayers. Taitz should be granted an Intervener status under *Flast v Cohen* 392 U.S. 83 (1968) precedent. In *Flast*, Earl Warren, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the US wrote in the majority opinion

"...our point of reference in this case is the standing of individuals who assert only the status of federal taxpayers and who challenge the constitutionality of a federal spending program. Whether such individuals have standing to maintain that form of action turns on whether they can demonstrate the necessary stake as taxpayers in the outcome of the litigation to satisfy Article III requirements."

Funding for DACA and DAPA originate from Omnibus spending and satisfy a requirement of a program, originating from an action by Congress. Taitz's taxpayer dollars will be taken to provide funding for this program. .

Intervener must meet each of the four requirements of Rule 24(a)(2):

(1) the application for intervention must be timely; (2) the applicant must have an interest relating to the property or transaction which is the subject of the action; (3) the applicant must be so situated that the disposition of the action may, as a practical matter, impair or impede his ability to protect that interest; (4) the applicant's interest must be inadequately represented by the existing parties to the suit.

New Orleans Pub. Serv., Inc. v. United Gas Pipe Line Co., 732 F.2d 452, 463 (5th Cir. 1984) [*NOPSI*] (en banc) (internal quotation marks omitted). So, as previously stated in the petition, Taitz satisfied all the requirements and her representation by the plaintiffs was specifically disallowed by this court.

Additionally, in 11.09.15 order in this cases 5th Circuit quoted: *Kleissler v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 157 F.3d 964, 972 (3d Cir. 1998) (“[I]ntervenors should have an interest that is specific to them, is capable of definition, and will be directly affected in a substantially concrete fashion by the relief sought.”). Taitz states that as a doctor working with immigrants, she will be affected in a particularized way.

Further, the Fifth Circuit found that an interest does not have to be a property interest.

"Non-property interests are sufficient to support intervention when, like property interests, they are concrete, personalized, and legally protectable.

For example, in *League of United Latin American Citizens, District 19 v. City of Boerne*, 659 F.3d 421 (5th Cir. 2011), we held that an intervenor had a “legally protectable interest” where he sought to protect “his right to vote in elections to choose all five city council members.” *Id.* at 434. The intervenor was not seeking to protect a property interest, but his interest in vindicating his own personal right to vote was sufficiently concrete and specific to support intervention. Similarly, in *City of Houston v. American Traffic Solutions, Inc.*, 668 F.3d 291 (5th Cir. 2012), we reversed the district court’s denial of intervention by petition organizers who “engineered the drive that led to a city charter amendment over the nearly unanimous, well funded, and longstanding opposition of

the Mayor and City Council.” *Id.* at 294. Although the intervenors had no property interest in the continued vitality of the amendment, we held that the intervenors’ specific and “unique” interest “in cementing their electoral victory and defending the charter amendment itself” satisfied Rule 24(a)(2). *Id.* “*id*”

Fifth Circuit ruled that the interest must not be legally inforceable:

“Moreover, although an asserted interest must be “legally protectable,” it need not be legally *enforceable*. In other words, an interest is sufficient if it is of the type that the law deems worthy of protection, even if the intervenor does not have an enforceable legal entitlement or would not have standing to pursue her own claim. For example, in *Trbovich v. United Mine Workers of America*, 404 U.S. 528, 537 (1972), the Supreme Court held that a union member was entitled to intervene by right in a suit brought by the Secretary of Labor to invalidate an election of union officers, even though federal law prohibited the union member from initiating his own suit. Another example is *BlackFireFighters Ass’n of Dallas v. City of Dallas*, 19 F.3d 992, 994(5th Cir. 1994), in which the city of Dallas entered into a consent decree with an employee group, agreeing to give a specified number of promotions to black officers who would not otherwise be chosen for promotions. A group of non-black firefighters sought to intervene, claiming that the decree interfered with their own promotion opportunities. Even though the non-black fire fighters did not have legally enforceable rights to promotions, we held that they satisfied Rule 24(a)(2) because “[a] decree’s prospective interference with promotion *opportunity* scan justify intervention.” *Id.* (emphasis added). *Edwards* is similar. In that case, a police department entered into a consent decree with black and Hispanic officers, and a group of other officers sought to intervene. 78 F.3d at 989. The putative intervenors claimed that the decree “adversely affects the[ir] interests . . . in having equal access to a promotion system and promotion opportunities within the HPD for the ranks of Sergeant and Lieutenant without reference to race, color, or national origin.” *Id.* at 1004. Sitting *en banc*, we reversed the district court’s denial of intervention, explaining that a “vested interest in one of the . . . target promotions is not required. Intervenor-appellants claim they are ineligible for these promotions solely on account of race We hold this is sufficient to confer standing to intervene.” *Id.* (first alteration in original) (quoting *Howard v. McLucas*, 782 F.2d 956, 959(11th Cir.1986)). Although the non-black, non-Hispanic officers were not legally entitled to any promotions, their interest in being *considered* for a promotion was sufficient to support intervention. More recently, in *Brumfield*, parents whose children received school vouchers via Louisiana’s Scholarship Program sought to intervene in litigation between Louisiana and the federal government over the state’s voucher program. 749 F.3d at 340. The United States initially sought to enjoin the voucher program on the ground that it violated a prior desegregation order. By the time the case reached us on appeal, however, the United States only sought “a process under which the State would provide the information needed to assess and monitor the voucher program’s implementation . . . on a regular and timely basis.” *Id.* at 341 (internal quotation marks omitted). The United States opposed the parents’ intervention, arguing that the parents did not have a legally protectable interest in this monitoring process. We ruled in favor of the parents, holding that the parents had an interest justifying intervention: “Here, a *potential* decree . . . threatens a prospective interference with educational opportunities.” 749 F.3d at 343 (internal quotation marks omitted). Even though it was uncertain whether the parents’ interests would be affected at all, and even though the parents’ interest in the continuance of the voucher program likely was not an enforceable legal right, the parents interest was sufficient to support intervention.”*id*

So, the Fifth Circuit viewed intervener standing liberally and as such Taitz should be granted an intervener status.

FIFTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CONSIDERATION

The Fifth Circuit ruled: "although the Jane Does do not have a legal entitlement to deferred action, their interest in avoiding deportation is a concrete, personalized interest that is legally protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

See Reno v. Flores, 507 U.S. 292, 306 (1993)

Here, Fifth amendment right of Taitz will be infringed upon as she will be subjected to contagious diseases and loss of health without due process.

Additionally, as an American -citizen worker she will be subjected to loss of work and contracts due to the fact that suddenly millions of people will be getting work permits.

Obama administration claims that there are only 11.3 million illegal aliens in the country and roughly half of them, about five million, will be getting work permits under DAPA and DACA (4 million DAPA and 1.2 million DACA recipients), which in itself is a high number. However, in reality this number is expected to be much higher. Obama administration never explained how did it come up with 11 million illegals number. It appears to be a number pulled out of the thin air. According to the Ambassador of Mexico in the US there are 30 million illegal aliens in the US.

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2015/08/18/30-million-illegal-immigrants-in-us-says-mexicos-former-ambassador/>. One can rely on the number coming from the ambassador of Mexico, as he knows how many of his citizens are getting drivers licenses, copies of birth certificates and other documents from the Mexican embassy or consulates in the US. Thirty million is the number quoted by the Center of Immigration Studies as well. So, if you go by Obama's calculation that roughly half of illegal aliens will qualify for DACA and DAPA, it amounts to 15 million (half of 30 million), not five million as claimed by the Obama administration.

Additionally, it is not clear, why Obama administration believes that DACA and DAPA recipients will amount to only half of illegal aliens in the country. DACA relates to young illegal aliens, DAPA relates to adults, who had their children in the US. Since most illegal aliens come as young adults and most of them have children here, it means that DACA and DAPA will comprise close to a 100% of all illegal aliens, close to 30 million. So, if the Fifth Circuit found that illegal aliens who hope to gain jobs under DAPA have standing, then a US citizen, who will see a loss of business, reduction in fees, profits and earnings due to increased competition under DAPA will fall under the same reasoning of the Fifth Circuit and should be granted an intervener status as well, as her Fifth Amendment rights will be infringed upon without due process.

Lastly, as a taxpayer, under *Flast v Cohen*, she will have standing and have her 5th Amendment rights infringed as her tax payer dollars will be used to provide benefits

to illegal aliens. Fifth Circuit found that three illegal aliens, Jane Does1-3 had standing as they had interest in receiving benefits and subsidized drivers licensees under DAPA. Similarly, Taitz has standing as her tax payer dollars will be used to subsidize those drivers licensees.

Further, in case the Supreme Court dismisses the case by the states, Intervener Taitz will be allowed to continue with her case.

In *Magdoff v Saphin* 228 F.2d 214 Dec 21, 1955 Fifth Circuit ruled that Intervenor suit can proceed even when the case of the original plaintiff is dismissed.

Upon defendant's motion, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Wm. H. Atwell, J., rendered judgment dismissing plaintiff's suit and dismissing intervenor from suit, and plaintiff and intervenor appealed. The Court of Appeals held that where intervention, though permissive and not of right, was not ancillary to, but was independent of, plaintiff's suit, it survived dismissal of that suit, and where intervention stated claim against plaintiff but not against defendant, judgment dismissing suit and intervention would be reversed as to plaintiff but affirmed as to defendant. Plaintiff's appeal dismissed; judgment dismissing intervention affirmed as to defendant and reversed as to plaintiff.

The case at hand is important as DACA and DAPA executive orders give our ruling oligarchy and Obama donors an unending supply of cheap foreign labor. Illegal aliens themselves appear to be pawns in the quest to replace American workers with cheap foreign labor, which might explain rather unusual moves by the Supreme Court. Typically, Supreme Court refrains from reviewing the case until there is a final adjudication on the merits by the lower courts. This does not appear to be the case here. The States requested a routine thirty day extension to be granted by SCOTUS and were denied. SCOTUS gave the states only eight days as it appears it wants the case included in January-February conferences and is inclined to provide a

late May supplementary oral argument date for this case. This means that around June 29-30s there will be a decision. This is even more likely in light of recent interviews by Chief Justice Roberts urging federal courts to expedite cases. It is likely that SCOTUS will come up with a decision in this case similar to what was done in ACA, where the court might allow the "executive amnesty" but allow the states to deny DAPA recipients subsidized drivers licenses or will rule that the Federal government will have to reimburse the States for the subsidies.

In this case, the complaint by the States will be over, however if this court grants

Taitz an Intervenor-plaintiff status, her case will continue based on the above mentioned Fifth Circuit precedent of *Magdoff v Saphin* 228 F.2d 214.

Additionally, the case by the states rests on one theory: Obama administration abused its' authority in creating a whole class of beneficiaries and giving millions of illegal aliens lawful residence and work permits in violation of existing immigration laws and without any consent of the US Congress.

Not only Taitz has separate grievances, she brings forward herein an additional theory, which makes DAPA and DACA orders unlawful. Taitz attaches herein Exhibit B, a 150 page file of official government records and sworn affidavits of law enforcement officers and experts. This file shows that Barack Obama committed egregious identity fraud by getting in the White House, in the position of the US president, while using a last name, which is not legally his and asserting his eligibility for presidency based on a flagrantly stolen Connecticut Social Security

number xxx-xx-4425 and fabricated IDs. Since Obama was not legitimately occupying his position, his executive orders, including DACA and DAPA were not lawful as well.

The file at hand (Exhibit-B) shows that in his mother's passport Obama was listed under the last name Soebarkah and in his official school records in Indonesia he was listed under his step-father's last name, Soetoro, and as a citizen of Indonesia, not US citizen. Barack Obama, himself, on April 15, 2010, 11am, posted on whitehouse.gov his 2009 tax returns, where he did not "flatten" the PDF file, so full unredacted

Social Security number he is using became available for the public. The number started with 042, which indicated that it is a Connecticut Social Security number.

Obama was never a resident of Connecticut and attached affidavits of experts show that this number was originally assigned to Harry J Bounel, resident of CT, presumed to be deceased without heirs, and aforementioned number was fraudulently assumed by Obama in and around 1980s. Similarly, expert testimony of Stephen Coffman,

Former Chief investigator of Special Investigations Unit of the US Coast Guard attached herein shows Obama's Selective Service registration to be a flagrant forgery with a fabricated 1980 postal cancellation stamp affixed to it. Moreover, expert testimony provided herein shows that Obama's alleged long form birth certificate is a flagrant computer-generated forgery with letters and numbers from all different fonts and sizes and the document opens in multiple layers with the stamp of the

registrar and a date stamp residing in different layers of this computer generated forgery.

As this is only a supplemental brief on the matter of the intervener status, Taitz will not go into further details of the forged documents, however she proposes to the court that even if the case by the States is dismissed by the Supreme Court in the current appeal, she can still proceed with her Intervenor case, not only because she has separate claims and grievances, but, also, she brings additional theories and arguments for relief. Furthermore, the issue of Obama's use of a stolen Social Security number and fabricated IDs is factual. The issue of alleged prosecutorial discretion is the matter of legal interpretation and there is a possibility that the Supreme Court rules that Obama had a right to use prosecutorial discretion in DAPA and DACA. The issue of Obama's use of fabricated IDs is undeniable factual evidence. A person got into the position of the US president by fraud, using fabricated IDs, was not eligible to issue any executive orders, including DAPA and DAPA. When this is adjudicated in this court on the merits and DACA and DAPA are invalidated on this basis, this decision cannot be overturned by the Supreme Court, as this is a factual, evidentiary matter, which cannot be interpreted in more than one way.

CONCLUSION

Based on all of the above, Taitz should be allowed as an intervener.

Respectfully submitted /s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ



Certificate of Service

I, Lila Dubert, certify that parties in this case were served with attached pleadings by first class mail on 01.07.16


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lila Dubert', is written over a solid horizontal line. The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized.

Exhibit A

DR. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ
29839 SANTA MARGARITA, STE 100
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688
PH 949-683-5411 FAX 949-766-7687

**United States District Court
Southern District of Texas
FILED
FEB - 5 2015
David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court**

US DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

TAITZ,) Case # 14-cv-00254
V) HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN PRESIDING
JOHNSON, ET AL)

MOTION TO INTERVENE AS AN ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFF

**TABLE OF
CONTENTS**

I. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES TO BE RULED UPON BY THE COURT1

II. STATEMENT OF THE NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING.....2

III. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT
.....5

IV. THE PROPOSED DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS ARE ENTITLED TO INTERVENTION AS OF RIGHT.....5

A. The Proposed Defendant-Intervenors’ Motion to Intervene Is Timely6

B. The Proposed Defendant-Intervenors Possess a Protectable Interest8

C. The Proposed Defendant-Intervenors’ Interests Would Be Subject to Impairment If Intervention Were Denied10

D. The Proposed Defendant-Intervenors’ Interests Cannot Be Adequately Represented by the Existing Parties.....11

V. PERMISSIVE INTERVENTION IS ALSO APPROPRIATE.....13

VI. CONCLUSION.....14

**TABLE OF
AUTHORITIES**

Arpaio v. Obama, No. 14-cv-1966, slip op. at 5 (D.D.C. Dec. 23, 2014)
..... 2

Black Fire Fighters Ass'n of Dallas v. City of Dallas,
19 F.3d 992 (5th Cir. 1994)
..... 11

Ceres Gulf v. Cooper,
957 F.2d 1199 (5th Cir. 1992)
..... 7, 11

Chiles v. Thornburgh,
865 F.2d 1197 (11th Cir. 1989)
..... 9, 11

Day v. Sebelius,
227 F.R.D. 668 (D. Kan.
2005)..... 9, 13

Decker v. United States Dep't of Labor,
473 F. Supp. 770 (E.D. Wis.
1979)..... 10

Diaz v. S. Drilling Corp.,
427 F.2d 1118 (5th Cir. 1970)
..... 8, 9

Dimond v. District of Columbia,
792 F.2d 179 (D.C. Cir. 1986)
..... 12

Edwards v. City of Houston,
78 F.3d 983 (5th Cir. 1996)
..... 6, 8

Flast v Cohen

Florida et al v Department of Health and Human Services 10-cv-91 US District
Court for the Northern District of Florida

Ford v. City of Hunstville,
242 F.3d 235 (5th Cir. 2001)
..... 7

Forest Conservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.,
66 F.3d 1489 (9th Cir. 1995), rev'd on other grounds by Wilderness Soc'y v.

U.S. Forest Serv., 630 F.3d 1173 (9th Cir. 2011)
..... 12

Gen. Tel. Co. of the Nw., Inc. v. EEOC,
446 U.S. 318
(1980).....
12

Grutter v. Bollinger,
188 F.3d 394 (6th Cir. 1999)
..... 11

In re Lease Oil Antitrust Litig.,
570 F.3d 244 (5th Cir. 2009)
..... 6, 8

John Doe No. 1 v. Glickman,
256 F.3d 371 (5th Cir. 2001)
.....

Lewis v. Stark, 312 F. Supp. 197 (N.D. Cal. 1968), rev'd on other grounds by
Lewis v. Martin, 397 U.S. 552
(1980)..... 9

Martin v. Travelers Indem. Co.,
450 F.2d 542 (5th Cir. 1971)
..... 11

Mich. State AFL-CIO v. Miller,
103 F.3d 1240 (6th Cir. 1997)
..... 11

Natural Res. Def. Council v. Costle,
561 F.2d 904 (D.C. Cir. 1977)
..... 12

Ross v. Marshall,
426 F.3d 745 (5th Cir. 2005)
..... 8

Saldano v. Roach,

363 F.3d 545 (5th Cir. 2004)
..... 5

Sierra Club v. Espy,
18 F.3d 1202 (5th Cir. 1994)
..... 6, 11

Sierra Club v. Fed. Emergency Mgmt. Agency,
No. 07-0608, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47405 (S.D. Tex. June 11, 2008)
..... 12

Stallworth v. Monsanto Co.,
558 F.2d 257 (5th Cir. 1977)
..... 6, 7

Trbovich v. United Mine Workers of Am.,
404 U.S. 528
(1972)..... 12,
13

United States v. LULAC,
793 F.2d 636 (5th Cir. 1986)
..... 14

US v Escobar
Article I, 8. US Constitution

Usery v. Brandel, 87 F.R.D. 670 (W.D. Mich. 1980)
..... 10

DHS Appropriations Act, Pub. L. No. 111-83, 123 Stat 2142, 2149
(2009)..... 3

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24 advisory comm. note to 1966 Amendment
..... 10

Fed. R. Civ. P.
24(a)(2).....

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b)
..... 14

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b)(1)(B)
..... 1, 13

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c)
..... 1

SUMMARY OF THE MOTION

The Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor respectfully requests that the Court grant her leave to intervene as an additional plaintiff in this action as of right pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2) or, in the alternative, grant her permissive intervention pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b)(1)(B).

In the case at hand 26 states are suing the federal government, Department of Homeland Security, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief in invalidating and enjoining agency actions, specially, agency granting deferral from deportation, as well as work permits and Social Security and other Social benefits to millions of illegal aliens in clear violation of existing US immigration laws and without any consent of the Congress. Earlier, a number or proposed interveners Jane Does 1-3, illegal aliens, filed a motion for a leave to intervene, as they seek deferral from deportation, work permits at the expense of stealing jobs from law abiding US citizen-workers and they want Social Security benefits to be given to them at the expense of stealing tax payer dollars from the law abiding US tax payers.

In motion at hand, proposed intervenor is seeking a leave to join as an intervenor as well and is claiming intervenor status under FRCP 24(a) as of right and FRCP 24(b) permissible intervenor as a number of her judicially cognizable rights will be affected should DAPA be enacted. She will suffer damages for following reasons:

- a. She is a tax payer and under *Flast v Cohen* 392 U.S. 83 (1968), she has a well established judicially cognizable right to intervene and seek to enjoin a lawless action by the agency, which leads to outright theft of taxpayer dollars for the benefit of illégali aliens and for the benefit of a few oligarchs drawing astronomical profits while employing cheap foreign labor.
- b. Under *N. W Forest Workers Ass 'n*, 688 F. Supp. and *Washington alliance of Technology workers v US Department of Homeland security* 1:14-cv-00529-ESH USDC District of Columbia, she is claiming competitive standing as a US citizen- worker, as grant of millions of work permits to millions of illegal aliens will deprive her of work and livelihood.
- c. Grant of this de facto amnesty is a magnet, which will lead to massive influx of millions more illegals, which leads to epidemics and outbreaks of multiple infectious diseases. As a doctor-provider for a number of federal programs she is at

risk of infection and as such her legally cognizable right under the 5th and 14th amendment of the US Constitution is affected.

Allowing the Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor to participate as intervener will ensure that her direct, immediate interests are adequately protected and will provide her with the opportunity to offer evidence and argument that will assist the Court in rendering a decision in this important case. Because the Proposed Plaintiff-Intervener meets all the requirements for intervention under Rule 24(a)(2), she respectfully requests that her motion to intervene be granted.

**THE PROPOSED PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR IS ENTITLED TO
INTERVENTION AS OF RIGHT**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2) provides:

On timely motion, the court must permit anyone to intervene who . . . claims an interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of the action, and is so situated that disposing of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the movant's ability to protect its interest, unless existing parties adequately represent that interest.

To intervene as of right under Rule 24(a)(2), an applicant must meet four requirements: (1) the motion to intervene is timely; (2) the potential intervenor asserts an interest that is related to the basis of the controversy in the underlying

case; (3) the disposition of the case may impair or impede the potential intervenor's ability to protect his interest; and (4) the existing parties do not adequately represent the potential intervenor's interests. *See Saldano v. Roach*, 363 F.3d 545, 551 (5th Cir. 2004). The Fifth Circuit has construed Rule 24(a) broadly in favor of intervenors. *See John Doe No. 1 v. Glickman*, 256 F.3d 371, 375 (5th Cir. 2001). "[T]he inquiry under subsection (a)(2) is a flexible one, which focuses on the particular facts and circumstances surrounding each application." *Edwards v. City of Houston*, 78 F.3d 983, 999 (5th Cir. 1996) (internal quotation and citation omitted). "[I]ntervention of right must be measured by a practical rather than technical yardstick." *See id.* at 999 (internal quotation and citation omitted). Accordingly, courts, as a general matter, allow intervention where "no one would be hurt and greater justice could be attained." *Sierra Club v. Espy*, 18 F.3d 1202, 1205 (5th Cir. 1994) (citing *Glickman*, 256 F.3d at 375) (internal quotation omitted).

A. The Proposed Motion to Intervene Is Timely

In determining whether a motion for intervention is timely under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)(2), the Court should consider:

(1) the length of time between the potential intervenor's learning that its interest is no longer protected by the existing parties and its filing of a motion to intervene,

- (2) the extent of prejudice to the existing parties from allowing late intervention,
- (3) the extent of prejudice to the potential intervenor if the motion is denied, and
- (4) any unusual circumstances.

See Stallworth v. Monsanto Co., 558 F.2d 257, 264-66 (5th Cir. 1977); *In re Lease Oil Antitrust Litig.*, 570 F.3d 244, 247-48 (5th Cir. 2009).

The Proposed Motion to Intervene comes promptly after proposed Plaintiff learned of her interest in the case via the filing of Plaintiffs' December 2014 complaint. ~~Taitz originally sought to consolidate her case Taitz v Johnson 14-cv-0119, which is before Judge Hanen as well. Her motion for consolidation was followed with interest by the US media, as in her motion to intervene Taitz argued that US taxpayer deserves to take part in this case, as recent DAPA and DACA actions deprive US taxpayers of taxpayer dollars. According to recent Gallop poll only 7% of US citizens-taxpayers want more immigration, 93% don't. Her motion to consolidate was not granted and even Washington Times wrote an article, noting Taitz argument that US taxpayer should have her date in court in this case and Washington Times seemed puzzled by the fact that Taitz motion to consolidate was denied without any comment. After consolidation of two cases was not allowed, possibly due to the fact that Taitz v Johnson is more advanced and there were already two days of testimony in Taitz v Johnson, Taitz is seeking an Intervenor status and not consolidation.~~

2. The litigation is currently in its early stages, and the timing of this motion poses no prospect of prejudicing the parties: at this early juncture, Defendants have yet to file their answer, no discovery has been conducted, no scheduling order has been issued, and no trial date set.

3. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on December 3, 2014.

Although Plaintiffs have filed a motion for a preliminary injunction (*see* Dkt. No. 5), the Court has not ruled on this motion. Taitz does not intend to request any modification to the current hearing schedule, and intervention therefore poses no potential to prejudice the rights of any current party. *See Ford v. City of Hunstville*, 242 F.3d 235, 240 (5th Cir. 2001) (finding that prejudice is only created by “the intervenor’s delay in seeking to intervene after it learns of its interest”) (citing *Ceres Gulf v. Cooper*, 957 F.2d 1199, 1203 (5th Cir. 1992)); *see also Stallworth*, 558 F.2d at 265 (“[T]he prejudice to the original parties to the litigation that is relevant to the question of timeliness is only that prejudice which would result from the would-be intervenor’s failure to request intervention as soon as he knew or reasonably should have known about his interest in the action”).

On the other hand, Taitz would be severely prejudiced if this Court denies this motion to intervene. In *Stallworth*, the Fifth Circuit framed the question of

prejudice against proposed intervenors who are denied intervention in terms of whether “a [Rule 24] section (a) intervenor ‘may be seriously harmed if he is not permitted to intervene.’” 558 F.2d at 266 (internal quotation and citation omitted).

As nonparty, Taitz will be directly affected by any court ordered remedy, but will not be able to participate in presenting evidence and argument in support of her position or to appeal the ruling. *See Edwards*, 78 F.3d at 1002–03; *see also Lease Oil Antitrust Litig.*, 570 F.3d at 249–50 (“Intervening in the existing federal lawsuit is the most efficient, and most certain, way for [the potential intervenor] to pursue its claim.”). Intervention is timely because (1) the Proposed Plaintiff–Intervenor promptly filed this motion; (2) the existing parties will not be prejudiced if the Court permits intervention at this juncture; and (3) the Proposed Plaintiff–Intervenor will be greatly harmed if this motion is denied because she will not be able to protect her interests before the Court.

B. The Proposed Plaintiff Intervenor Possesses a Protectable Interest and Would Be Subject to Impairment if Intervention Were Denied

The Proposed Plaintiff–Intervenor also satisfied the requirements of Rule 24(a)(2) because she has a protectable interest in the subject matter of this litigation that would be otherwise impaired by an adverse decision. Their protectable interest

does not have “to be of a legal nature identical to that of the claims asserted in the main action.” *Diaz v. S. Drilling Corp.*,

427 F.2d 1118, 1124 (5th Cir. 1970). Indeed, “[a]ll that is required by the terms of the rule is an interest in . . . [the] rights that are at issue, provided the other elements of intervention are present.” *Id.* Ultimately, “the interest ‘test’ is primarily a practical guide to disposing of lawsuits by involving as many apparently concerned persons as is compatible with efficiency and due process.” *Ross v. Marshall*, 426 F.3d 745, 757 (5th Cir. 2005).

Here, the Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor’s interest is in finding DAPA unlawful and unconstitutional and enjoining it. If it is not done, she will be damaged threefold:

As an American worker, she will have to compete with millions more people, illegal aliens, who are suddenly getting work permits by an executive fiat. Competitor standing doctrine recognizes that a party suffers a cognizable injury under Article III when “‘agencies lift regulatory restrictions on their competitors or otherwise allow[] increased competition.’” *Mendoza*, 754 F.3d at 1011 (quoting *La. Energy and Power Auth. v. FERC*, 141 F.3d 364, 367 (D.C. Cir. 1998)). The competitor standing doctrine typically arises in the context of establishing a constitutionally adequate injury-in-fact, and it has established that agency action benefiting a plaintiff’s competitor can have a direct effect on a plaintiff association or one of its members. *See Int’l Bhd. of Teamsters v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.*, 724 F.3d 206, 212 (D.C. Cir. 2013) (U.S. truck drivers had standing because agency program increased the competition they faced when the Department of Transportation lifted restrictions

on Mexico- domiciled drivers operating in United States); *Sherley v. Sebelius*, 610 F.3d 69, 74 (D.C. Cir.), 2010) (researchers had standing to challenge regulatory guideline that increased competition for stem-cell research grants); *La. Energy and Power Auth.*, 141 F.3d at 367 (plaintiffs merely needed to show they were direct competitor of energy company that received an advantage as a result of the agency action and injury assumed for standing purposes). "A party seeking to establish standing on the basis of competitor standing doctrine 'must demonstrate that it is a direct and current competitor whose bottom line may be adversely affected by the challenged government action.'" *Mendoza*, 754 F.3d at 1013 (quoting *KERM, Inc. v. FCC*, 353 F.3d 57, 60 (D.C. Cir. 2004)). Multiple courts found that citizens have standing challenging immigration laws and regulations. *N. W Forest Workers Ass 'n*, 688 F. Supp. at 3 n.2 (holding that nonprofit organization "concerned with the economic, environmental and demographic effects of immigration" had standing to challenge immigration regulations on the ground that the regulations improperly expanded the scope of a guest worker program); *cf Fed'n for Am. Immigration Reform, Inc.*, 93 F.3d at 900.

2. As an American taxpayer, she will be damaged as her taxpayer dollars will be used to pay for Social Security, Medicaid, unemployment of millions of illegal aliens or for Social Security, Medicaid and unemployment of millions of Americans who will be displaced from their jobs by millions of illegal aliens getting deferral from deportation and work permits. Today, according to Gallop and Pew polls 93 million adult Americans are not in workforce. According to

Gallop, only 44% of American adults are working 30 hours per week or more.

Labor participation is the lowest in some 30 years. As an American taxpayer,

Taitz has a cognizable legal interest in seeking enjoinder of DACA and DAPA.

**PROPOSED PLAINTIFF INTERVENER CAN INTERVENE, AS SHE HAS
JUDICIARY COGNIZABLE INJURY AND STANDING UNDER FLAST V
COHEN PRECEDENT**

Plaintiff herein is a U.S. taxpayer and the president of DOFF. Members of DOFF are US taxpayers . injunction should be granted under *Flast v Cohen* 392 U.S. 83 (1968) precedent. In *Flast*, Earl Warren, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the US wrote in the majority opinion

" ...our point of reference in this case is the standing of individuals who assert only the status of federal taxpayers and who challenge the constitutionality of a federal spending program. Whether such individuals have standing to maintain that form of action turns on whether they can demonstrate the necessary stake as taxpayers in the outcome of the litigation to satisfy Article III requirements.

The nexus demanded of federal taxpayers has two aspects to it. First, the taxpayer must establish a logical link between that status and the type of legislative enactment attacked. Thus, a taxpayer will be a proper party to allege the unconstitutionality only of exercises of congressional power under the taxing and

spending clause of Art. I, 8, of the Constitution. It will not be sufficient to allege an incidental expenditure of tax funds in the administration of an essentially regulatory statute. Secondly, the taxpayer must establish a nexus between that status and the precise nature of the constitutional infringement alleged. Under this requirement, the taxpayer must show that the challenged enactment exceeds specific constitutional limitations imposed upon the exercise of the congressional taxing and spending power and not simply that the enactment is generally beyond the powers delegated to Congress by Art. I, 8. When both nexuses are established, the litigant will have shown a taxpayer's stake in the outcome of the controversy and will be a proper and appropriate party to invoke a federal court's jurisdiction.

Any and all expenditures for aforementioned executive actions are coming from the 2015 Omnibus funding bill and under the taxing and spending powers of the US Congress under Article I, 8. So, the first prong of Flast test is satisfied.

Secondly, USDC of the Western District of PA already found in *US v Escobar* aforementioned executive immigration actions to be unconstitutional, as such both prongs are satisfied and this court has to grant the injunction

**PROPOSED INTERVENER HAS STANDING TO INTERVENE UNDER
THE DOCTRINE OF COMPETITIVE STANDING**

Proposed intervener asserts that she is a professional, both a licensed doctor of Dental Surgery and a licensed attorney. Grant of work permits to millions of illegal aliens will cause her legally cognizable injury under the doctrine of competitive standing.

The precedent of **NORTHWEST FOREST WORKERS ASS'N V. LYNG UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. APRIL 25, 1988, 688 F.SUPP. 1** clearly shows that the Supreme Court of the United States finds standing for individuals challenging immigration decisions based on a threat of injury, not injury, which already occurred.

N. W Forest Workers Ass 'n, 688 F. Supp. at 3 n.2 (holding that nonprofit organization

"concerned with the economic, environmental and demographic effects of immigration" had standing

to challenge immigration regulations on the ground that the regulations improperly expanded the scope of a guest worker program.

3. Taitz is both a licensed attorney and a licensed Doctor of Dental Surgery, She is a doctor- provider for multiple federal programs, which provide care for immigrants. DAPA and DACA are a magnet for more illegal aliens crossing the

border. Vast majority of them have no records of vaccinations and were not vaccinated. Many carry infectious diseases. We have seen a wave of multiple infectious diseases brought to this country by this wave of illegal aliens. This included Enterovirus, Measles, H1N1. In summer of 2014 Taitz and her dental assistant, both, contracted a respiratory disease from one of these patients. At the hearing in her parallel case of *Taitz v Johnson et al* 14-cv-0119 USDC So District of TX Taitz provided evidence of drug resistant Tuberculosis being transferred by illegal aliens crossing the border and doctors and nurses being infected. Taitz filed a FOIA seeking information from the department of Health and CDC on transmission of infectious diseases and quarantine. When no information was provided by the agency, she filed a second legal actions with this court seeking information under FOIA. After the law suit was filed, some information was provided and it is provided herein as an exhibit 1. It confirmed spread of deadly drug resistant Tuberculosis by illegal aliens crossing the US border. As a doctor working with immigrants in the border state and exposed to infectious diseases, she has a legal cognizable interest in enjoining DAPA and DACA, which are actions by the defendants directly encouraging illegal immigration and spread of infectious diseases. If DAPA is not enjoined Taitz will be directly and adversely impacted.

Courts have routinely granted intervention to parties seeking to protect their interest in government programs that affect them. For example, in Kansas, a federal district court allowed students and Latino organizations to intervene in a case concerning a challenge to state legislation that made undocumented immigrant students eligible for in-state tuition rates. *See Day v. Sebelius*, 227 F.R.D. 668, 670, 676 (D. Kan. 2005) (granting motion to intervene as defendants filed by the Kansas League of United Latin American Citizens, the Hispanic American Leadership Organization, and three college students); *see also Chiles v. Thornburgh*, 865 F.2d 1197, 1214 (11th Cir. 1989) (detainees seeking to intervene as a matter of right in an action brought by a U.S. Senator to challenge housing of immigrant felons at a particular facility had an interest relating to the main suit). Similarly, in *Lewis v. Stark*, 312 F. Supp. 197 (N.D. Cal. 1968), *rev'd on other grounds by Lewis v. Martin*, 397 U.S. 552 (1980), the plaintiffs sought a declaration that the state welfare law, which provided that a man assuming the role of spouse in a home is bound to support children in the home, was unconstitutional and contrary to federal regulations interpreting the Social Security Act. *Id.* at 199. The court held that families with men assuming the role of spouse in the household were entitled to intervene as of right under Rule 24(a).

The Proposed Intervenor is “so situated that the disposition of the action

may as a practical matter impair or impede [its] ability to protect [its] interest.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)(2). Here, the advisory committee notes to Rule 24(a) are instructive: “[i]f an absentee would be substantially affected in a practical sense by the determination made in an action, he should, as a general rule, be entitled to intervene.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 24 advisory committee note to 1966 Amendment. To demonstrate “impairment,” a prospective intervenor “must show only that impairment of its substantial legal interest *is possible* if intervention is denied.” *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 188 F.3d 394, 399 (6th Cir. 1999) (citing *Mich. State AFL-CIO v. Miller*, 103 F.3d 1240, 1247 (6th Cir. 1997)) (emphasis added). “This burden is minimal.” *See Grutter*, 188 F.3d at 399 (rejecting the notion that Rule 24(a)(2) requires a specific legal or equitable interest).

As argued before, Taitz will be affected directly should DAPA and DACA are not found unconstitutional and enjoined. She will be affected as a taxpayer, as an American worker and as a doctor working with immigrants.

She cannot wait until the conclusion of the litigation to vindicate her interests. Courts have recognized that parties seeking intervention would face a “practical disadvantage” in asserting their rights once a court has rendered a decision. *Chiles*, 865 F.2d at 1214. The Fifth Circuit has recognized that a prospective intervenor’s interest may be practically “impaired by the *stare decisis* effect” of a court’s rulings in subsequent proceedings. *Sierra Club*, 18 F.3d at 1207

(quoting *Ceres Gulf*, 957 F.2d at 1204) (italics in original); see also *Martin v. Travelers Indem. Co.*, 450 F.2d 542, 554 (5th Cir. 1971) (“[S]tare decisis . . . would loom large” in any attempt by prospective intervenors “to achieve a favorable resolution of the coverage issue” on their own.); *Black Fire Fighters Ass’n of Dallas v. City of Dallas*, 19 F.3d 992, 994 (5th Cir. 1994) (to the extent that a lawsuit involves common legal issues, potential adverse effects on the prospective intervenors favor intervention).

C. The Proposed Intervenor Interests Cannot Be Adequately Represented by the Existing Parties

The burden under this prong is “satisfied if [the Proposed Defendant-Intervenors] show[] that representation of [their] interest ‘may be’ inadequate; and the burden of making that showing should be treated as minimal.” *Trbovich v. United Mine Workers of Am.*, 404 U.S. 528, 538 n.10 (1972). Courts have recognized that “[i]nadequate representation is most likely to be found when the applicant asserts a personal interest that does not belong to the general public.”

Forest Conservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 66 F.3d 1489, 1490 (9th Cir. 1995) (internal citation omitted), *rev’d on other grounds by Wilderness Soc’y v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 630 F.3d 1173 (9th Cir. 2011). Intervention is warranted when the proposed intervenors “occup[y] a different position and [have] different

interests” than the existing defendants. *Sierra Club v. Fed. Emergency Mgmt. Agency*, No. 07-0608, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47405, at *18-19 (S.D. Tex. June 11, 2008).

Courts have recognized that governmental representation of private, non-governmental intervenors may be inadequate. For example, in *Dimond v. District of Columbia*, the court held that because the government was responsible for representing a broad range of public interests rather than the more narrow interests of intervenors, the “application for intervention . . . falls squarely within the relatively large class of cases in this circuit recognizing the inadequacy of governmental representation of the interests of private parties in certain circumstances.” 792 F.2d 179, 192 (D.C. Cir. 1986); see *Gen. Tel. Co. of the Nw., Inc. v. EEOC*, 446 U.S. 318, 331(1980) (granting individual aggrieved party’s motion to intervene in order to protect its personal interests, which may at times be in conflict with those of the EEOC); see also *Natural Res. Def. Council v. Costle*, 561 F.2d 904, 911-12 (D.C. Cir. 1977) (holding that the government does not adequately represent private organizations because intervenors’ interests are different).

As noted above, this prong is easily satisfied here. Plaintiffs and Proposed Intervenor interests are profoundly different.

Plaintiffs have no direct personal interest at stake. *See, e.g., Day*, 227 F.R.D. at 674 (“To the court’s knowledge, none of these existing defendants are or ever will be personally impacted by [the in-state tuition law].”).

Plaintiffs’ potential failure to advance certain arguments is sufficient to satisfy the Proposed Intervenor minimal burden that Plaintiffs’ representation “may be” inadequate. *Trbovich*, 404 U.S. at 538 n.10. The Proposed Intervenor’s interests are too divergent from the interests of Plaintiffs, and too vital for the Proposed Intervenor to be denied an active role as intervenor. *See Day*, 227 F.R.D. at 674-75 (granting intervention and recognizing direct and personal interests of undocumented immigrant student in defending an in-state tuition law). For these reasons, the Proposed Intervenor seeks to participate in this case as intervenor and respectfully requests that the Court grant her intervention as a matter of right.

Interests of the plaintiffs and intervenor are divergent and plaintiffs cannot adequately represent the intervenor. Plaintiffs main concern is affect of DAPA and DACA on the states. Taitz is seeking to uphold her judicially cognizable interests, which revolve around her standing as a taxpayer, American worker and a Doctor exposed to infectious diseases.

Recent history of ACA litigation shows that when US citizens and taxpayers are not allowed to participate in litigation of national importance, they end up losing and paying the price and holding the bag. Soon after passing of ACA (Affordable

Care Act), also known as Obamacare, just as it is a case herein, 27 states filed a challenge to the act, *Florida et al v Department of Health and Human Services* 10-cv-91 US District Court for the Northern District of Florida. At a time, Taitz, as well as several other individual plaintiffs and taxpayers, sought to join as interveners. At a time, presiding judge, Roger Vinson, felt that the case was too big and ruled that individual plaintiffs could not join as interveners. Additionally, he possibly believed that his ruling of unconstitutionality of ACA based on states' action only would be sufficient to throw the whole act out. This was not meant to be, as the real fight was looming in the Supreme Court and the pressure of the US ruling oligarchy was enormous. On January 31, 2011 Judge Vinson found ACA unconstitutional. 11th Circuit confirmed the ruling and the case reached the Supreme Court, US Department of Health v FL SCOTUS #11-400. Supreme Court gave the states a minor concession, allowing the states to refuse expansion of the Medicare, however the Supreme Court left the quintessence of the law, the "individual mandate", intact. As such, the law suit by 27 states had only very limited results. Plaintiff Taitz believes that if the citizens and individual tax payers were to be allowed to be a part of the case brought by the states early on, the Supreme Court would have been forced to consider not only the standing and damages of the states, but also the standing and damages of the individual citizens and taxpayers and the result might have been different.

There is a high probability that the law suit brought by the states herein will follow the same scenario as *Florida v HHS*.

PERMISSIVE INTERVENTION IS ALSO APPROPRIATE

Even if this Court were to determine that the Proposed Intervenor does not satisfy the requirements for intervention as of right, it should grant permissive intervention. Rule 24(b)(1)(B) grants permissive intervention upon timely motion by anyone who “has a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common question of law or fact.” The Fifth Circuit has recognized that permissive intervention may be granted in the Court’s discretion if (1) the motion is timely; (2) an applicant’s claim or defense has a question of law or fact in common with the existing action; and (3) intervention will not delay or prejudice the adjudication of the rights of the original parties. Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b); *see United States v. LULAC*, 793 F.2d 636, 644 (5th Cir. 1986) (“Although the court erred in granting intervention as of right, it might have granted permissive intervention under Rule 24(b) because the intervenors raise common questions of law and fact.”).

As a threshold matter, the Proposed Intervenor motion to intervene is timely.

See supra Section IV.A. Second, the Proposed Intervenor claims will share

substantial questions of law and fact with the main action as the Proposed Intervenor seeks invalidate and enjoin DAPA. Third, as discussed above, intervention will not create delay or prejudice the existing parties. *See id.*

Adding the Proposed Intervenor at this juncture of the lawsuit will not needlessly increase cost, delay disposition of the litigation, or prejudice the existing parties.

Importantly, the Proposed Intervenor's participation in this lawsuit will offer evidence and argument from the US worker and taxpayer who has a direct and personal stake in the outcome of this case. At a minimum, therefore, the Proposed Intervenor asks the Court to exercise its broad discretion and grant her permissive intervention.

PARTIES WERE NOTIFIED IN REGARDS TO PROSPECTIVE INTERVENTION

Taitz contacted both plaintiffs and defendants notifying them about her perspective intervention. Plaintiffs did not respond yet. What is of interest, is the fact that after Taitz called Assistant US Attorney Daniel Hu, she got a call from Assistant US Attorney Kyle Renee Freeny, who understandably opposed a proposed additional plaintiff. What is particularly noteworthy, is that she asked when Taitz is planning to file her motion for a proposed intervention. Taitz responded that she is planning to file today or tomorrow. By the end of the day, on 02.03.2015, Taitz saw on the docket a hastily filed opposition against the motion to intervene (ECF #136), which

was filed in response to motion to intervene by Jane Does 1-3(ECF #91) filed January 14. Defendants did not oppose Defendants -Intervenors until they found out that Taitz intends to file as an additional Plaintiff-Intervenor, which appears to be the real reason for an opposition by the Defendants to Defendants-Intervenors. It appears, the thinking behind it, is that if both parties oppose Jane Does intervenors and the court will deny Proposed -Defendant Intervenors, the court will, also, deny Proposed-Plaintiff Intervenor, Taitz.

CONCLUSION

Based on all of the above, the court should rule in favor of the proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor, Taitz.

Respectfully,



/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

02.03.2015

EXHIBIT 1

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION**

**DECLARATION OF MEDICAL OFFICER
IN SUPPORT OF ORDER PURSUANT TO
SECTION 361 OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT**

I, Francisco Alvarado-Ramy, M.D., do hereby declare that

1) I am a Supervisory Medical Officer, Captain of the U.S. Public Health Service, in the Quarantine Border Health Services (QBHS) Branch, Division of Global Migration and Quarantine (DGMQ), at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

CDC is a component of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. I serve as Acting Team Lead for Epidemiology and Surveillance within the QBHS Branch. My duties include working with CDC subject matter experts, officials from other federal agencies, and state and local health departments, to identify persons with specified communicable diseases that may require federal isolation and quarantine. I have been a Quarantine Medical Officer since August 2005.

2) I received my medical degree from the University of Puerto Rico School of Medicine and completed an internal medicine residency at the Cleveland Clinic in Cleveland, Ohio. I am board certified in internal medicine, and I am licensed to practice medicine in Puerto Rico and Georgia.

3) The primary mission of DGMQ is to work with other federal agencies, state and local health departments, the travel industry, and other organizations to prevent the introduction, transmission, and spread of communicable diseases into the United States and from one state or possession into another.

4) This declaration is based in part upon my conversations with other DGMQ staff, staff from CDC's Division of Tuberculosis Elimination (DTBE), officials at the Texas Department of State Health Services, and from the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

5) Tuberculosis (TB) is caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. Pulmonary or respiratory tract TB can be transmitted from person to person by airborne droplet nuclei, which are infectious particles expelled by the patient when coughing, sneezing, or talking. These particles can remain suspended in the air for extensive periods of time after the person with TB coughs, sneezes, or talks. Persons with pulmonary TB may experience signs and symptoms such as cough (with or without bloody sputum), fever, lack of appetite, fatigue, unintended weight loss, and night sweats.

6) Persons with pulmonary TB can transmit disease to others through prolonged or frequent close contact. It usually takes several hours of exposure for TB transmission to occur. However, there have been reports of transmission involving only brief contacts with the contagious person. The ability of a person with infectious TB to transmit the infection to someone else depends on the extent of disease, the adequacy and duration of the patient's TB treatment, and the intensity, duration, and frequency of exposure to others. Inadequately treated patients with pulmonary TB may continue to be infectious for years. Recommended precautions for persons with inadequately treated infectious TB include respiratory isolation in an airborne infection isolation room with negative pressure and proper treatment.

7) Multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) is TB that is resistant to the two best first-line anti-TB drugs, isoniazid, and rifampin. Resistance to anti-TB drugs can occur when

these drugs are misused or mismanaged. Examples include when patients take their medications erratically or do not complete their full course of treatment; when patients do not absorb doses of medication well; when health-care providers prescribe the wrong treatment, the wrong dose, or length of time for taking the drugs; when the supply of drugs is not always available; or when the drugs are of poor quality. Persons with incompletely treated TB are at risk of reactivation of disease and at increased risk of developing drug-resistant TB. Persons may also become infected with MDR TB if exposed to someone with MDR TB who is infectious. Persons with MDR TB can prevent the spread of the disease and prevent development of additional drug resistance by taking all of their medications as prescribed, ideally by directly observed therapy, and adhering to recommendations for infection control, particularly while still infectious. No doses should be missed, and treatment must not be stopped early unless a compelling reason exists to do so (e.g., intolerance to medications or life-threatening adverse events).

8) Extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB is TB that is resistant to the two best first line anti-TB drugs, isoniazid and rifampin, (i.e., MDR TB), with additional resistance to any of the fluoroquinolones (such as ofloxacin or moxifloxacin) and to at least one of three injectable second-line drugs (amikacin, capreomycin, or kanamycin). Because XDR TB is resistant to the most potent first- and second-line TB drugs, the remaining treatment options are less effective, have more side effects, and are more expensive.

9) I have reviewed the following medical records: drug susceptibility testing (DST) results from isolates collected on (b)(6) and a TB Case and Suspect Report and International Referral Form prepared by the ICE's Division of Immigration Health Services describing the clinical findings related to TB.

10) [redacted] (b)(6) who, upon information and belief, is a citizen of [redacted] (b)(6). Upon information and belief, the patient entered the United States without proper documentation. It is believed that [redacted] (b)(6) contracted TB while in [redacted] (b)(6) but [redacted] (b)(6) reported [redacted] (b)(6) was not diagnosed or treated there. [redacted] (b)(6) was presumptively diagnosed with pulmonary TB in the United States on [redacted] (b)(6) in Texas while in ICE custody at the [redacted] (b)(6). TB skin test result was positive with 13 mm of induration [redacted] (b)(6) and a chest x-ray showed a small cavity and scattered patchy consolidation in mid lung zones [redacted] (b)(6). Microscopic examination of three sputum specimens (collected on [redacted] (b)(6)) showed many acid-fast bacilli (AFB); *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was later isolated from cultures of these sputum specimens, confirming the diagnosis of TB.

11) Upon information and belief, personnel at the [redacted] (b)(6) began treating [redacted] (b)(6) for TB on [redacted] (b)(6) with a standard first-line four drug treatment regimen. However, once the patient was found to have drug-resistant TB [redacted] (b)(6) treatment was discontinued until complete DST results were available and an appropriate regimen could be determined and procured.

12) Preliminary DST results from public health laboratories in Texas were consistent with XDR TB, showing resistance to all four first-line drugs (isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol), three second-line injectable drugs (amikacin, kanamycin, capreomycin), and a fluoroquinolone (ofloxacin). These results were confirmed at the CDC TB Reference Laboratory in Atlanta, GA. Additional second-line drugs, ethionamide and streptomycin, were also found to be resistant at both laboratories. All drug susceptibility testing for additional second line anti-TB drugs, performed at CDC

and non-CDC reference laboratories, were finalized February 22, 2012; the results showed susceptibility to linezolid, cycloserine, and clofazimine. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of moxifloxacin was 1-4 µg/mL and Levofloxacin was 2 µg/mL, suggesting that the patient TB was resistant to levofloxacin and not fully susceptible to moxifloxacin *in vitro*.

13) Upon information and belief, (b)(6) has been under airborne isolation precautions at the (b)(6) between (b)(6) (b)(6) was transferred to the (b)(6) (b)(6) to facilitate medical monitoring, especially once on treatment.

While at (b)(6) remained under airborne infection isolation precautions (b)(6) started a treatment regimen of moxifloxacin, linezolid, cycloserine, clofazimine, and vitamin B6 on (b)(6). A fifth medication, bedaquiline, newly FDA-approved, will be added to this regimen once it is procured for compassionate use from the pharmaceutical company.

14) On (b)(6) was transferred to the (b)(6) (b)(6) to continue treatment and clinical

management of medication side effects. Microscopic examination of sputum specimens at (b)(6) are collected every two weeks. Three sputum specimens collected (b)(6) (b)(6) showed no acid-fast bacilli (AFB); *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was later isolated from cultures of these sputum specimens, confirming persistent TB presence. Results of sputum culture specimens collected (b)(6) and (b)(6) are still pending (b)(6) remains under airborne infection isolation precautions.

15) Considering (b)(6) history of international travel and irregular entry into the United States (b)(6) may suddenly decide to travel without notice if (b)(6) were to be released on (b)(6) own recognizance, under a bond posting, or if (b)(6) were granted asylum, even while infectious and/or under treatment.

(b)(6) TB patients who are partially treated are at risk of treatment failure, disease relapse, may become re-infectious and pose a risk to persons with whom they come into contact. Moreover, persons with XDR TB, such as (b)(6) are at risk for developing further drug resistance making (b)(6) TB disease, and anyone who becomes infected with (b)(6) strain, untreatable. Extensively-drug resistant TB is considered infectious until patients are on appropriate treatment regimen, have consistently negative culture results, and show evidence of clinical improvement. Consistently negative culture results is generally defined as having two consecutive cultures taken at least one week apart with no subsequent positive cultures.

17) It is my professional judgment that, based upon the information and evidence cited herein, that (b)(6) is infected with extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB, a communicable disease subject to public health restrictions, including isolation, under section 361 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. § 264) and 42 CFR §70.6.

18) Under 42 U.S.C. 264(d)(2), a "qualifying stage" of the disease is defined as the communicable stage of the disease or a pre-communicable stage if the disease would be likely to cause a public health emergency if transmitted to other individuals. (b)(6) illness meets the definition of qualifying stage because (b)(6) is presently communicable.

19) Furthermore, it is my professional judgment that if this TB strain were transmitted to others it would represent a public health emergency because XDR TB represents a

relatively severe and uncommon type of TB and exemplifies an infectious disease that has been controlled in the United States. Additional infections with this strain would pose a high probability of serious long-term morbidity and substantial future harms to others, including death. Besides the high risk of traveling interstate, in light of (b) (b) undocumented entry into the United States (b) (6) would also be a probable source of infection to other individuals who, while infected with XDR TB in a qualifying stage, will be moving from one state to another state.

20) I recommend that if (b) (6) is released from ICE custody prior to cure in the United States, that (b) (6) be isolated to allow for airborne infection isolation precautions until public health authorities determine (b) (6) is no longer infectious. (b) (6) should continue on directly observed treatment until cured.

21) Accordingly, pursuant to section 361 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. § 264) and 42 CFR §70.6, I recommend that an isolation order be issued, requiring that (b) (6) be admitted at the (b) (6) or another appropriate facility approved by CDC.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed this 18th day of April, 2013.



Francisco Alvarado-Ramirez, MD, FACP
Supervisory Medical Officer
Captain, U.S. Public Health Service
Quarantine & Border Health Services Branch
Division of Global Migration & Quarantine

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

IRS offers extra tax refunds to illegal immigrants granted amnesty by Obama

IRS Commissioner John Koskinen confirmed Tuesday that illegal immigrants granted amnesty from deportation under President Obama's new policies would be able to get extra refunds from the IRS for money they earned while working illegally, as long as they filed returns during those years.

Illegal immigrants who are granted the amnesty will be given official Social Security numbers, which means they can go back and amend up to three years of previous tax forms to claim the Earned Income Tax Credit, potentially claiming billions of dollars in additional payments they were ineligible for before the amnesty.

Mr. Koskinen said they will have to have already filed returns for those back-years, and there's a statute of limitations that governs how far they can go back, but said the agency's current interpretation of laws would allow them to claim the EITC credit retroactively. "This is the problem you get into," said Sen. Charles E. Grassley, an Iowa Republican who demanded a solution to the loophole. "The IRS's interpretation of the EITC eligibility requirements undermines congressional policy for not rewarding those working illegally in the United States."

The loophole stems from the way the IRS handles illegal immigrants. While the immigrants are not authorized to work in the U.S. legally, the IRS still wants to be paid taxes on the earnings of those who do work, and so it has issued millions of Individual Taxpayer Identification Numbers, or ITINs, to illegal immigrants, enabling them to pay up.

Some tax credits are only eligible to those with a valid Social Security number. Those who get valid numbers, however, can go back and claim them.

The IRS website says taxpayers have until April 15 this year to file back to 2011 claiming tax credits they didn't ask for in their previous returns, and have until April 15, 2016, to claim tax credits from 2012.

Mr. Grassley asked Mr. Koskinen to go back and revisit his agency's interpretation of the laws.

The Obama administration says up to 4 million illegal immigrants could earn "deferred action," or a stay of deportation and work permits that would accompany it. It's uncertain how many of those were paying taxes using ITINs, and thus could be eligible to claim the EITC.

The EITC isn't the only tax credit to be ensnared in the immigration debate. The IRS already pays out billions of dollars a year to illegal immigrants under a program known as the additional child tax credit.

The IRS says the law is vague on who is eligible for the child credit, so to be on the safe side they pay it out to illegal immigrants.

Backers argue that the children claimed for the child tax credit are likely U.S. citizens, even if their parents are here illegally, and so it would be unfair to strip the money.

In 2010, the government paid out \$4.2 billion to illegal immigrants who claimed the child tax credit, the IRS's inspector general found. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/feb/3/irs-offers-extra-tax-refunds-to-illegal-immigrants/#ixzz3QmLwVa57>

EXHIBIT 3

Federal Government Issued Nearly 5.5 Million Work Permits to Foreign Nationals Since 2009

More than 5.46 million foreign nationals received work permits from the federal government since 2009, according to a new report from the Center for Immigration Studies. Data uncovered from the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services agency reveal that approximately 982,000 work permits were given to illegal immigrants and other foreign nationals unqualified for admission, most of whom crossed the border without inspection

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/397713/report-federal-government-issued-nearly-55-million-work-permits-foreign-nationals-2009>

The remarkable number of work permits granted by the federal government to law-breaking aliens better explains how all net jobs growth since 2007 has gone to immigrants.

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/397713/report-federal-government-issued-nearly-55-million-work-permits-foreign-nationals-2009>

“The executive branch is operating a huge parallel work-authorization system outside the bounds of the [immigration] laws and limits written by Congress [and which] inevitably reduces job opportunities for Americans,” said Jessica Vaughan, the policy director at the Center for Immigration Studies, while filed the FOIA request.

“The true magnitude of how often he has evaded the limits set by Congress on foreign workers has never been known until now,” she told The Daily Caller.

....

So 18 million foreign students and workers — including many university-trained workers — have jumped into the U.S. economy since Obama was inaugurated in 2009.

The 18 million is almost level with the roughly 24 million young Americans who have turned 18 and joined the work force in the six years since 2009. In effect, Obama has given employers the option to hire a government subsidized foreigner in place of roughly one-in-two Americans who have graduated since 2009.

<http://dailycaller.com/2015/02/02/obama-quietly-adds-5-46-million-foreigners-to-economy/>

Obama's aides claim he has added 10 million jobs to the economy since 2009.

But Obama's quiet award of five million work permits, plus his November amnesty, will have added roughly 10 million foreign workers to the economy by the end of 2015. The influx adds to the normal inflow of 1 million immigrants per year and the standing population of 2 million white-collar and blue-collar guest workers.

<http://dailycaller.com/2015/02/02/obama-quietly-adds-5-46-million-foreigners-to-economy/>

Not authorized by law...

The Obama administration has been issuing millions of work permits over the limits set by Congress, according to a new report from the Center for Immigration Studies.

In the report released Monday, the anti-amnesty group explains data it obtained from U.S. Citizen and Immigration Services (USCIS) via a Freedom of Information Request.

Federal records show, the CIS finds, that from 2009-2014 the agency issued 5,461,568 new work permits to immigrants, beyond the 1.1 million legal immigrants and 700,000 guest workers admitted to the U.S. each year.

CIS laid out three categories of immigrants who received work authorization under what the group is calling a "shadow" system:

Approximately 1.8 million new work permits were issued to aliens with temporary visas or who entered under the visa waiver program. Of these, about 1.2 million (67%) had a visa status for which employment is not authorized by law, such as foreign students and independents of guest workers.

About 982,000 new work permits issued to illegal aliens or aliens unqualified for admission. Of these, 957,000 were aliens who crossed the border illegally (Entered Without Inspection). Inexplicably, 1,200 new work permits were issued to aliens who were denied asylum, were suspected of using fraudulent documents, were stowaways, or were refused at a port of entry.

About 1.7 million, were issued to aliens whose status was unknown, not recorded by the adjudicator, or not disclosed by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), the agency that processes the applications.

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2015/02/02/report-5-5-million-additional-work-permits-issued-since-2009-in-shadow-authorization-system/>

I, Lila Dubert, served the parties in this case with the attached pleadings on 02.03.15 by placing those pleadings in the first class mail


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lila Dubert', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Exhibit B

LIST OF EXHIBITS

1. Barack Obama's tax return for 2009 posted on April 15 2010, showing him using CT SSN ~~911-98-4425~~ of Harry Bounel
2. Authentication affidavit of Linda Jordan and E-Verify report showing that ~~911-98-4425~~ was not assigned to Obama, "Record does not verify"
3. SSNVS report showing that Barack Obama failed SSNVS check and authentication of report by Colonel Greg Hollister
4. Affidavit of Albert Hendershot and Merlins information systems report showing Obama using a Social Security number of Harry Bounel
5. Affidavit by Licensed Investigator Susan Daniels showing Obama using invalid Social Security numbers
6. Affidavit by a senior Deportation officer John Sampson attesting that there is no legal basis for Obama to use a Connecticut Social Security number.
7. Affidavit by Chief Investigator of special Investigations unit of the US coast Guard stating that Obama's alleged Selective Service Registration is a forgery with a fabricated postal stamp attached to it.
8. Stanley Ann Dunham's (Obama's mother's) passport records showing Obama listed under the last name Soebarkah in his mother's passport.
9. Affidavit of Honolulu county Senior Elections Clerk that no birth certificate for Barack Obama was found in any hospital in Hawaii
10. Statement by the James Orenge, minister of Lands of Kenya relating to Obama's birth in Kenya.
11. Obama's biography submitted by Obama to his literary agent in 1991, stating that he was born in Kenya.
12. Affidavit of IT expert Felicito Papa attesting to the fact that Obama's alleged birth certificate is a forgery.
13. Affidavit of Scanning and copying machine expert Douglas Vogt attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate.
14. An article typesetting and printing expert Paul Irely attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate.
15. Affidavit if typesetting and typing machines expert Charles Coombs attesting to forgery in Obama's alleged birth certificate.
16. Affidavit by Sheriff Arpaio regarding forgery in Obama's IDs.

17. Barack Obama's school registration #203 from Assisi school in Jakarta, Indonesia showing him to be Indonesian citizen and his legal last name to be Soetoro, the last name of his step father
18. Evidence of destruction and forgery of immigration/ arrival NARA records for August 1-7 1961
19. Selective Service record showing Obama committing Selective Service fraud and using a Social security number [REDACTED] 4425, which was not assigned to him
20. OCON, Official certificate of Nomination for candidate for President of Al Gore, John Kerry and Barack Obama, whereby words "legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution" removed from Obama's certification
- 21 Obama's registration as an attorney in Illinois with Obama hiding his identity under prior names and defrauding the bar, claiming not to use in the past any other names, even though he used last names Soetoro and Soebarkah
22. Barack Obama's School record from National Student clearing house, showing Obama making fraudulent statements regarding his education, as records show him attending Columbia for 9 months only, from 09.01.1982-05.31.1983, not two years as Obama claims.
23. Picture of Obama's elementary school friend Scott Inoue, showing him and Obama together at Noelani elementary school in 1963, with notation "1963, 3rd grade". according to Obama's official biography he was in Indonesia from 1967-1971 and did 1-4th grade there.

Table of Evidence of Fraud and Forgery in Obama's IDs by Attorney Orly Taitz	Obama's claims	Proof of fraud and forgery
1. Forged selective service certificate	In September 2008 2 weeks after Obama was confronted with questions of selective service his SSS application surfaced	According to affidavit of the chief investigator of the special investigations unit of the US Coast Guard (ret) and special agent with the Homeland security Jeffrey Steven Coffman (Exhibit 7), according to sheriff Arpaio Obama's SSS application is a forgery Selective service contains social Security number ████████-4425, which was never assigned to Obama according to E-Verify and SSNVS
2. Obama's use of a stolen Connecticut Social security number ████████-4425 which was never assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS	On April 15 2010 Obama posted his tax returns on line and forgot to flatten the PDF file. When the file was opened with Adobe Illustrator it became visible that Obama is using a Connecticut Social security number ████████-4425.(E 1 Affidavit Felicito Papa) Research of the number showed that it was issued in 1977 to an elderly resident of Connecticut born in 1890.(E4 Affidavit of investigator Albert Hendershot) Search of Obama's SSS application shows him using the same CT SSN, which was never assigned to him	According to former officer of the Elite Scotland Yard anti organized crime and anti communist proliferation unit Neil Sankey, licensed investigator Susan Daniels (Exhibit 5) , former senior deportation officer John Sampson (Exhibit 6), Sheriff Arpaio, investigator Zullo Obama is using a Connecticut Social Security number ████████-4425 which was never assigned to him according Lexis Nexis, Choice Point, E-Verify (Exhibit 2 affidavit of Linda Jordan and E-Verify report)and SSNVS (Exhibit 3 SSNVS report) According to Exhibit 4, affidavit of Albert Hendershot SSN in question was assigned to Harrison J. Bounel, born in 1890
3. Forged US Postal stamp affixed to Obama's Alleged Selective Service Application	Stamp affixed to Obama's SSS application contains 2 digit year stamp "80'	All US stamps contain four digit year stamp (Exhibit 7, Affidavit of former Chief Investigator of the Special Investigations Unit of the U.S. Coast Guard Jeffrey Stephan Coffman)
4. Obama forged long form birth certificate	Obama's alleged birth certificate contains letter of different fonts and sizes	Letters of different fonts and sizes in Obama's alleged birth certificate is a clear evidence of it being a forgery, as the

		document was alleged to be created in 1961 with a typewriter. It is impossible to create a document with a typewriter and have letters of different sizes and fonts. Type written document has letters of the same sizes and the same font Exhibit 13, 14, 15, 16
5 Obama's alleged birth certificate contains white halo around the letters	None of the published Hawaiian birth certificates contain a halo around words. the only BC with the white halo is Obama's	According to experts Paul Irely, Ivan Zatkowitch and Douglas Vogt white halo around the words is a sign of a computer manipulation Exhibit 13 affidavit of Douglas Vogt, 14, 15 16
Obama's alleged copy of the birth certificate is a compilation of bits and pieces coming from different documents	Obama claims that the document posted on WhiteHouse.gov is a true and correct copy of his original birth certificate	Obama's copy of his alleged genuine original birth certificate represents a computer generated forgery, opens in multiple layers, which were cut and pasted from different documents Exhibit 12 Affidavit of Felicito Papa, Exhibit 13, 16Affidavit of Douglas Vogt
6. Serial number of Obama's alleged BC is inconsistent with other serial numbers	Serial numbers of twins Susan and Gretchen Nordyke, who were born three days later are lower	Inconsistent serial number is another sign of forgery Exhibit 13
Obama's biography shows him born in Kenya	Obama claims to be born in Hawaii	Obama's biography submitted by Obama to his own publisher Acton -Dystal and kept on line for 16 years, 1991-2007 states that he was born in Kenya. In 2007, right before the beginning of the campaign the bio was deleted Exhibit 11
On March 25, 2010 during the National assembly meeting Minister of Lands of Kenya states that Obama was born in Kenya	Obama claims to be born in Honolulu, HI	James Orenga Minister of Lands of the republic of Kenya states that Obama was born in Kenya Exhibit 10
Lack of any birth certificate for Obama in any hospital in Hawaii	Obama claims to be born in Kapiolani hospital in Honolulu,Hawaii	Exhibit 9, Sworn affidavit of Timothy Lee Adams, Senior Elections Clerk of the City of Honolulu and Honolulu county, attesting to the fact that it was a common knowledge among the

		officials of the county Registrar that there is no birth certificate for Obama in any hospital in Hawaii
7. All immigration records of passengers arriving in Hawaii	Obama claims to be born in HI	Exhibit 18 All of the immigration records of passengers arriving in Honolulu August 1-7 disappeared from NARA (National archives). <u>Further cover-up</u> Upon demands for accounting the label on arrival records from August 7-14 were falsified, "7" was erased and replaced with one to give an impression that missing August 7-14 records are contained in 7-14 page
Forgery of the OCON (official certificate of a candidate for President)	Obama claims to be eligible, Democratic Party of Hawaii falsified the certificate of Eligibility	Democratic Party of Hawaii falsified the official certificate of a candidate, removed the words "Eligible according to the Constitution"
Obama was listed under a different last name in his mother's passport, was removed from his mother's passport due to foreign allegiance	Obama claims to be the Natural Born U.S. Citizen, never lost his citizenship	Exhibit 8, Passport records of Obama's mother, Stanley Ann D. Soetoro, shows Obama under a different name, Soebarkah, shows him crossed out, removed from his mother's passport due to foreign allegiance
In his school records	Inconsistency: Obama claims to be US citizen Claims Obama to be his legal last name Claims to be Christian	Exhibit 17 Obama's School registration #203 Asissi School in Jakarta Indonesia list him as a Citizen of Indonesia His last name is listed as Soetoro (his step father's last name) Religion listed as Muslim

<p>OCON (Official Certificate of a Candidate) issued by the Democratic Party of Hawaii was falsified, words "..legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United states Constitution" were removed from Obama's OCON in order to let ineligible Obama on the ballot, while it was present in the OCON for John Kerry and Al Gore</p>	<p>Obama claims to be qualified under the provisions of the U.S. constitution</p>	<p>Exhibit 20 OCON (official Certification of a candidate) for Al Gore and John Kerry contain wording: "..legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United states Constitution". In Obama's OCON aforementioned wording was removed and the only qualification states: "legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the National Democratic Parties balloting"</p>
<p>Evidence of fraud in the application to the to the Illinois Attorneys Bar</p>	<p>In his bar application Obama claimed to have never used any other names</p>	<p>Exhibit 21 Obama's Illinois bar application shows him committing perjury. Bar applications are made under the penalty of perjury. In his application Obama claims that he never used any other names. This is contradictory to his school registration showing him using last name Soetoro and his mother's passport records Exhibit 8 where he is listed under the last name Soebarkah</p>
<p>Modus Operandi and Pattern of fraud extends to Obama's college records</p>	<p>Obama claimed to have been attending Columbia university for two years</p>	<p>Exhibit 22 Barack Obama's college records from Student clearing House show him attending Columbia for less than one year, only 9 month. additionally there is no evidence of him residing anywhere in the US during these missing 15 months. Logical conclusion would be that his trip to Pakistan before Columbia lasted not 1month, as he claims, but 16 months.</p>

EXHIBIT 1

8. I submit Exhibit B1 (attached herewith) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of First Lady Michelle Obama with her social security number revealed. The following information are revealed:


1. Michelle Obama's SSN ~~352-40~~-2302
2. Barack Obama's SSN. ~~642-54~~-4425
3. Preparer's SSN or PIN P00570974
EIN 36-2700600
Phone no. 312/372-0440

9. It is apparent that the tax preparer for Forms 709 of Pres. Obama may have forgotten to lock or flatten the covering top layers before posting them on the Internet. I later noticed that after April 15, 2010, the pdf file posted at the White House has been modified. The top layers on President Obama's Income Tax Return have been locked or flattened and could no longer be dragged out. His SSN and his wife's SSN were no longer visible.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



FELICITO PAPA



SUBSCRIBED TO AND SWORN TO before me on May 23, 2013.



NOTARY PUBLIC

FL DL - P100.245-45-022-0
by. 03/02/2020

Form **709**

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

OMB No. 1545-0020

(For gifts made during calendar year 2009)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

▶ See separate instructions.

2009

1 Donor's first name and middle initial BARACK H.		2 Donor's last name OBAMA		3 Donor's social security number 01-230-4425	
4 Address (number, street, and apartment number) 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW				6 Legal residence (domicile) ILLINOIS	
6 City, state, and ZIP code WASHINGTON, DC 20500				7 Citizenship (see instructions) UNITED STATES	
8 If the donor died during the year, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death _____, _____. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>					
9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here <input type="checkbox"/>					
10 Enter the total number of donees listed on Schedule A. Count each person only once. ▶ 2					
11a Have you (the donor) previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				X	
b If the answer to line 11a is "Yes," has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? <input type="checkbox"/>				X	
12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) (If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
13 Name of consenting spouse MICHELLE L. OBAMA				14 SSN 01-230-2302	
15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
16 If 15 is "No," check whether <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed/deceased, and give date ▶ _____					
17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? (If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
18 Consent of Spouse. I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.					
Consenting spouse's signature ▶ <i>X Michelle Obama</i>				Date ▶ 4-7-10	

M.L.O

1 Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11		1	0.
2 Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3		2	0.
3 Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2		3	0.
4 Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)		4	0.
5 Tax computed on amount on line 2 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)		5	0.
6 Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4		6	0.
7 Maximum unified credit (nonresident aliens, see instructions)		7	345,800.
8 Enter the unified credit against tax allowable for all prior periods (from Sch. B, line 1, col. C)		8	
9 Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7		9	345,800.
10 Enter 20% (.20) of the amount allowed as a specific exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1976, and before January 1, 1977 (see instructions)		10	
11 Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9		11	345,800.
12 Unified credit. Enter the smaller of line 6 or line 11		12	0.
13 Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions)		13	
14 Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13		14	
15 Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 6. Do not enter less than zero		15	0.
16 Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule C, Part 3, col. H, Total)		16	
17 Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16		17	0.
18 Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file		18	
19 If line 18 is less than line 17, enter balance due (see instructions)		19	0.
20 If line 18 is greater than line 17, enter amount to be refunded		20	

Sign Here	Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I prepared this return, including any accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. My preparer (other than donor) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.		Date 4/7/10	May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown below (see instructions)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Signature of donor <i>X [Signature]</i>			

Paid Preparer's Use Only	Preparer's signature <i>[Signature]</i>	Date 3/30/10	Check if self-employed <input type="checkbox"/>	Preparer's SSN or PTIN 01-230-4425
	Firm's name (or yours if self-employed), address, and ZIP code WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC 180 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200 CHICAGO, IL 60601	EIN 36-2302302	Phone no. 312-372-0440	

12-15-09 LHA For Disclosure, Privacy Act, and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 12 of the separate instructions for this form. Form 709 (2009)

14380330-131470 01111D

2009-03030-OBAMA, BARACK H.

01111D 4

Form **709**
Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

(For gifts made during calendar year 2009)

OMB No. 1545-0020

2009

▶ See separate instructions.

1 Donor's first name and middle initial MICHELLE L.		2 Donor's last name OBAMA		3 Donor's social security number 33-33-2382	
4 Address (number, street, and apartment number) 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW				5 Legal residence (domicile) ILLINOIS	
6 City, state, and ZIP code WASHINGTON, DC 20500				7 Citizenship (see instructions) UNITED STATES	
8 If the donor died during the year, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death					
9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here <input type="checkbox"/>					
10 Enter the total number of donees listed on Schedule A. Count each person only once. 2					
11a Have you (the donor) previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
b If the answer to line 11a is "Yes," has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) (If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
13 Name of consenting spouse BARACK H. OBAMA				14 SSN 33-33-1125	
15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
16 If 15 is "No," check whether <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed/deceased, and give date ▶					
17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? (If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
18 Consent of Spouse. I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.					
Consenting spouse's signature ▶ <i>[Signature]</i>				Date ▶ 4/7/10	

Part 2 - Tax Computation	1 Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11	1	0.
	2 Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3	2	0.
	3 Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2	3	0.
	4 Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)	4	0.
	5 Tax computed on amount on line 2 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)	5	0.
	6 Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4	6	0.
	7 Maximum unified credit (nonresident aliens, see instructions)	7	345,800.
	8 Enter the unified credit against tax allowable for all prior periods (from Sch. B, line 1, col. C)	8	
	9 Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7	9	345,800.
	10 Enter 20% (.20) of the amount allowed as a specific exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1976, and before January 1, 1977 (see instructions)	10	
	11 Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9	11	345,800.
	12 Unified credit. Enter the smaller of line 6 or line 11	12	0.
	13 Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions)	13	
	14 Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13	14	
	15 Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 6. Do not enter less than zero	15	0.
	16 Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule C, Part 3, col. H, Total)	16	
	17 Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16	17	0.
	18 Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file	18	
	19 If line 18 is less than line 17, enter balance due (see instructions)	19	0.
	20 If line 18 is greater than line 17, enter amount to be refunded	20	

Sign Here	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including any accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than donor) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.		
	▶ <i>[Signature]</i> Michelle Obama 4/7/10		May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown below (see instructions)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Paid Preparer's Use Only	Preparer's signature ▶ <i>[Signature]</i>	Date ▶ 3/30/10	Check if self-employed <input type="checkbox"/>
	Firm's name (or yours if self-employed), address, and ZIP code ▶ WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC 180 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200 CHICAGO, IL 60601	EIN ▶ 36-0000000	Preparer's SSN or PTIN ▶ 36-0000000 Phone no. ▶ 312-737-0440

709501 12-15-09 LHA For Disclosure, Privacy Act, and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 12 of the separate instructions for this form. Form **709** (2009)

14580330-131470-0111D

2009-03030-OBAMA-BARACK-H.

011111D

Original Tax Posting.pdf Properties



General PDF Details

Title:

Author:

Subject:

Keywords:


Created: Thursday, April 15, 2010, 11:15:29 AM

Modified: Thursday, April 15, 2010, 11:15:29 AM

Application:

PDF Producer: Mac OS X 10.5.3 Quartz PDFContext

Fast Web View: No PDF Version: 1.3



Information on this page reflects the actual contents of the Adobe PDF file. This page may differ from other pages of this property sheet that display information from the Windows file system.

OK

Cancel

709 United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return **2009**

Form 709 (2009) (see instructions)

Part I Donor's Information

1 Donor's first name and middle initial: **BARACK H.**

2 Donor's last name: **OBAMA**

3 Donor's social security number: **44-25**

4 Address (number, street, and apartment number): **1500 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW**

5 Legal residence (form title): **ILLINOIS**

6 City, state, and ZIP code: **WASHINGTON, DC 20500**

7 Citizenship (see instructions): **UNITED STATES**

8 If the donor died during the year, check here and enter date of death: _____

9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here _____

10 Enter the total number of copies filed on Schedule A. (Do not enter person only copy.) **2**

11a Have you (the donor) previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b. Yes No

11b If the answer to line 11a is "Yes," has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? Yes No

12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year (considered as made one-half by each of you)? (See instructions.) (If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the correct portion below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A.)

13 Name of consenting spouse: **MICHELLE L. OBAMA**

14 SSN: **2302**

15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (See instructions.) Yes No

16 If "Yes," check whether: married divorced or widowed (deceased), and give date: _____

17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? (If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.) Yes No

Part II Recipient's Information

Part III

Current Tax Posting.pdf Properties



General PDF Details

Title:

Author:

Subject:

Keywords:

Created: Thursday, April 15, 2010, 11:15:29 AM

Modified: Thursday, April 15, 2010, 6:17:52 PM

Application:

PDF Producer: Mac OS X 10.5.8 Quartz PDFContext

Fast Web view: Yes PDF Version: 1.6



Information on this page reflects the actual contents of the Adobe PDF file. This page may differ from other pages of this property sheet that display information from the Windows file system.

OK

Cancel

709 Form Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service		United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return For gifts made during calendar year 2009 ▶ See separate instructions.		OMB No. 1545-0047 2009
1 Donor's first name and middle initial BARACK H.		2 Donor's last name OBAMA		3 Donor's social security number
4 Address (number, street, and apartment number) 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW				
5 Legal residence (domestic) ILLINOIS				
6 City, state, and ZIP code WASHINGTON, DC 20500				
7 Citizenship (see instructions) UNITED STATES				
8 If the donor died during the year, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death				
9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here <input type="checkbox"/>				
10 Enter the total number of gifts listed on Schedule A. Count each person only once. ▶ 2				
11a Have you (the donor) previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
b If the answer to line 11a is "Yes," has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? <input type="checkbox"/>				
12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) (If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A.)				
13 Name of consenting spouse MICHELLE L. OBAMA		14 SSN		Yes No
15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
16 If 15 is "No," check whether <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed/deceased, and give date ▶				
17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? (If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
18 Consent of Spouse. I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.				
Consenting spouse's signature ▶ <i>X Michelle Obama</i>				Date ▶ 4-7-10

Part 1 - General Information

709

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

See separate instructions

1 Donor's name (Last, first, and middle initial)
MICHAEL

2 Donor's address (Street, city, state, and ZIP+4)
600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20540

3 Donor's Social Security number
OBAMA

4 Recipient's name (Last, first, and middle initial)
BARACK H. OBAMA

5 Recipient's address (Street, city, state, and ZIP+4)
1400

6 Recipient's Social Security number

7 Date of gift
4/7/10

8 Date of valuation

9 Fair market value of property at date of gift
345,500.

10 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
345,800.

11 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
345,800.

12 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

13 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

14 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

15 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

16 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

17 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

18 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

19 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

20 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

21 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

22 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

23 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

24 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

25 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

26 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

27 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

28 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

29 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

30 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

31 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

32 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

33 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

34 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

35 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

36 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

37 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

38 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

39 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

40 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

41 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

42 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

43 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

44 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

45 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

46 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

47 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

48 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

49 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

50 Fair market value of property at date of valuation
0.

Part 2 - Tax Computation

1 Gift tax on property
0.

2 Gift tax on property
0.

3 Gift tax on property
0.

4 Gift tax on property
0.

5 Gift tax on property
0.

6 Gift tax on property
0.

7 Gift tax on property
345,500.

8 Gift tax on property
345,800.

9 Gift tax on property
345,800.

10 Gift tax on property
0.

11 Gift tax on property
0.

12 Gift tax on property
0.

13 Gift tax on property
0.

14 Gift tax on property
0.

15 Gift tax on property
0.

16 Gift tax on property
0.

17 Gift tax on property
0.

18 Gift tax on property
0.

19 Gift tax on property
0.

20 Gift tax on property
0.

21 Gift tax on property
0.

22 Gift tax on property
0.

23 Gift tax on property
0.

24 Gift tax on property
0.

25 Gift tax on property
0.

26 Gift tax on property
0.

27 Gift tax on property
0.

28 Gift tax on property
0.

29 Gift tax on property
0.

30 Gift tax on property
0.

31 Gift tax on property
0.

32 Gift tax on property
0.

33 Gift tax on property
0.

34 Gift tax on property
0.

35 Gift tax on property
0.

36 Gift tax on property
0.

37 Gift tax on property
0.

38 Gift tax on property
0.

39 Gift tax on property
0.

40 Gift tax on property
0.

41 Gift tax on property
0.

42 Gift tax on property
0.

43 Gift tax on property
0.

44 Gift tax on property
0.

45 Gift tax on property
0.

46 Gift tax on property
0.

47 Gift tax on property
0.

48 Gift tax on property
0.

49 Gift tax on property
0.

50 Gift tax on property
0.

Sign Here
Michelle Obama

Print Name
WENDEB'S SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAWN PC
30 N LASALLE ST, STE 2800
CHICAGO, IL 60610

3010

Yes No

I, Linda Jordan am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge of the facts listed below and declare under penalty of perjury:

I used the government run E-Verify System to verify the employment eligibility for Barack II Obama (Obama) and it revealed a "Notice of Mismatch" between Obama's name, birth date and Social Security Number (SSN), compared to the information the Social Security Administration has on file.

I saw Obama's Selective Service Registration (SSR) form which was available on the web at www.sss.gov and copied the SSN Obama used on that form. I also read the reports of licensed investigators Neil Sankey, Susan Daniels and the opinion of retired senior deportation officer of the department of Homeland Security John Sampson, that the SSN Obama was using was fraudulent and/or never issued to him.

Between October 2008 and May 2011, I submitted several requests to agencies and people with the legal responsibility and authority to investigate the use of forged documents and election fraud, concerning Obama's birth records and SSN. (attachment A)

To date no one with the legal responsibility and authority has responded to any of my requests.

I read part of the testimony of Marianna LaCanfora before the Committee on Ways and Means Sub Committee on Social Security in the House of Representatives dated April 14th, 2011. She explained that a SSN in conjunction with a proper identity document determine whether a person is authorized to work. LaCanfora said that the E-Verify system run by the government is a free, Internet-based system that allows employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility of their employees. The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 required all employers to verify the identity and employment eligibility of all new employees regardless of citizenship or national origin.

I considered myself to be one of the employers of the President of the United States.

On July 26, 2011, I tried to enroll in the E-Verify System but it required the employer to enter data from their employees I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form. I have been unable to locate one for Obama.

On August 17, 2011, I went back on the E-Verify website and saw that there was a "Self-Service" function that was more streamlined and easier to use when checking an employees eligibility. I entered the name Barack H Obama, birth date August 4, 1961 and SSN 4425. This data was entered correctly. The report I got back from the SSA included a "Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records". (attachment B)

Signed Linda Jordan


Linda Jordan 4419 So. Dawson St. Seattle WA 98118 206.723.6471

In the city of Seattle Washington, County of King
Seattle Washington King

Dated the 2nd day of August, 2011.
August 2011

Signature of the Notary B. J. Pritchett

Date August 21, 2011



Self Check™

Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records

Print

Ver en Español

Bring this notice with you when you visit SSA.

For SSA Field Office Staff: Do not use EV-STAR; See POMS RM 10250.000ff

Obama Barack H

Name of the employee (Last Name, First Name,

MI)

08/17/2011

Date of Mismatch

~~9-4425~~

Employee's Social Security Number (SSN)

2011229111431GY

Case Verification Number

Reason for this Notice:

SSN does not match. The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is valid, but the name and/or date of birth entered do not match SSA records.

SSN is invalid. The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is not a valid number

SSA unable to confirm U.S. Citizenship. Cannot confirm that the employee is eligible to work because the SSA records do not show that the SSN Holder is a U.S. Citizen

✓ **SSA record does not verify. Other reason.** SSA found a discrepancy in the record

SSA unable to process data. SSA found a discrepancy in other data in the record.

Instructions

EXHIBIT 3

GREG HOLLISTER< greg_hollister@msn.com>

Wed. Feb 9, 2011 at 5:39 AM

To: oriy.taitz@gmail.com

Cc: "John Hemenway DC Council" <johndhemenway@comcast.net>, Larry Elgin <lawnet.lae@gmail.com>, Susan Private investigator <susandanielspi@aol.com>, "Linda Bent, SONORAN NEWS" <lindabent@aol.com>

Dear Ms. Taitz.

I have been monitoring your efforts at a distance reference the eligibility of Barack Obama to serve as POTUS. I see that you are now filing suit reference his many SSNs.

I have a copy of Obama's selective service card with the SSN affixed. I, as a small business owner, have access to the Social Security Number Verification System. As a result, I checked the SSNVS for the SSN Obama used for registering with Selective Service. The SSNVS search resulted in a report sheet that states that number Obama used to register for Selective Service was never issued.

Let me be direct - i have not agreed with many of your tactics in this endeavor. However, I have continued to follow your efforts to see where there may be synergy and this is one.

Therefore if you think the documents will be helpful, please let me know and I will send you the PDF files for both the Selective Service Card and the SSNVS report that states that SSN was never issued.

Sincerely

Greg Hollister

Gregory S. Hollister, Colonel, USAF, Retired
President, Hollister Enterprises LLC

Social Security Online

Business Services Online

www.socialsecurity.gov BSO Main Menu | BSO Information | Contact Us | Keyboard Navigation | Logout



Social Security Number Verification System (SSNVS)

SSNVS Help

SSN Verification Results

Employer's EIN:
 Records Submitted: 1
 Failed: 1
 Verified Records: 0

The following table displays your submitted results. The first column indicates if the submitted record verified, failed or employee is deceased. The first five digits of the SSN will be masked for verified records and records with a verification results code of 2, 3, 4 or 6.

[Verify More SSNs](#)
[What to do if an SSN fails to verify](#)
[Field Office Locator](#)

- **Failed** - Data does not match Social Security Administration's records. Select [What to do if an SSN Fails to Verify](#) for more information.
- **Deceased** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records, and our records indicate that the person is deceased. For more information, please contact our general SSA information line at 1-800-772-1213 (TDD/TTY 1-800-325-0778) or your local Social Security field office. Select [Field Office Locator](#) to find the office nearest you.
- **Verified** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records.

Results	SSN 999999999	First Name	Middle Name	Last Name	Suffix	Date of Birth MMDDYYYY	Gender F/M	Verification Results
Failed	999994425	BARACK	-	OBAMA	-	08041961	M	1

Verification Results	
Code	Description
1	SSN not in file (never issued).

Have a question? Call 1-800-772-6270 Mon. - Fri. 7AM to 7PM Eastern Time to speak with Employer Customer Service personnel. For TDD/TTY call 1-800-325-0778.

EXHIBIT 4

Affidavit of Albert Hendershot


I, Albert Hendershot am a professional debt collector, I am over 18 years old, I have personal knowledge of the facts provided herein and I will be able to competently testify in court of the facts as listed in this affidavit:

1. I personally performed a search with Merlin Information Systems and <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database which is routinely used by professional debt collectors
2. I found that both Barack Obama and Harry J. Bounel are listed as holders of the same Connecticut Social Security number ~~00000~~-4425 and resided at the same address 5046 S. Greenwood Ave, Chicago , Illinois, Exhibit 1 attached herein is the true and correct copy of the printout from <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database. Exhibit 2 attached herein is the (FOIA) Freedom of Information Act request which was completed for numident ~~00000~~-4425 with Harry Bounel as the name associated with said numident ~~00000~~-4425. Exhibit 2 clearly states that the aforementioned numident belongs to Harry Bounel and not Barack H Obama as detailed in the response from the Social Security Administration dated November 2012.
3. Michelle Obama is listed as a relative of both Harrison (Harry) J. Bounel and Barack Obama.
4. <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database shows that the last change in the record of Harry J. Bounel Social security number ~~00000~~-4425 was performed by Michelle Obama in and around November 2009, who is listed as a relative of Harry J. Bounel.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that all of the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and informed belief.

Affiant further says naught.

Signed Albert Hendershot




Dated

Feb 1, 2013

Signature of the Notary Public

Luke Keim





SOCIAL SECURITY

Refer to:
S9H: A17967

November 16, 2012

This letter is in response to your Internet request for a Numident for Mr. Harry Boumel.

The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a) restricts disclosure of the information you requested. The only exception that might permit us to disclose these records to you without consent would be the exception for disclosure required by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552).

When we receive a request from a member of the public to release personal information about another individual from our records, we must balance the individual's privacy interest in withholding the information against the public interest in disclosing the information. We must determine whether disclosure would affect a personal privacy interest. There is clearly a substantial privacy interest in the personal details furnished to the Government. The only public interest we must consider is if the information sought would shed light on the way an agency performs its statutory duties. We may not consider the identity of the requester or the purpose for requesting the information. While there clearly is a public interest in knowing how the Social Security Administration administers the Social Security Act, disclosing records containing personal information about named individuals would not shed light on how the agency performs its statutory duties. Therefore, disclosing this information would be a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, and the FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6)) does not require disclosure.

If you disagree with this decision, you may request a review. Mail your appeal within 30 days after you receive this letter to the Social Security Administration, Office of Privacy and Disclosure, 617 Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235. Mark the envelope "Freedom of Information Appeal."

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dawn S. Wiggins".

Dawn S. Wiggins
Freedom of Information Officer

Barack H Obama aka Harrison J Bounel Alias Has Been Scrubbed From Databases

03/11/2011 The alias of Harrison J Bounel is discovered sharing the same SSN and home address as Barack H Obama as of 11/2009 by AL Hendershot.

03/11/2011 Conflicting information is discovered as to the registered Owners of 5046 S Greenwood Ave., the supposed home owned by the Obama's showing that it is not the Obama's as the true owners of the Hyde Park Mansion.

03/14/2011 Harrison J Bounel alias hits the internet as a searchable term for Barack H Obama's alias as of 2009



04/20/2011 WND and Jerome Corsi re-open the case concerning 5046 S Greenwood with the article, "Why Do 3 Supporters Own Obama Home"

05/14/2011

The Obama Hustle Blog

[www.theobamahustle@wordpress.com](http://www.theobamahustle.wordpress.com)

Goes live exposing evidence on Barack H Obama

08/14/2011 WND and Jerome Corsi re-open the case concerning the the \$104,500.00 "Obama Buffer Zone" in an article, "Tax fraud suspected in Obama land deal".

As of 08/20/2011 a recent search was completed on the Obama SSN ~~0000~~4425 of the same database that was used when Harrison J Bounel alias was originally discovered and the alias had been completely scrubbed and all evidence has been eliminated. The following graphic images will point that out.

05/20/2011 Al Hendershot is interviewed by Trunews Radio (with a combined 300K plus hits on youtube as of 08/2011) concerning the Obama alias of "Harrison J Bounel" and the real estate and tax fraud issues associated with 5046 S Greenwood Ave.

People Search Results (2)

Searched: SSN: 042-68-XXXX

Records: 1 to 2 of 2

Personal Information

BOUNEL HARRISONJ

Aliases: HARRISONJ

BOUNEL

Gender: U

SSN: 042-68-XXXX

CSCORE

RELATIVES

5046 S GREENWOOD AVE
CHICAGO, IL 60615
Single-Family Dwelling

11/2009

BARBACK H OBAMA

Aliases: BARACH H

OBAMA, BARACK H

OBAMA, BARACK

OBAMA, BARBACK

OBAMA, OBAMA BARACK

DOB: 08/1961

Gender: M

SSN: 042-68-XXXX

CSCORE

RELATIVES

227 6TH ST NE
WASHINGTON, DC 20002
Single-Family Dwelling

02/2006 -

12/2006

5046 S GREENWOOD AVE
CHICAGO, IL 60615
Single-Family Dwelling

11/2006

300 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW

WASHINGTON, DC 20001

Multi-Family Dwelling

09/2006

5450 S EAST VIEW PARK

Apt. 1

CHICAGO, IL 60615

Single-Family Dwelling

7436 S EUCLID AVE

CHICAGO, IL 60649

Single-Family Dwelling

10/1997

965 BROADWAY

APT. B1

SOMERVILLE, MA 02145

Multi-Family Dwelling

Not Available

Cell Phone(s) Available \$

Relatives Search Results (1)

Searched: BOUNEL HARRISON J

Records: 1 to 1 of 1

Personal Information

MICHELLE L OBAMA
 Aliases: BARACK H
 OBAMA, MICHELLE E
 OBAMA, MICHELLE L
 ROBINSON, MICHELLE
 OBAMA, MICHELLE
 ROBINSON, ROBINSON
 MICHELLE
 DOB: 01/1964
 Gender: F
 SSN: ██████████-XXXX
 Possible Relationship:
 Sister/Spouse
 C-SCORE
 RELATIVES

5046 S GREENWOOD AVE
 CHICAGO, IL 60615
 Single-Family Dwelling
 7436 S EUCLID AVE
 CHICAGO, IL 60649
 Single-Family Dwelling
 5450 S EAST VIEW PARK
 Apt. 1
 CHICAGO, IL 60615
 Single-Family Dwelling
 5470 S EVERETT AVE
 Apt. 15
 CHICAGO, IL 60615
 Multi-Family Dwelling
 5470 S EVERETT AVE
 Apt. 15
 CHICAGO, IL 60615
 Multi-Family Dwelling
 180 N LA SALLE ST
 Apt. 2200
 CHICAGO, IL 60601
 3550 S RHODES AVE
 Apt. 1802
 CHICAGO, IL 60653
 Multi-Family Dwelling

07/2005 -
 12/2010
 09/2006
 02/2004
 09/1997
 Not Available
 Not Available
 Not Available

UNLISTED

Cell Phone(s) Available \$

EXHIBIT 5

further, I found an additional eight social security numbers. One of the numbers had (Deceased) behind it. I was able to find the name of the person the SSN actually belonged to and printed it from the Social Security Administration death index.

6. I researched social security numbers for Michelle Obama. When I ran her name, two different social security numbers appeared for her, including one that does not belong to her but is listed for her at 1600 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, D.C.

7. The true and correct copies I personally obtained are attached.

8. I solemnly swear under penalty of perjury that all the facts stated and circumstances described above are true and correct statements.

9. I have not received any compensation for making this affidavit.

Further, Affiant saith naught.

Signed and executed in Mentor, OH on this 19th day of October, 2009.


By:


Susan Elizabeth Daniels

NOTARY'S JURAT


Susan Elizabeth Daniels appeared before me in person on this 19 day of October, 2009, in Mentor (city), Lake County Ohio (state), U.S.A (country) and having presented to me her driver's license and having been sworn by me duly under oath and having been admonished that she did so under penalty of perjury, she did then and there depose herself and give the above-listed statements in my presence in the form of his written affidavit.

Specifically but without limitation, Susan Elizabeth Daniels did in my presence authenticate the documents attached here as a true and correct copy of the documents she obtained and described in her affidavit.



Notary Public in the State of Ohio











































Business Address of Notary: 8039 BROADMOOR, MENTOR, OH 44060



JAMES V. LORAICONO, Attorney at Law
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Has No Expiration Date
Section 147.03 O.R.C.

My Seal Appears Above this line.

My Printed Name is: JAMES V. LORAICONO; my notarial commission or license expires on: LIFETIME & STATEWIDE

	All	Full Name	Age/DOB	Address	Dates	Phone Information	
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female ██████-xxxx		5046 S GREENWOOD AVE CHICAGO IL 60615-2806	Aug 05 - Aug 07		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		1 OLD STATE CAPITOL PLZ APT SPRINGFIELD IL 62701-1512	May 09 - Sep 09		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female ██████-xxxx		1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20500-0003 Newspaper facility.	Jun 08 - Sep 09		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female ██████-xxxx		5030 QUENTIN ST DENVER CO 80239-4312	Jul 09 - Aug 09	303-365-5697 - MDT	
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		123 W NORTH AVE CAROL STREAM IL 60188-2001	Mar 09 - Aug 09		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female 324-56-xxxx		936 4 MILE RD NW APT GRAND RAPIDS MI 49544-1503	Jan 09 - Jul 09		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		505 CATHARINE ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19147-3009	Apr 07		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		3550 S RHODES AVE APT 1802 CHICAGO IL 60653-1273			
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		1901 COLLEGE AVE FREDERICK MD 21701	Jun 09 - Sep 09		
  		MICHELLE OBAMA Gender: Female		1 PENNSYLVANNIA BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210	Jan 09 - Sep 09		

SSN Verifier Plus

SSN ██████████-4425

Year(s) and State Issued	
Year(s) Issued:	1977-1979
State Issued:	Connecticut

Social Security Death Index
SSN not found in Social Security Death Index

Names Associated With SSN
OBAMA, BARACK
OBAMA, BARACK HUSSEIN

Dates of Birth Associated With SSN
1890
08/04/1961
04/08/1961












Standard People Search

105 Records

Search Criteria

Name: OBAMA, BARACK

Flags: Arrests, Bankruptcies, Criminal Records, Evictions, Professional Licenses, Warrants

Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK H	295 HARVARD ST 1505 CAMBRIDGE MA 02138-2382 Reported: 01/2009 - 01/01/2009 County: Middlesex	2x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	1600 PENN AVE WASHINGTON DC 20007 Reported: 12/2008 - 12/2008 County: District of Columbia	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	559 W GOLF RD ARLINGTON HTS IL 60005-3904 Reported: 11/2008 - 11/2008 County: Cook	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	56 THORNTON RD NEEDHAM MA 02492-4330 Reported: 09/2008 - 11/2008 County: NORFOLK	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	713 HART SENATE WASHINGTON DC 20510-0001 Reported: 11/2008 - 11/2008 County: District of Columbia	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	3535 OLIVE ST DENVER CO 80207-1523 Reported: 09/2008 - 11/2008 County: Denver	1x Map It		(720)336-7722	N
	OBAMA BARACK	810 E 13TH AVE EUGENE OR 97401-3742 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 09/2008 - 11/2008 County: Lane	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	435 DALLAS AVE LANCASTER TX 75146 Reported: 09/2008 - 11/2008 County: Dallas	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK L	1930 WALLACE ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19130-3220 Reported: 09/17/2008 - 10/03/2008 County: Philadelphia	2x Map It		Landline: (215)235-3040	N
	OBAMA BARACK	1000 NW 33 AVE FORT WORTH TX 76180 Reported: 04/2008 - 09/2008 County: Tarrant	2x Map It	675-54-6554		N
Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK	123 MAIN ST CHARLESTON SC 29464 Reported: 08/2008 - 09/2008 County: Charleston	1x Map It			N

	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK PK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 08/20/2008 - 08/20/2008 County: Cook	1x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK LWYR	14 WERIE ST CHICAGO IL 60654 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 08/20/2008 - 08/20/2008 County: Cook	1x Map It		Cell: (312)310-0069	N
E-mail: bobama@lawmbg.com (No IP Address Reported) Phone: (312)751-1170						
	OBAMA BARACK	NO ADDRESS REPORTED CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 08/18/2008 - 08/18/2008 County: Cook				N
	OBAMA BARACK	180 N LASALLE CHICAGO IL 60601 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 08/18/2008 - 08/18/2008 County: Cook	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	83775 BATES RD JACKSON NJ 08527 Reported: 02/2008 - 08/2008 County: Ocean	2x Map It	485-40-5154 (DECEASED) Issued: 1954-1955 in IA	<i>See attached "A"</i>	N
	OBAMA BARACK	1000 33RD AVE FORT WORTH TX 76180 Reported: 08/2008 - 08/2008 County: Tarrant	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	505 FARR C COLUMBUS GA 31907-6275 Reported: 01/2008 - 08/2008 County: Muscogee	3x Map It		420-67-2965	N
	OBAMA BARACK	1603 RUCKER RD ALPHARETTA GA 30004-1435 Reported: 08/2008 - 08/2008 County: FULTON	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	180 N LA SALLE ST 2200 CHICAGO IL 60601-2610 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 06/01/2007 - 06/01/2008 County: Cook	4x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK	OBAMA LN FRANKLIN WI 53132 Reported: 05/2008 - 06/2008 County: Milwaukee	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	123 WHITE HOUSE IRVINE CA 92618 Reported: 06/2008 - 06/2008 County: ORANGE	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	15 A 1A MANALAPAN FL 33462 Reported: 05/2008 - 05/2008 County: Palm Beach	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5046 S GREENWOOD AVE CHICAGO IL 60615-2806 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 12/01/2007 - 04/01/2008 County: Cook	5x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N

	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 03/25/2008 - 03/25/2008 County: Cook	1x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK	NO ADDRESS REPORTED CHICAGO IL 0 Reported: 03/03/2008 - 03/03/2008				N
	OBAMA BARACK	40 TRANSFER ST DENVER CO 80207 Reported: 03/2008 - 03/2008 County: Denver	1x Map It		Landline: (303)545-0199	N
	OBAMA BARACK	111 PENNSYLVANIA AVE WASHINGTON UT 84780 Reported: 08/2007 - 02/2008 County: Washington	3x Map It	799-89-7090		N
	OBAMA BARACK	505 FARR C COLUMBUS GA 31907 Reported: 02/2008 - 02/2008 County: Muscogee	1x Map It	423-29-2961 Issued: 1988-1989 in AL		N
	OBAMA BARACK LWYR	14 W ERIE ST CHICAGO IL 60610 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 01/02/2008 - 01/02/2008 County: Cook	7x Map It		Landline: (312)751-1170 Cell: (312)310-0069	N

E-mail: bobama@lawmbg.com

(No IP Address Reported)

Phone: (312)751-1170

Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK PK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 01/02/2008 - 01/02/2008 County: Cook	8x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK	PO BOX 1236 PROVO UT 84603-1236 Reported: 01/2008 - 01/2008 County: Utah	1x			N
	OBAMA BARACK	15 A1A MANALAPAN FL 33434 Reported: 01/2008 - 01/2008 County: Palm Beach	1x Map It	651-23-4987		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 11/15/2007 - 11/15/2007 County: Cook	8x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	MR OBAMA BARACK H	5046 S GREENWOOD AVE CHICAGO IL 60615-2806 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 07/2005 - 11/15/2007 County: Cook	12x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/01/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY SOMERVILLE MA 02145 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 10/01/2007 County: Middlesex	3x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY B1 SOMERVILLE MA 02145 Reported: 07/17/2001 - 10/01/2007 County: Middlesex	12x Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK	918 BAINBRIDGE ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19147 Reported: 08/2007 - 08/2007 County: Philadelphia	1x Map It			N

	OBAMA BARACK	123 MAIN ST LANSING MI 48910 Reported: 07/2007 - 07/2007 County: Ingham	1x	Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK H	180 N LA SALLE ST 2200N CHICAGO IL 60601-2501 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 02/2007 - 06/2007 County: Cook	2x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
Reports	Name	Address	Maps		SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK	1236 PO BOX PROVO UT 84603 Reported: 06/2007 - 06/2007 County: Utah	2x		901-09-8765		N
	OBAMA BARACK	610 E OLD WILLOW RD PROSPECT HEIGHTS IL 60070-1913 Reported: 04/2007 - 04/2007 County: Cook	1x	Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	505 CATHARINE ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19147-3009 Reported: 04/2007 - 04/2007 County: Philadelphia	1x	Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 S EAST-VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615-5916 Reported: 07/2006 - 07/2006 County: Cook	3x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK S	607 E ADAMS ST SPRINGFIELD IL 62701-1634 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 04/21/2006 - 05/07/2006 County: Sangamon	9x	Map It		Landline: (217)492-5089	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	300 MASSACHUSETTS AVE 5 WASHINGTON DC 20001 Reported: 02/01/2006 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	1x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	227 6TH ST WASHINGTON DC 20002 Reported: 02/01/2006 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	1x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	300 MASSACHUSETTS AVE WASHINGTON DC 20001-2629 Reported: 09/2005 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	10x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	300 MASSACHUSETTS AV WASHINGTON DC 20001-2640 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	3x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	227 6TH ST WASHINGTON DC 20002 Reported: 02/01/2006 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	8x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
Reports	Name	Address	Maps		SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK H AKA: OBAMA BARACK AKA:	227 6TH ST WASHINGTON DC 20002-6067 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 02/01/2006 County: District of Columbia	2x	Map It	██████████-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N

Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
E-mail: bobama@lawmbg.com (No IP Address Reported) Phone: (312)751-1170						
	OBAMA BARACK	14 WERIE ST CHICAGO IL 60610-5397 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 12/21/2004 - 01/2006 County: Cook	2x Map It		Landline: (312)751-1170	N
	OBAMA BARACK	5046 S GREENWOOD AVE CHICAGO IL 60615-2806 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 07/2005 - 11/2005 County: Cook	2x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	54501 E VIEW PARK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 06/01/1997 - 05/26/2005 County: Cook	6x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	7436 S EUCLID AVE 2 CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 05/26/2005 - 05/26/2005 County: Cook	1x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	54501 SE VW CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 05/26/2005 - 05/26/2005 County: Cook	1x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 E VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 05/26/2005 - 05/26/2005 County: Cook	1x Map It	[REDACTED]-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615-5916 Reported: 05/2005 - 05/2005 County: Cook	1x Map It			N
	OBAMA BARACK	1013 E 53RD ST CHICAGO IL 60615-4311 Reported: 12/21/2004 - 01/14/2005 County: Cook	9x Map It		Landline: (773)363-1996	N
	OBAMA BARACK	14 WERIE ST CHICAGO IL 60654-5397 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 12/21/2004 - 01/06/2005 County: Cook	1x Map It		Landline: (312)751-1170	N
E-mail: bobama@lawmbg.com (No IP Address Reported) Phone: (312)751-1170						
	OBAMA BARACK	10131/ 53RD ST CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 07/2003 - 07/2003 County: Cook	1x Map It		Landline: (773)363-1996	N
	OBAMA BARACK	10131 53RD ST CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 07/2003 - 07/2003 County: Cook	1x Map It		Landline: (773)363-1996	N
	OBAMA BARACK SEN	1741 E 71ST ST CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 02/01/2003 - 02/01/2003 County: Cook	7x Map It		Landline: (773)363-1996	N
E-mail: jenmasondist13@prodigy.net IP address: 192.100.76.133 Reported: 10/						

	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615-5916 Reported: 10/1997 - 10/2002 County: Cook	4x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 07/2002 - 07/2002 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 S EAST VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 07/2002 - 07/2002 County: Cook	1x	Map It	350-60-2302 Issued: 1975-1976 in IL		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	365 BROADWAY SOMERVILLE MA 02145-2440 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 07/17/2001 County: Middlesex	7x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	7436 S EUCLID AVE 2 CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 11/13/2000 - 11/13/2000 County: Cook	8x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	7436 S EUCLID AV CHICAGO IL 60649-3626 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 11/13/2000 County: Cook	8x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 VIEW PA CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1999 - 10/01/1999 County: Cook	8x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
Reports	Name	Address	Maps		SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
	OBAMA BARACK H	54501 SE VIEW PK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 10/01/1999 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	54501 SE VIEW PK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1999 - 10/01/1999 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 S EAST VIEW PA 1 CHICAGO IL 60615-5916 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 10/01/1999 County: Cook	5x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809 Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5450 VIEW PA CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1999 - 10/01/1999 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	49798 PO BOX CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 09/01/1999 - 09/01/1999 County: Cook	1x		-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	B49798 PO CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 09/01/1999 - 09/01/1999 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	B49798 PO CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 09/1999 - 09/1999 County: Cook	2x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N

	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	PO BOX 49798 CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 09/1999 - 09/1999 County: Cook	2x		-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK SEN	2152 E 71ST ST CHICAGO IL 60649 Reported: 05/01/1999 - 05/01/1999 County: Cook	7x	Map It		Landline: (773)363-1996	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	1440 E 52ND ST CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 01/01/1999 - 01/01/1999 County: Cook	8x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N


Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
---------	------	---------	------	-----------	-------	----

	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	1440 E 52ND ST CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 01/01/1999 - 01/01/1999 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY B1 SOMERVILLE MA 02143 Reported: 11/12/1997 - 11/12/1997 County: Middlesex	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	54501 E VIEW PARK CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 06/1997 - 06/1997 County: Cook	4x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	54501 VIEW PA CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1994 - 10/01/1994 County: Cook	16x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	54501 SE VIEW PA CHICAGO IL 60615-5942 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 10/01/1994 County: Cook	5x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 S EAST VIEW PK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 10/01/1994 County: Cook	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	54501 SE VIEW PA CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1994 - 10/01/1994 County: Cook	2x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK	365 BROADWAY ST BOSTON MA 02111 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 08/01/1994 - 08/01/1994 County: Suffolk	4x	Map It		Landline: (617)623-1266	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY ST BOSTON MA 02111 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 08/01/1994 - 08/01/1994 County: Suffolk	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5324 S KIMBARK AVE CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 12/01/1993 - 12/01/1993 County: Cook	8x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 04/08/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N

Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
---------	------	---------	------	-----------	-------	----

	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5324 S KIMBARK AVE CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 12/01/1993 - 12/01/1993	1x	Map It	-4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
--	----------------------	---	----	--------	---	----------------------------	---

Reports	Name	Address	Maps	SSN / DOB	Phone	AR
		County: Cook				
	OBAMA BARACK	5450 EASTVIEW PK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 08/01/1993 - 09/01/1993 County: Cook	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK	7436 S EUCLID AVE CHICAGO IL 60649-3626 Reported: 08/1993 - 08/1993 County: Cook	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 W BROADWAY BOSTON MA 02127 (POSSIBLE HIGH RISK) Reported: 07/01/1991 - 07/01/1991 County: Suffolk	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5324 S KIMBARK AVE CHICAGO IL 60615-5287 Reported: 06/01/1986 - 12/1990 County: Cook	3x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY B1 SOMERVILLE MA 02145 Reported: 08/01/1988 - 09/01/1988 County: Middlesex	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 1990	Landline: (617)623-1266	N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	1N N CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 01/01/1988 - 01/01/1988 County: Cook	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	N 1N CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 01/1988 - 01/1988 County: Cook	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	5429 S HARPER AVE 1N CHICAGO IL 60615 Reported: 10/01/1986 - 10/01/1986 County: Cook	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	Landline: (773)684-4809	N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5429 S HARPER AVE 1N CHICAGO IL 60615-5548 Reported: 08/01/1986 - 10/1986 County: Cook	3x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	1440 E 52ND ST CHICAGO IL 60615-4131 Reported: 04/1986 - 04/1986 County: Cook	1x Map It	██████████ 4425 DOB: 08/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 EASTVIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 County: Cook	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/1961 Age: 48		N
	OBAMA BARACK HUSSEIN	365 BROADWAY B1 SOMERVILLE MA 02145-2440 County: Middlesex	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 1890		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	5450 E VIEW PARK 1 CHICAGO IL 60615 County: Cook	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT		N
	OBAMA BARACK H	54501 SE VW CHICAGO IL 60615 County: Cook	2x Map It	██████████ 4425 Issued: 1977-1979 in CT DOB: 08/04/1961 Age: 48	684-4809	N



Welcome to RootsWeb.com Sign In

DISCOVER MORE >

"A"

Home Searches Family Trees Mailing Lists Message Boards Web Sites Passwords Help

Type In Your Name & Get Your Instant Family Tree!

Your First Name: Your Last Name: Your State:

Social Security Death Index Search Results

85,156,742 Records
last updated on 9-28-2009

The key to your research
[Join Ancestry.com Today!](#)

The most full-featured SSDI search engine on the internet

Field	Value	Records	Results
SSN	5154	1	

Search
Hundreds of
Thousands
of
Family Tree

Viewing 1-1 of 1

Name	Birth	Death	Last Residence	Last Benefit	SSN	Issued	Tools	Order Record?
LUCILLE BALLANTYNE	22 Dec 1912	13 Sep 1998 (V)	50140 (Lamoni, Decatur, IA)	(none specified)	5154	Iowa	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	<input type="checkbox"/>

First Name:

Last Name:

Search

One Family

(V)=(Verified) Report verified with a family member or someone acting on behalf of a family member.
(P)=(Proof) Death Certificate Observed.

You can now order the SS-5 Form for deceased individuals directly from the Social Security Administration online at <https://secure.ssa.gov/apps9/eFOIA-FEWeb/internet/main.jsp>

Last name

First Name

Middle Name (initial)

SSN

Last Residence		Last Benefit	
Zip	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
State	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
County	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
City	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Birth	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

EXHIBIT 6

Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq
29839 Santa Margarita Parkway, STE 100
Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688
Tel: (949) 683-5411; Fax (949) 766-7603
E-Mail: dr_taitz@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Dr. Orly Taitz, Esquire, Pro Se,)
Plaintiff)
)
)
v.)
)
Barrack Hussein Obama,)
Defendant)

Civil Action:

Affidavit of John N. Sampson

1. My name is John N. Sampson. I am over 18 years of age, am of sound mind and free of any mental disease or psychological impairment of any kind or condition.
2. I am a citizen of the United States of America, I am 58 years old, and was born in Jackson Heights, Queens, New York and raised in the State of New York.
3. I am the Chief Executive Officer, Owner, and Operator, of CSI Consulting and Investigations LLC, a consulting and private investigative firm registered with the Secretary of State of Colorado as a Limited Liability Company pursuant to the laws of the State of Colorado. The company was formed in the State of Colorado on January 2, 2009 and is in good standing with the Secretary of State of Colorado. Colorado does not have any licensing requirements or provisions for private investigators.
4. I have personal knowledge of all of the facts and circumstances described herein below and will testify in open court to all of the same.
5. On, or about, November 16, 2009, Orly Taitz, the attorney who is prosecuting the above captioned matter, requested that I access LocatePlus, a commercial database that I subscribe to,

which is located in the State of Massachusetts, and with whom I have a user agreement, and requested that I obtain any and all legally available information relating to U.S. Social Security number ██████████-4425.

6. On, or about, November 16, 2009, pursuant to the aforementioned request by Orly Taitz, I requested from LocatePlus, any and all legally obtainable information relating to SSN ██████████-4425.

7. As a result of this inquiry, I came to learn that Plaintiff Barrack Hussein Obama, has used this Social Security number since at least from June 1, 1986 to present. A detailed report was generated showing family relationships, past residence history, real property owned by Mr. Obama, and other detailed information to include, but not limited to, driver's license information, telephone numbers associated with Mr. Obama, and people possibly related to Mr. Obama.

8. This information was obtained pursuant to a legitimate and permissible search under the user agreement I have with LocatePlus. This request was made in connection with a pending civil action, which is one of the expressed permissible purposes to conduct such an inquiry through LocatePlus, as well as a possible criminal violation of United States law, and possible fraud.

9. As a result of this search and the results that were obtained, on or about November 17, 2009, I accessed a public access database named "SSN Validator" at <http://www.ssnvalidator.com/>. The information this site provided me was that SSN ██████████-4425 was issued by the Social Security Administration based upon an application filed for a Social Security Number in the State of Connecticut between the years 1976 and 1977.

10. Based upon information and belief, Plaintiff Barrack Hussein Obama has never had a direct connection with the State of Connecticut and has never claimed residency in the State of Connecticut.

11. I am a recently retired Senior Deportation Officer of the United States Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (DHS ICE) having retired on August 30, 2008.

12. As a result of my formal training as an immigration officer, conducted at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC), located in Brunswick, Georgia, and advanced training received at FLETC in Artesia, New Mexico and elsewhere during my 27 year career, as well as my professional experience spanning 27 years of federal law enforcement, it is my knowledge and belief that Social Security Numbers can only be applied for in the State in which the applicant habitually resides and has their official residence.

13. During the period between January 1, 1976 and December 31, 1977 inclusive, it is my knowledge and belief that Barrack Hussein Obama habitually resided solely within the State of Hawaii and was between the ages of 14 and 16 during the time period stated above. During that period of time, based upon information and belief, Mr. Obama resided with his maternal grandparents, Madelyn and Stanley Dunham in the State of Hawaii.

14. On or about February 2, 2010, I received an email from a person identifying himself as "Jim Russo", Operations Manager for LocatePlus, 100 Cummings Center, Suite 235M, Beverly, MA, 01915, requesting that I contact him regarding my account.

15. On or about February 3, 2010, I telephoned Mr. Russo at 978-921-2727, extension 319 and inquired as to why he wished to discuss my account. At that time, Mr. Russo stated that LocatePlus had noticed I had conducted what he called a "celebrity political figure" inquiry and wanted to know why I had done so and which permissible reason pursuant to the user agreement I was under with LocatePlus pertained to my making my inquiry.

16. I told Mr. Russo that I was a private investigator in the State of Colorado, that I had been tasked by Dr. Orly Taitz, an attorney in California who was prosecuting a civil suit involving Mr. Obama and that I had emails and other documentation that I could send him verifying that fact. Mr. Russo stated that he would appreciate it if I would send that information to him which I did on or about February 3, 2010. He assured me at that time that if I were to provide this information to him it would resolve any "issues" LocatePlus may have regarding my inquiry into a "political celebrity".

17. In the email I sent to Mr. Russo, I offered to have Dr. Taitz send him an email as well confirming the fact that I had been tasked by her to conduct this inquiry pursuant to a pending civil suit in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. He stated that he would like to receive such an email.

18. On or about February 4, 2010, Dr. Orly Taitz, at my request, sent Mr. Russo an email indicating that she had requested me, in connection with the pending civil suit in California against Mr. Obama, to conduct research through the commercial databases I habitually use as a private investigator, related to SSN ~~0000~~ 4425.

19. Numerous emails have been exchanged between me and Mr. Russo due to the fact that as of February 2, 2010, my account with LocatePlus has been frozen and I can no longer access this database despite the fact that I responded to their inquiries and have provided evidence to them indicating that I had followed the user agreement we have entered into. I have repeatedly asked that my account be unlocked, unfrozen, and made available to me.

20. Despite all of this, as of March 8, 2010, my account remains frozen and I am unable to conduct legitimate, legal database searches in connection with my business. As a result, I am being financially harmed, unable to conduct legal, lawful, legitimate investigations pursuant to law, and unable to provide to my clients, the services they have contracted with me to provide, thereby subjecting me to possible civil litigation for failing to provide contracted services.

21. Based upon information and belief, misuse of a Social Security number is a direct violation of Title 42 United States Code, Section 408(a)(7)(B), which is a federal felony punishable under Title 18 United States Code by fine or imprisonment of up to five years, or both.

22. I swear under the penalties of perjury that all the facts stated and circumstances described above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

23. I have not been compensated for making this affidavit.

Further, Affiant sayeth not.

Signed and executed in Aurora, Colorado on this 8 day of March, 2010.


By: 
John N. Sampson

EXHIBIT 7

State of Texas)
) ss.
County of Montgomery)

AFFIDAVIT

Jeffery Stephen Coffman, being duly sworn, on oath deposes and says:

1. I am a resident of Texas. The information contained in this affidavit is based on my personal knowledge.
2. I am a licensed Private Investigator (licensed A620963) in the State of Texas.
3. I am a retired Resident Agent in Charge/Special Agent from the Department of Homeland Security having retired after 20 years during June 2007.
4. I am a retired Chief Investigator with the United States Coast Guard Investigative Service (Reserve) having retired after 22 years during March 2010.
5. I was formerly an Investigator with the Office of the Attorney General with the State of Texas serving from August 2008 until I quit during September 2009.
6. On my own and not as an Investigator or Special Agent with any state or federal agency I looked into circumstances surrounding then U.S. Senator Barack Obama's Selective Service registration.
7. I have utilized the Selective Service's "Check A Registration" online function (www.sss.gov) numerous times to verify whether a required registration was properly completed.
8. On or about February 13, 2008, I sent an email to information@sss.gov asking why Senator Obama's registration didn't show up when queried on the Selective Service website (www.sss.gov).
9. On the same day (February 13, 2008) I received an email from information@sss.gov stating "Sir: There may be an error in his file or many other reasons why his registration cannot be confirmed on-line. However, I did confirm with our Data Management Center that he is, indeed, registered with the Selective Service System, in compliance with Federal law. Sincerely, Janice L. Hughes/SSS".
10. On September 7, 2008 I viewed a televised interview in which then Senator Obama stated that he registered for the Selective Service when he graduated from high school. I recalled that Senator Obama graduated from high school in 1979 and the Selective Service registration requirements were not reinstated until 1980.
11. I submitted a Freedom Of Information Act (FOIA) to the Selective Service System for Senator Obama's Selective Service registration. I received a copy of a Selective Service registration in the name of Barack Hussein Obama and a copy of a computer screen print out with a date of 09/09/08.
12. Reviewing these copies I noted several areas of concern. For example, I noted that on the registration copy the signature of the registrant was dated July 30, 1980, but the United States Postal cancellation stamp indicating date received was dated the previous day of July 29, 80. I also noted that the "No ID" block was checked indicating that the registrant did not present an ID when the registration

was said to have been presented to the postal clerk. The most outstanding aspect of the registration card was that the aforementioned U.S. Postal Cancellation Stamp had only a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year.


13. During my active military service with the US Army from 1973 to 1977, I served as a collateral duty as one of my unit's mail clerk. During that service I was familiar with US Postal regulations and procedures. It was my understanding that the US Postal Cancellation stamp was a four digit year and not a two digit year. I also knew that the day, month, and year of that model postal cancellation stamp are removable inserts that the postal clerk changes as appropriate. I have never seen a two digit insert for that model postal cancellation stamp.
14. Over several weeks, I researched the issue on the internet attempting to find any other incident where that model cancellation stamp had a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year. I was unable to find any other instance where that model postal cancellation stamp had a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year.
15. Using my training and experiences, I analyzed the image of the postal cancellation stamp on the copy of the registration. It is my conclusion that a four digit year insert ending in "08" was modified by cutting off the first two digits and reinserting the "08" upside down into the postal cancellation stamp to indicate a year of "80". The only four digit year ending in "08" I felt that would be reasonably available would be a "2008" year insert.
16. On the copy of the computer screen printout received under the FOIA I noticed that there was a line marked DLN and the number of "8089 708 0632". I also noted that on the copy of the Selective Service registration card there was a similar number in the upper right hand corner. This number was "0897080632". The number on the registration card appeared to be a "Bates" type print that automatically changes with each impression.
17. The differences between the DLN number on the computer screen printout and the registration card printout appears to be the addition of the digit "8". I know of no reason for the addition of the "8" in the DLN.
18. Based on my observations, research, experience and training, it is my belief that the Selective Service registration card I received under the Freedom Of Information Act request under the name of Barack Obama has been altered.




 Jeffery Stephen Coffman

Sworn to me and subscribed before me this

2nd day of January 2013.



 TYLER G. WELLS
 Notary Public



RIMS HISTORY INQUIRY SCREEN

PAGE 001 OF 002

DATE: 09/09/08

SSN NO: 61-112539-1 TRANS-CODE/TYPE: 110 /
LAST NAME: OBAMA
FIRST NAME: BARACK HUSSEIN
CURRENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU HI 96826
PERMANENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU 96826
DATE OF BIRTH: 08/04/61
SSAN: ██████████
TELEPHONE: 808 000 0000
DLN: 8089 708 0632 REASON:
TRANSACTION DATE: 09/04/80 ID INDICATOR: 2
LAST ACTION DATE: 09/04/80
RELATED SSN: 00 0000000 0

PRESS: CLEAR ==> END PF7 ==> PAGE BACKWARD PF8 ==> PAGE FORWARD



SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM
Registration Form
READ PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT ON REVERSE
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

0897050632

1 DATE OF BIRTH 08/04/61 2 SEX MALE FEMALE

August 4 61

4 PRINT FULL NAME
Last: OBAMA First: BARACK Middle: HUSSEIN

5 CURRENT MAILING ADDRESS
Number and Street: 1617 S. BERETANIA APT. 1008 City: HONOLULU State or Foreign Country: HI Zip Code: 96826

6 PERMANENT RESIDENCE
Number and Street: SAME AS ABOVE City: _____ State or Foreign Country: _____ Zip Code: _____

7 CURRENT PHONE NUMBER
Area Code: 808 Number: 949 2317

8 Check here if we may give your name, address and telephone number to Armed Forces recruiters.

9 I AFFIRM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE
Today's Date: JULY 30, 1980 Signature of Registrant: Barack A. Obama

Postal Date Stamp & Clerk Initials

ID
 NO ID
 OTHER

EXHIBIT 8



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

DEC 10 2009

Case No.: 200900535

Segment: PPT

Ms. Pamela Barnett
2541 Warrego Way
Sacramento, CA 95826

Dear Ms. Barnett:

I refer to your request letter we received on January 2, 2009 under the Freedom of Information Act (Title 5 USC Section 552) for a copy of documents relating to Stanley Ann Dunham. We initiated a search of the Office of Passport Services.

The search of the records of the Office of Passport Services has been completed and has resulted in the retrieval of six documents that are responsive to your request. These documents have been reviewed and the results are set forth in the accompanying letter (with enclosures) of Jonathan M. Rolbin, Director.

We have now completed the processing of your request. If you have any questions with respect to our handling of your case, you may write to the Office of Information Programs and Services, SA-2, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20522-8100. Please be sure to refer to the case number shown above in all correspondence about this case.

We hope that the Department has been of service to you in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Frankie Tuminiano for".

Alex Galovich, Co-Director, Acting
Office of Information Programs and Services

Enclosures:

As stated



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

NOV 2010

In reply refer to:
CA/PPT/L/LE – Case Control Number: 200900535

Pamela Barnett
2541 Warrego Way
Sacramento, CA 95826

Dear Ms. Barnett:

The following is in response to your request to the Department of State dated January 2, 2009, requesting the release of material under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552).

We have completed a search for records responsive to your request. The search resulted in the retrieval of six documents that are responsive to your request. After careful review of these documents, we have determined that that all six documents may be released in full.


We did not locate a 1965 passport application referenced in an application for amendment of passport that is included in the released documents. Many passport applications and other non-vital records from that period were destroyed during the 1980s in accordance with guidance from the General Services Administration.

Passport records typically consist of applications for United States passports and supporting evidence of United States citizenship. Passport records do not include evidence of travel such as entrance/exit stamps, visas, residence permits, etc. since this information is entered into the passport book after issuance.

-2-

This completes the processing of your request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JR', written over the word 'Sincerely,'.

Jonathan M. Rolbin, Director

Office of Legal Affairs and Law Enforcement Liaison
Bureau of Consular Affairs
Passport Services

Enclosure:
As stated

DEPARTMENT OF STATE APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT OF PASSPORT		(Passport Office Use Only) Amend as shown in section: <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> Add visa pages. <input type="checkbox"/>							
INSTRUCTIONS: All requests for inclusion of persons must be sworn to (or affirmed) before an Agent of the Department of State or Clerk of Court. Photographs, which meet the requirements below, and evidence of citizenship must be submitted for all persons to be included by this amendment. If such persons have had, or been included in, a previous passport, it should be submitted instead of other documents, and Section G completed.									
A PASSPORT NO. OF APPLICANT F 777753		DATE ISSUED July 19, 1965							
MAIL PASSPORT TO STREET 2234 University Ave. CITY Honolulu, STATE Hawaii 96822		BIRTH CERTIFICATE(S) SEEN CHILDREN'S (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FILED SR CR CITY FILED SR CR CITY MARRIAGE CERT. NAT'N. CERT. OTHER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S & R <input type="checkbox"/> S & R <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Signature) 6-29-67							
IN CARE OF _____ (PLEASE PRINT NAME IN FULL) (First name) (Middle name) (Last name) Stanley Ann Soetoro, a citizen of the United States, do hereby request that my passport, which is enclosed, be amended as indicated below.									
B INCLUDE MY CHILD(REN), AS FOLLOWS: (Also complete Section H if child(ren) acquired citizenship by naturalization, and have not had a previous passport.)		(Photo requirements for inclusion) STAPLE ONE PHOTO HERE DO NOT MAR FACE Photos must be ONLY of persons to be included by this amendment. The two photos must be duplicates, approximately 2 1/2 by 3 1/2 inches in size; be on thin, unglazed paper with a plain, light background and have been taken within 2 years of date submitted. Photos should be front view, but not full-length, and may not be snapshot, Polaroid, acetate or film base prints. When more than 1 person is to be included, a group photo is required. Color photos are acceptable. DO NOT STAPLE SECOND PHOTO ATTACH BY PAPER CLIP							
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>NAME IN FULL</th> <th>PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)</th> <th>DATE OF BIRTH</th> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </table>				NAME IN FULL	PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)	DATE OF BIRTH			
NAME IN FULL	PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)	DATE OF BIRTH							
C INCLUDE MY (WIFE) (HUSBAND), AS FOLLOWS: (Also complete Section H if (wife) (husband) acquired citizenship by naturalization, and/or Section I if wife was previously married before March 3, 1931.)		(STAPLE HERE) (STAPLE HERE)							
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>(WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME</th> <th>PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)</th> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <th>DATE OF BIRTH</th> <th>DATE OF MARRIAGE</th> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </table>				(WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME	PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)			DATE OF BIRTH	DATE OF MARRIAGE
(WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME	PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)								
DATE OF BIRTH	DATE OF MARRIAGE								
D EXCLUDE PERSONS, AS FOLLOWS:									
<input type="checkbox"/> MY WIFE <input type="checkbox"/> MY HUSBAND <input type="checkbox"/> MY CHILDREN (Give name(s))		WHO IS/ARE <input type="checkbox"/> TO APPLY FOR SEPARATE PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> NOT TO ACCOMPANY <input type="checkbox"/>							
E CHANGE TO READ IN MARRIED NAME, AS FOLLOWS:									
MARRIED NAME Stanley Ann Soetoro									
PLACE OF MARRIAGE (City, State) Molokai, Hawaii		DATE OF MARRIAGE 3/15/65							
HUSBAND'S NAME IN FULL Lolo Soetoro		WHO IS <input type="checkbox"/> A UNITED STATES CITIZEN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A CITIZEN OF Indonesia							
F CHANGE TO READ AS FOLLOWS:									
G (CHILD(REN)'S) (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) LAST U. S. PASSPORT									
NUMBER _____		DATE ISSUED _____							
IN NAME OF _____		<input type="checkbox"/> IS SUBMITTED HEREWITH <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER DISPOSITION (State) _____							

(Last name) Soetoro
 (First name) Stanley
 (Middle name) Ann

TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT REQUESTING INCLUSION IN THE PASSPORT OF A RELATIVE WHO ACQUIRED CITIZENSHIP THROUGH NATURALIZATION

MY THE U. S. ON (Month, day, year)	IMMIGRATED TO ON (Month, day, year)	ACQUIRED U. S. CITIZENSHIP ON (Month, day, year)	THROUGH THE NATURALIZATION OF <input type="checkbox"/> SELF <input type="checkbox"/> PARENT <input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND
---------------------------------------	--	---	--

WHO WAS NATURALIZED BEFORE THE (Name of court)	LOCATED IN (City, State)
--	--------------------------

AS SHOWN BY THE ACCOMPANYING CERTIFICATE OF NATURALIZATION NO.

TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHOSE WIFE WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED BEFORE MARCH 3, 1931, AND WHO IS TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT (If married more than twice, set forth facts in a supplemental statement)

HER MAIDEN NAME WAS	DATE OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGE
NAME OF FORMER HUSBAND	PLACE OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGE
FORMER HUSBAND'S PLACE OF BIRTH	MARRIAGE WAS TERMINATED BY DATE <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE

IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY NAME IN FULL	RELATIONSHIP	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE
--	--------------	-----------------------------

I have not (and no other person included or to be included in the passport has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; voted in a political election in a foreign state or participated in an election or plebiscite to determine the sovereignty over foreign territory; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.


!! If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person included or to be included in the passport, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application. !

I solemnly swear (affirm) that the statements herein made are true and that I have not previously asked to have these additional persons included in my passport; that they are not now in possession of valid passports, and that they have not made application for passports and been refused.

X Stanley Ann Sectors
(Signature of Applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

(Agent, Department of State or Clerk of Court)

DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA APPLICATION FOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RENEWAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT <input type="checkbox"/> EXTENSION OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> CARD OF IDENTITY <input type="checkbox"/> REGISTRATION <input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFICATE OF IDENTITY		POST <u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u> <input type="checkbox"/> REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT FOR ACTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RENEWED (EXTENDED) TO <u>Jul. 18, 1970</u> <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED AS REQUESTED \$ <u>5.00</u> FEE COLLECTED <input type="checkbox"/> NO FEE COLLECTED
Document No. <u>F 777788</u> Date Issued <u>J. 1969</u>		
(PLEASE PRINT NAME IN FULL) (FIRST NAME) (MIDDLE NAME) (LAST NAME) <u>Stanley Ann Dunham Suetoro</u> , a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for the service indicated above. (If amendment, set forth details on REVERSE.)		
DATE OF BIRTH (Month, day, year) <u>Nov 29, 1942</u>		PLACE OF BIRTH <u>Wichita Kansas</u>
NOW RESIDING AT <u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u>		
UNITED STATES RESIDENCE (Street address, city, county, state)		
IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY (Name in full, relationship, street address, city, state) <u>Stanley Ann Dunham Park of Hawaii Honolulu</u>		
HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES? IF THE ANSWER IS YES, EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">NO</p>		
PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES PERMANENTLY TO RESIDE WITHIN <u>Indefinite</u> YEARS _____ MONTHS		IF RETURNING TO U. S. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PORT OF DEPARTURE
I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING PERIOD AND PURPOSE <u>INDEFINATE MARRIED TO AN INDONESIAN CITIZEN</u>		NAME OF SHIP OR AIRLINE DATE OF DEPARTURE
I have not (and no other person included or to be included in the passport or documentation has), since acquiring United States citizenship; been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; voted in a political election in a foreign state or participated in an election or plebiscite to determine the sovereignty over foreign territory; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States. (If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person included in the passport or documentation, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)		
 _____ (To be signed by Applicant)		
Subscribed and Sworn to (affirmed) before me this <u>13th</u> day of <u>August</u> , 19 <u>69</u> (SEAL) _____ Vice Consul _____ of the United States at <u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u>		
(The Department will assume that the consular officer, forwarding the application for the Department's decision, is fully satisfied as to the applicant's identity unless a notation to the contrary is made.)		

STANLEY (LAST NAME)

ANN (FIRST NAME)

DUNHAM (MIDDLE NAME)

TO BE PRINTED IN FULL

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
 APPLICATION FOR PASSPORT BY MAIL

Your previous passport issued within the past eight years, two signed photographs and the fee of \$10 MUST accompany this application.

(Passport Office Use Only)
 R D 030097
 NF EMO

SERIES C
JAN 1972
 DEPARTMENT OF STATE
 HONOLULU, HAWAII

A

(First name) (Middle name) (Last name)
Stanley ANN DUNHAM SOETORO

MAIL PASSPORT TO:
 IN CARE OF (if applicable) **Stanley DUNHAM**
 STREET **1617 South Beretania**
 CITY **HONOLULU** STATE **Hawaii** ZIP CODE **96914**
 PHONE NOS. Area Code: Home: **949-2317** Business: **-**

DATE OF BIRTH: Month **Nov** Day **29** Year **42** PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province, Country) **Wichita, Kansas**

HEIGHT **5 FT 5 1/2 IN** COLOR OF HAIR (Specify) **Brown** COLOR OF EYES (Specify) **Brown** APPROXIMATE DATE OF DEPARTURE **Jan 14, 1972**

VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS: OCCUPATION **Teacher**

B

MOST RECENT PASSPORT ISSUED WITHIN PAST 6 YEARS MUST BE ATTACHED
 No. **F211188** Issue Date **July 19, 1965** SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

MY PERMANENT RESIDENCE (If same as mailing address, write "Same as")
DTL TAMAN MATRAMAN BARAT IF YOU WERE BORN ABROAD, WERE BOTH OF YOUR PARENTS U.S. CITIZENS AT THE TIME OF YOUR BIRTH? Yes No
22 PAV. DJAKARTA, INDONESIA

IN THE EVENT OF EMERGENCY OR DEATH NOTIFICATION (Do not show name of person who will accompany you when traveling)
 (Name in full) (Relationship) (Street address, City, State, ZIP Code)
STANLEY A. DUNHAM FATHER 1617 S. BERETANIA

C

PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS

PURPOSE OF TRIP **RETURN home** MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION: Sea Air Other
 PROPOSED LENGTH OF STAY **INDEFINITE** DO YOU EXPECT TO TAKE ANOTHER TRIP ABROAD? Yes No IF SO, WITHIN: 1 year 2 years 3 years
 NO. OF PREVIOUS TRIPS ABROAD WITHIN LAST 12 MONTHS: COUNTRIES TO BE VISITED **INDONESIA**

D

WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or affidavits or other supporting documents are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. The alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1643. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions therein is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544.

I have not since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state, taken an oath, or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state, entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state, accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the Government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof, made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States, or before the state embassy or consulate of the United States, or committed any act of treason against, or attempted by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, pillory or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.

If any of the above mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement should be attached, signed and made a part of this application.

DECLARATION

I declare under the penalties of 18 USC 1001 and 1542 (see WARNING, above) that the statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further declare that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; and that I take this obligation freely, without mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

Jan 7 1972 **Stanley Ann Dunham Soetoro**
 (Date) (Signature of applicant)

(Passport Office Use Only)
 JAN 1972 12002



File

DEPARTMENT OF STATE		
REQUEST BY UNITED STATES NATIONAL FOR AND REPORT OF EXCEPTION TO SECTION 53.1, TITLE 22 OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS		
REQUEST		
<p>I have been informed that my passport is not valid and that a valid passport is required by law to enter the United States. I request that an exception be granted to me, as provided in Section 53.2(h), Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations. I understand that a fee of \$25 is required under Section 53.2(h) and I will remit such fee to the Passport Office, Department of State, Washington, D. C., 20524, within 30 days.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Stanley Ann Soetoro</i> (Signature)</p>		
REPORT - Pursuant to Section 215 of the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952		
TO: Director, Passport Office Department of State Washington, D. C. 20524 Attn: PT/AC		
SUBJECT		
NAME	DESCRIPTION	
<u>STANLEY ANN SOETORO</u>	5'10" Brown Brown 135 lb.	
HOME ADDRESS <u>Djalan Taman Matreman 32 Pav., Djakarta, Indonesia</u> (Honolulu, 1617 South Paratania, c/o Stanley Dunham)		
BIRTHDATE	NATURALIZATION DATE	PASSPORT NO., DATE AND PLACE OF ISSUANCE
Nov. 29, 1942	N. A.	F 777733 07-19-65 Honolulu, Hawaii
BIRTHPLACE		
Wichita, Kansas		
DEPARTURE FROM UNITED STATES		
DATE AND PLACE OF DEPARTURE	DESTINATION	
October 1967, Honolulu, Hawaii	Djakarta, Indonesia	
FLIGHT NUMBER OR VESSEL	NAME OF CARRIER	
-	Japan Airlines	
TRAVEL TO UNITED STATES		
DATE AND PLACE OF DEPARTURE FROM ABROAD	IDENTITY DOCUMENTS PRESENTED	
October 20, 1971, Djakarta, Indonesia	Passport as shown above ✓	
FLIGHT NUMBER OR VESSEL	NAME OF CARRIER	
FAA 512	Pan American Airways	
DATE AND PLACE OF ENTRY	DESTINATION	
October 21, 1971, Honolulu, Hawaii	Honolulu, Hawaii	
ACTION TAKEN		
Identity and citizenship established. Exception granted under 22 CFR 53.2(h). <div style="text-align: right;">OCT 21 1971 (Inspector's Stamp)</div>		
PLACE (Immigration and Naturalization Service)	SIGNATURE (Immigration Officer)	
HONOLULU, HAWAII	<i>Leonard Soen</i>	

STANLEY ANN SOETORO

B.I.A. SENT - 11/29/71 ✓ main record 11-29-71



(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE - PENCIL NOT ACCEPTABLE)

For Department Decision

DEPARTMENT OF STATE APPLICATION FOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> REGISTRATION		POST LOCATION: <u>Jakarta, Indonesia</u> POST ACTION: <u>PASSPORT ISSUED</u> PASSPORT ISSUED No. <u>22433100</u> REGISTRATION APPROVED Date _____ Date <u>June 2, 1976</u> Expires _____ Expires <u>June 1, 1981</u> CARD OF IDENTITY AND REG. No. _____ Date _____			
Complete ALL entries in all sections that apply to you. If information is unknown, write "Unknown". Do not leave blank spaces. Use additional sheets where space provided is not adequate.					
TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS (First name) (Middle name) (Last name) <u>STANLEY ANN SOETORO</u> , a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for (a passport) (registration)					
DATE OF BIRTH Month <u>11</u> Day <u>29</u> Year <u>42</u>		PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state/province, country) <u>WICHITA, KANSAS, USA</u>			
HEIGHT <u>5</u> Ft. <u>5 1/2</u> In.	COLOR OF HAIR (Spell out) <u>BROWN</u>	COLOR OF EYES (Spell out) <u>BROWN</u>	SOCIAL SECURITY NO. <u>535-40-8522</u>		
VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS <u>NONE</u>		OCCUPATION <u>GRAD. STUDENT</u>			
NOW RESIDING AT <u>DJL. HADJI ROMLI 23 MENTENG DALAM, JAKARTA</u>		MY LAST PASSPORT WAS OBTAINED FROM (Date: If included in another's passport, state name of bearer) Location of Issuing Office <u>HONOLULU</u> Date of issuance <u>JAN. 4, 1972</u>			
PERMANENT RESIDENCE (Street address, city, state, zip code) (If same as above, write "same as above") <u>1617 S. BERETANIA, HONOLULU, HAWAII, HI 96814</u>		Number: <u>C 030077</u> Bearer: <u>self</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cancelled and returned <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned <input type="checkbox"/> Other disposition (state)			
IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY (Name in full, relationship, street address, city, state) <u>STANLEY DUNHAM (FATHER) 1617 S. BERETANIA # 1008 HONOLULU, HI, HI 96814</u>		MY LAST REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES WAS APPROVED Location of Registering Office _____ Date of Registration _____			
HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No IF ANSWER IS "YES", EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY					
TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHO BECAME A CITIZEN THROUGH NATURALIZATION					
I IMMIGRATED TO THE U.S. (Month, year)	I RESIDED CONTINUOUSLY IN THE U.S. From (Year) To (Year)	NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned <input type="checkbox"/> Previously submitted			
PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)		NATURALIZATION COURT _____ DATE NATURALIZED _____			
COMPLETE ONLY IF OTHERS ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AND SUBMIT GROUP PHOTOGRAPH					
(WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME		<input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE BORN NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO. _____ <input type="checkbox"/> NATURALIZED <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned			
PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)		NATURALIZATION COURT _____ DATE NATURALIZED _____			
(WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State or Province, Country)		DATE OF BIRTH (Mo., Day, Year)			
NAME IN FULL OF CHILDREN INCLUDED	PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state/province, country)	DATE OF BIRTH (Month, day, year)	RESIDED IN U.S. (From-To)		
EVIDENCE OF PRIOR DOCUMENTATION OF ABOVE-NAMED PERSONS TO BE INCLUDED (For completion by Consular Office)					
NAMES	PASSPORT NO.	DATE OF ISSUE	CANCELED OR OTHER DISPOSITION	DATE OF REGISTRATION OR BIRTH REPORT	LOCATION OF OFFICE
OTHER EVIDENCE OF U.S. CITIZENSHIP PRESENTED (State disposition)					

FORM FS-176 9-74

PAGE 2

FATHER'S NAME STANLEY DUNHAM		FATHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province or Country) WICHITA, KANSAS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. CITIZEN <input type="checkbox"/> NOT U.S. CITIZEN
DATE NATURALIZED		PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)		
FATHER'S DATE OF BIRTH MARCH 23, 1918		<input type="checkbox"/> FATHER DECEASED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FATHER RESIDING AT HONOLULU, HI	FATHER RESIDED IN U.S. From BIRTH To PRESENT	
MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME MADELYN PAYNE		MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province or Country) PERU, KANSAS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. CITIZEN <input type="checkbox"/> NOT U.S. CITIZEN
DATE NATURALIZED		PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)		
MOTHER'S DATE OF BIRTH OCT. 26, 1922		<input type="checkbox"/> MOTHER DECEASED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MOTHER RESIDING AT HONOLULU, HI	MOTHER RESIDED IN U.S. From BIRTH To PRESENT	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAS NEVER MARRIED		PRESENT FULL LEGAL NAME OF HUSBAND OR WIFE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I WAS LAST MARRIED ON (Date) MARCH 15, 1965		LOLO SOETORO		
HUSBAND'S OR WIFE'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state) BANDUNG, INDONESIA		HUSBAND'S OR WIFE'S DATE OF BIRTH JAN. 2, 1935		<input type="checkbox"/> HUSBAND OR WIFE IS U.S. CITIZEN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HUSBAND OR WIFE IS NOT U.S. CITIZEN
HUSBAND OR WIFE NOW RESIDING AT DJAKARTA, INDONESIA				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARRIAGE NOT TERMINATED				
<input type="checkbox"/> MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE ON				
PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES WITHIN <u>1</u> MONTHS ____ YEARS TO <input type="checkbox"/> RESIDE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input type="checkbox"/> INDEFINITE				
<input type="checkbox"/> I NEVER INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES				
I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON PLAN TO RETURN TO INDONESIA SEPT, FEB, 77 TO COMPLETE DISSERTATION RESEARCH				
COMPLETE IF RETURNING TO U.S.				
PORT OF DEPARTURE DJAKARTA		DATE OF DEPARTURE JUNE 16, 1976		
NAME OF SHIP OR AIRLINE PAN AM				
<p>WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or in affidavits or other supporting documents submitted therewith are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. Alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions contained therein or of the passport regulations is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544.</p> <p>I have not (and no other person included in the application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.</p> <p>(If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person to be included in the passport or registration, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)</p> <p>I solemnly swear (or affirm) that the statements made on all the pages of this application are true and that the photograph attached is a likeness of me and of those persons to be included in the passport.</p>				
(To be signed at same time by husband/wife to be included in passport)		(To be signed by Applicant in presence of person administering oath)		
Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this <u>1st</u> day of <u>June</u> , 19 <u>76</u>				
(Seal)	Alfred Harding IV			
Consul	_____ of the United States at		Jakarta, Indonesia	
IDENTIFYING DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED (See 8 FAM 243, Procedures)				

T
th
of
ci
id
W
sh
ca
ne

APPLICATION FOR PASSPORT REGISTRATION

Write ALL entries in all sections that apply to you. If information is unknown, write "Unknown." Do not leave blank spaces. Use additional sheets where space provided is not adequate. PRINT OR TYPE ENTRIES.

POST LOCATION Jakarta, Indonesia

POST ACTION:
 PASSPORT ISSUED REGISTRATION APPROVED
 No. 23037221 Date _____
 Date 4/28/81 Expires _____
 Expires 4/27/85 CARD OF IDENTITY AND REG.
 \$3 Application fee collected No. _____
 \$10 Fee collected Date _____
 No Fee passport \$5 fee collected (for card)
 Official passport 48-page 96-page passport

TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS

(First name) (Middle name) (Last name)
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM
 I, _____ a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for (a passport) (registration).

APPLICANT'S EVIDENCE OF CITIZENSHIP
 Birth Certificate Passport
 Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship
 Date: 6/2/76
 Bearer's Name: self Submitted Herewith
 No.: 22433100 Canceled & Returned
 Place: Jakarta Seen & Returned

SEX (M/F) F BIRTHPLACE (City, State or Province, Country) WICHITA, KANSAS, U.S.A.
 BIRTH DATE Month Day Year Nov. 29 1942

HEIGHT 5 Ft. 1/2 In. COLOR OF HAIR (Spell out) BROWN COLOR OF EYES (Spell out) BROWN SOCIAL SECURITY NO. (Not mandatory) 535-40-8522

NOW RESIDING AT Jalan Daksa I/14, Kebayoran Baru Jakarta Selatan, Indonesia

MY LAST REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES WAS APPROVED
 Location of Registering Office _____ Date of Registration _____

PERMANENT RESIDENCE (Street Address, City, State, U.S. ZIP Code) (if same as above, so indicate) 1617 South Beretania, Apt.1008, Hon., Hawaii

IN THE EVENT OF ACCIDENT OR DEATH NOTIFY (Not mandatory) (Do not give name of a person who will accompany you when traveling)
 Name in full: Stanley Dunham Relationship: Father
 Address: 1617 South Beretania, Apt. 1008, Honolulu, Hawaii Phone No.: (808) 949-2317

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES? Yes No
 IF ANSWER IS "YES," EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY



FATHER'S NAME STANLEY DUNHAM BIRTHPLACE WICHITA, KANSAS, U.S.A. BIRTH DATE March 23 1918 U.S. CITIZEN Yes No
 MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME MADELYN PAYNE BIRTHPLACE PERU, KANSAS, U.S.A. BIRTH DATE Oct. 26, '22 U.S. CITIZEN Yes No
 I WAS LAST MARRIED ON March 5, '64 TO (Wife's/Husband's full legal/maiden name - complete what married, widowed or divorced) Lilo Soetoro, MAUI, HAWAII
 I WAS NEVER MARRIED

WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S BIRTHPLACE Bandung, Indone- WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S BIRTH DATE Jan. 2, 1936 U.S. CITIZEN Yes No
 MARRIAGE NOT TERMINATED
 MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY
 DEATH
 DIVORCE ON Aug. 28, 1980

IF YOU OR ANYONE INCLUDED IN SECTION B OF THIS APPLICATION BEEN ISSUED OR INCLUDED IN A U.S. PASSPORT? Yes No
 IF YES, SUBMIT PASSPORT. IF UNABLE TO SUBMIT MOST RECENT PASSPORT, STATE ITS DISPOSITION: Am submitting No.: 22433100 Issue Date: June 2, 1976

(PHOTO REQUIREMENTS FOR PERSONS TO BE INCLUDED)
 Photos must be ONLY of persons to be included (other than passport bearer). When more than one person is to be included, a group photograph of the inclusions is required.
 CONSULATE WILL STAPLE PHOTO OF INCLUSIONS HERE.
 DO NOT IMPRESS SEAL ON PHOTOGRAPHS.

COMPLETE IF CHILDREN OR BROTHERS AND SISTERS UNDER AGE 13, AND/OR WIFE/HUSBAND, ARE TO BE INCLUDED AND SUBMIT PHOTO

(CONSULAR OFFICE USE ONLY)
 WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S EVIDENCE

WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S FULL LEGAL NAME _____
 BIRTHPLACE (City, State or Province, Country) _____ BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Yr.) _____

Submitted Herewith
 Canceled & Returned
 Seen & Returned

CHILD(REN)'S NAME(S) IN FULL _____ BIRTHPLACE(S) (City, State or Country) _____ BIRTH DATE(S) (Mo., Day, Yr.) _____

CHILD(REN)'S EVIDENCE
 Submitted Herewith
 Canceled & Returned
 Seen & Returned

I have not (and no other person included in this application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, performed any of the acts listed in section 1 on the reverse of this application form (unless explanatory statement is attached). I solemnly swear (or affirm) that the statements made on all of the pages of this application are true and the photograph(s) attached is (are) a (likeness of me and of those persons to be included in the passport.

(To be signed at same time by husband/wife to be included in passport) (SEAL) Stanley Dunham (To be signed by Applicant in presence of person administering oath)

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this 27 day of April 19 81.

Consul _____ of the United States at Jakarta, Indonesia (Signature of person taking application)

URGENT
IMPORTANT: READ INSTRUCTIONS ON BACK OF FORM
TYPE OR PRINT IN INK IN WHITE AREAS ONLY

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

NAME
FIRST/MIDDLE: STANLEY ANN
LAST: DUNHAM

NR31G 155268
HONOLULU PASSPORT AGENCY

MAILING ADDRESS (In Care Of if applicable, Street, City, State, ZIP Code)

1512 SPRECKELS APT. 402 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822
WILL CALL 4/1 2 PM

Issue Date

Endorsement

FOLD

SEX: Male Female
PLACE OF BIRTH: WICHITA, KANSAS USA
DATE OF BIRTH: NOV 29 42 (Month Day Year)
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 535 410 8522 (Not Mandatory)
HEIGHT: 5'6 (Feet Inches)
COLOR OF HAIR: BROWN
COLOR OF EYES: BROWN
HOME PHONE: 8108 914 284154 (Area Code)
BUSINESS PHONE: (Area Code)

MOST RECENT PASSPORT ISSUED WITHIN PAST 8 YEARS MUST BE ATTACHED
PASSPORT NUMBER: Z1310372211
ISSUE DATE: 4 27 81 (Month Day Year)
OCCUPATION: CONSULTANT
DEPARTURE DATE: APRIL 6, 86

* 4/9/86 - ppt mailed to perm. address per her written request - attached
PERMANENT ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP Code): 1512 SPRECKELS ST APT 402 HONOLULU, HI

SUBMIT TWO RECENT IDENTICAL PHOTOS SIGNED ON THE REVERSE



PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS AND EMERGENCY ADDRESS (Not Mandatory)

LENGTH OF STAY: 1 WEEK
COUNTRIES TO BE VISITED: PHILIPPINES
PERSON TO NOTIFY IN CASE OF EMERGENCY (Not Traveling With You):
NAME IN FULL: STANLEY ANN MADELYN DUNHAM
ADDRESS: 1617 S. BERETANIA #1008
PHONE NUMBER: 8108 914 923117
RELATIONSHIP: PARENTS

OATH AND SIGNATURE (If any of the below-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, the portion which applies should be lined out, and a supplementary explanatory statement should be attached, signed, and made a part of this application.)

I have not, since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath, or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the Government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; or been convicted by a court of court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or destroy by force the Government of the United States.

WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or affidavits or other supporting documents are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. The alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions therein is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544.

DECLARATION: I declare that the statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the attached photographs are a true likeness of me, and that I have not been issued or included in a passport issued subsequent to the one submitted herein.

X March 27, 1986 (Date)

X Stanley Ann Dunham (Signature of applicant)

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY--INCOMPLETE OR UNACCEPTABLE APPLICATIONS WILL DELAY THE ISSUANCE OF YOUR PASSPORT.

FOR PASSPORT SERVICES USE ONLY

RECORD: Type of Document(s), Number, Date Filed/Issued, Court/Place, Bearer's Name as Appropriate.

Passport Evidence of Name Change Other:

Bearer's Name: same

No.: Z303722/ Issued: 4/27/81 Place: Jakarta

Seen & Returned

APPLICATION APPROVAL
C. Menas
Examiner Name
PT/HH 3/27/86
Office, Date

FEE: 350K POST

FOLD

TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHO BECAME A CITIZEN THROUGH NATURALIZATION			
I IMMIGRATED TO THE U.S. (Month, year)	I RESIDED CONTINUOUSLY IN THE U.S. From (Year) To (Year)	NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned <input type="checkbox"/> Previously submitted	
PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)		NATURALIZATION COURT	DATE NATURALIZED
TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS			
OCCUPATION PROGRAM OFFICER, FORD FOUNDATION		VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS none	
WOMEN MUST COMPLETE FOLLOWING IF CHILDREN OF A PREVIOUS MARRIAGE ARE INCLUDED OR IF PREVIOUSLY MARRIED BEFORE MARCH 3, 1931			
I WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED ON	TO (Full legal name)	WHO WAS BORN AT (City, State, Country)	
ON (Date of birth)	<input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND WAS U.S. CITIZEN <input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND WAS NOT U.S. CITIZEN	PREVIOUS MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE ON (Date)	
F COMPLETE IF APPLICANT OR ANY PERSON INCLUDED IN SECTION B WAS NOT BORN IN THE UNITED STATES AND CLAIMS CITIZENSHIP THROUGH PARENT(S)			
ENTERED THE U.S. (Month) (Year) <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Wife <input type="checkbox"/> Husband <input type="checkbox"/> Child	IF FATHER NATURALIZED:		IF KNOWN, FATHER'S RESIDENCE/ PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S. From (Year) To (Year)
	Date	Certificate No.	
	Before (Name of Court)	Place (City, State)	
RESIDENCE/CONTINUOUS PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S. From(Year) To (Year) <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Wife <input type="checkbox"/> Husband <input type="checkbox"/> Child	IF MOTHER NATURALIZED:		IF KNOWN, MOTHER'S RESIDENCE/ PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S. From (Year) To (Year)
	Date	Certificate No.	
	Before (Name of Court)	Place (City, State)	
G PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS (Not Mandatory)			
I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING PERIOD AND PURPOSE Two years contract with Ford Foundation from January 1981 - December 1982.			
I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES PERMANENTLY TO RESIDE WITHIN		DATE OF DEPARTURE	
YEARS MONTHS			
H PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT			
<p>The information solicited on this form is authorized by, but not limited to, those statutes codified in Titles 8, 18, and 22, United States Code, and all predecessor statutes whether or not codified, and all regulations issued pursuant to Executive Order 11295 of August 5, 1966. The primary purpose for soliciting the information is to establish citizenship, identity and entitlement to issuance of a United States Passport or related facility, and to properly administer and enforce the laws pertaining thereto.</p> <p>The information is made available as a routine use on a need-to-know basis to personnel of the Department of State and other government agencies having statutory or other lawful authority to maintain such information in the performance of their official duties; pursuant to a subpoena or court order; and, as set forth in Part 6a, Title 22, Code of Federal Regulations (See Federal Register Volume 40, pages 45755, 45756, 47419 and 47420).</p> <p>Failure to provide the information requested on this form may result in the denial of a United States Passport, related document or service to the individual seeking such passport, document or service.</p> <p>NOTE: The disclosure of your Social Security Number or of the identity and location of a person to be notified in the event of death or accident is entirely voluntary. However, failure to provide this information may prevent the Department of State from providing you with timely assistance or protection in the event you should encounter an emergency situation while outside the United States.</p>			
I ACTS OR CONDITIONS			
<p>(If any of the below-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person to be included in the passport, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)</p> <p>I have not (and no other person included in this application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.</p> <p>WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or in affidavits or other supporting documents submitted therewith are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. Alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions contained therein or of the passport regulations is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544. All statements and documents submitted are subject to verification.</p>			
(FOR USE OF OFFICE TAKING APPLICATION)			
APPLICANT'S IDENTIFYING DOCUMENT(S) <input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship No.: <input type="checkbox"/> Passport Issue Date: <input type="checkbox"/> Driver's License Place of Issue: <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify): Issued in Name of:		IDENTIFYING DOCUMENT(S) OF WIFE/HUSBAND TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship No.: <input type="checkbox"/> Passport Issue Date: <input type="checkbox"/> Driver's License Place of Issue: <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify): Issued in Name of:	

O P C N 0050

My trip was delayed by 1 month
Please mail my passport to

S. ANN DUNHAM
1512 SPRECKELS ST.
APT 402
HONOLULU, HI 96822

Stanley Ann Dunham

PH 942-8454

RECEIVED
APR - 9 1986
Honolulu Passport Agency


BY *Tom SA 2, Em 8100*

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
WASHINGTON, DC 20520

WWW.STATE.GOV

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



*Ms. Pamela Barnett
2541 Waunge Way
Sacramento, CA 95826*

EXHIBIT 9

AFFIDAVIT

In the State of Kentucky, County of Warren, this affiant being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Timothy Lee Adams, residing at 1132 Fairview Avenue, Apt. F, Bowling Green, KY 42101 and that the statements below are true concerning his employment at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division in Honolulu, Hawaii:

1. I was employed at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division from May 2008 through September 2008.
2. My position at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division was Senior Elections Clerk.
3. My responsibilities were to oversee the activities of the Absentee Ballot Office.
4. During the course of my employment, I became aware that many requests were being made to the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division, the Hawaii Office of Elections, and the Hawaii Department of Health from around the country to obtain a copy of then-Senator Barack Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate.
5. Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division told me on multiple occasions that no Hawaii long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate existed for Senator Obama in the Hawaii Department of Health and there was no record that any such document had ever been on file in the Hawaii Department of Health or any other branch or department of the Hawaii government.
6. Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division further told me on multiple occasions that Hawaii State government officials had made inquiries about Senator Obama's birth records to officials at Queens Medical Center and Kapi'olani Medical Center in Honolulu and that neither hospital had any record of Senator Obama having been born there, even though Governor Abercrombie has asserted and various Hawaii government officials continue to assert Barack Obama, Jr. was born at Kapi'olani Medical Center on August 4, 1961.
7. During the course of my employment, I came to understand that for political reasons, various officials in the government of Hawaii, including then-Governor Linda Lingle and various officials of the Hawaii Department of Health, including Dr. Chiyome Fukino, the director of the Hawaii Department of Health, were making representations that Senator Obama was born in Hawaii, even though no government official in Hawaii could find a long-form birth certificate for Senator Obama that had been issued by a Hawaii hospital at the time of his birth.
8. During the course of my employment, I was told by senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division to stop inquiring about Senator Obama's Hawaii birth records, even though it was common knowledge among my fellow employees that no Hawaii long-form, hospital generated birth certificate existed for Senator Obama.

In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Affiant's signature: *Timothy Lee Adams*
 Affiant's title: adjunct faculty, WCUH

I, Heather Berry, a Notary Public of the County and State aforesaid, hereby certify that Timothy Lee Adams personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and having been duly sworn deposes and says the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true and correct.

Witness my hand and official seal this 21st day of March, 2012.

Notary Public's signature: *Heather Berry*
 My commission expires: My Commission Expires July 19, 2014




EXHIBIT 10

NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

OFFICIAL REPORT

Thursday, 25th March, 2010

The House met at 2.30 p.m.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

PRAYERS

PETITION

Dr. Khalwale: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I stand here to make a petition on behalf of Kiborowa squatters who are a group of squatters from Trans Nzoia District under an organization called Kiborowa Squatters Alliance. The squatters reside in the rural and peri-urban slums within Trans Nzoia and like our forefathers, remain landless and living under deplorable conditions. Some of those squatters are temporary labourers on the former colonial settler farms now popularly called Agricultural Development Corporation (ADC) farms. Our girls and women are raped and forced into prostitution and early marriages, occasioning high instances of HIV/AIDS and gender biased violence. There are high poverty levels leading to early school dropouts and childhood labour. Despite those squatters making several presentations to the Government with assurances from district commissioners, permanent secretaries and Ministers for Land and Settlement since Independence, our people have yet to see any positive action. We are, therefore, praying through this petition for your humble intervention as a House, so that the Government of the Republic of Kenya may immediately settle all the squatters on the following ADC farms: Sabwani, Sekhendu and Olingatongo ADC farms. We are also praying that the Government restrains those Members of Parliament who are inciting members from non-squatter communities to invade those farms.

Mr. Speaker: Order, Dr. Khalwale! You caught my eye to present a petition, but I am in doubt as to whether or not you have, in fact, complied with Standing Order No.204. At least, I have no indication from the Clerk of the National Assembly, which I normally have as a matter of practice, that you have complied with Standing Order No.204. Can you satisfy me that you have done so?

Dr. Khalwale: Mr. Speaker, Sir, this petition was presented to the Office of the Clerk. He went through it and marked it to the Speaker of the National Assembly. The Office of the Speaker of the National Assembly marked it to Mr. Ndombi who is in the legal arm of Parliament. Mr. Ndombi invited me to his office. We went through this petition and I am glad to confirm that we have complied to the letter, to the requirements of that Standing Order.

Mr. Speaker: Can you, please, let me have a look at the petition to be satisfied that those steps have been taken?

Dr. Khalwale: Mr. Speaker, Sir, after I conclude or before?

must take the oath of office or rather the oath of solemn affirmation and an oath of allegiance. These oaths are set out on pages 185, 186 and 187. The President of the Republic, the Deputy President and the Cabinet Secretaries and the Members of Parliament are required to subscribe to these oaths before assuming office. In this affirmation oath, they swear to defend the Constitution and to govern in accordance with the spirit and letter of the Constitution. Many times, it is those who have taken the oath to defend and protect the Constitution who go against the very Constitution to corrupt the governing of the country. So, constitutions must bide rulers if they are documents which will last and bring about good governance.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, in making this Constitution, we must understand what is the problem that we want to deal with. Why is it necessary for us to have a new Constitution? There is a time when in the struggle in the streets, people were fond of shouting and saying: "Moi must go!" That was the clarion call. But we have realized that even as Moi went, this country is still nearly in the same situation that it was.

Hon. Member: Worse!

The Minister for Lands (Mr. Orenge): Somebody is saying worse. I can see it is my chairman and I cannot contradict him.

Even with those shouts that filled the nation all over that "Moi must go," we are still in nearly the same situation as we were in 1963 onwards. Hon. Ngilu is also reminding me that there was a prejudiced Christian song where we used to sing: "*Yote yanawezekana bila Moi!*" We thought that individuals by themselves can make a change and that our country can become a better place. In designing a Constitution, we must know the problem that we want to resolve. It is only by knowing the problem that we can design the Constitution that will stand the test of time. It is only by knowing the conflicts within us and how to address them that we can design a Constitution that will last for many years. In this history, there is a time when we were almost attracted to leave alone the pursuit of change through peaceful means and even imagine that we could pursue change through arms struggle. As Malcolm asked: "Is it the ballot or the bullet?" In the Kenyan tradition, other than the struggles that took place before 1963, we had decided that we will resolve conflicts, including the constitutional ones, through the ballot and that will be signified by the referendum that the people will take part in, in order to enact this new Constitution.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, we made a great achievement. This document, in other countries comes by way of revolution; by way of people shedding blood. We like citing the American Constitution, which came through struggle and a revolution. Even the French Revolution or in recent history, in South Africa, their Constitution was achieved through struggle and violence. But we are lucky here in Kenya that we are reaching this great moment, choosing the ballot rather than the bullet. And by coincidence of history, the Lancaster arrangement in 1962 and 1963 were made possible when there was a Coalition Government. I do not know whether some of you remember that. That the last phase of those discussions in Lancaster took place when both Jomo Kenyatta and Ronald Ngala were Chief Ministers in the same Government. So, it was a Grand Coalition Government like the one that we have today. So, to the extent that the Accord has made it possible for us to come together to reach this historical settlement, it is also a great achievement.

So, I want to say that this document in its entirety – I am not saying that it is perfect – but it is better than anything that we have had before, and I commend it to this Parliament and the nation. But in saying that, without leadership, however good the document is, we need men and women who will be guided by the values contained in this document. If we do not live by the values and principles contained in this Constitution, all that is contained in this Constitution will be of no significance. This is because the unmaking of Kenya began by disregard and non-compliance of the law. We ended up in a dictatorship that we had to fight for so many years.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I have noticed that other than what my learned friend, hon. Kajwang, referred to in his contribution, about the sovereign power of the people, you will notice in the chapter dealing with the Executive, that unlike the present Constitution, the Executive authority of the President and Cabinet Secretary is derived from the people, which is quite unlike the current situation. If I can compare it with what was happening about ten to 15 years ago because the law has not changed significantly, there was a time that we were told that even to imagine or encompass the death of a President was treason. I know that many Members of Parliament who are my colleagues here were taken away and detained on the basis that they encompassed and imagined the death of the “sovereign”. So, we have gone through great times and this is, therefore, a historical moment.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I want to end this contribution by saying that the most important chapter in this Constitution is about devolution. Without devolution, I can tell you, we are back to square one, because this is a presidential system. I want to be corrected in another 20 or 30 years that if we do not have devolution, the Presidency we have created is even more powerful than the current President. This is because the current President sits in the Cabinet with elected Members of Parliament. He derives membership of his Cabinet from this Parliament. He sits in Cabinet with people who relate to the people directly. This is a President who is not a Member of the Legislature. So, the only way that we can check this Presidency is through devolution. Any system – even monarchical systems – where there is a diffusion and de-concentration of power, be it in Old Germany or the Anglo Saxons, where there was devolution, you could see that not only the promises of liberty but also development could be achieved. I assure you that what we are even trying to do through the Constituencies Development Fund (CDF) by devolving funds is not re-inventing the wheel. There was mechanism and systems for taking funds to the districts in various programmes but there was no legal and lawful framework for accountability and representation at the lowest level. So, the CDF has made a little bit more progress than the District Commissioners (DCs) who were managing large funds but had no accountability or there was no system for interrogating them.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, if we are creating regions or counties on the basis of ethnicity, you have missed the point about devolution. If we want to live in ethnic cocoons, devolution will not resolve our problems. Even a Member of Parliament said yesterday that Kenya is still a tribal country even as we say that we are a unitary State. Devolution is giving the promises of better governance because you are taking the administration, accountability and resources to the people. If you look at Nairobi today, the Maasai or Kikuyus can claim Nairobi today, but times have changed. Nairobi now is a metropolitan City. In another ten years, Mandera also will be a metropolitan city. So,

the way to look at devolution is about governance. If at all we take this Constitution without looking at the elements of devolution properly, then I am afraid we have missed the boat. We should be very courageous and brave because in 1963, resources were going to the regions. It was not by changing the Constitution that the regions went but by starving the regions of funds and even the power to tax the regions. That is how the regions were killed. But when the regions were working, even hon. Ngala was feeling better and safer as the president of the Coast region rather than being a Member of Parliament here.

The other thing that we are addressing through devolution is exclusion. What has made us suffer as a nation is exclusion. Once people feel excluded, even when you want to employ a policeman or constable or you want to build a dispensary, it must come from the centre. In the colonial days, these things were being done on the ground and they could give bursaries and build roads. I commend devolution. Those who fear devolution are living in the past. They are being guided by their ethnic consideration and objectives. They are living in the past. If America was living in a situation where they feared ethnicity and did not see itself as a multiparty state or nation, how could a young man born here in Kenya, who is not even a native American, become the President of America? It is because they did away with exclusion. What has killed us here is exclusion; that once Mr. Orenge is President, I know of no other place than Ugenya. That is why we were fighting against these many Presidencies in the past. I hope that Kenya will come of age. This country must come of age. People want freedom and nations want liberation, but countries want independence.

I beg to support.

Prof. Kamar: Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, for giving me the opportunity to contribute to this historic Motion. I would like to support it with amendments and I will be mentioning which ones.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, allow me, first, to congratulate those who have participated in the process of Constitution-making in this country. I want to recognize the veterans – the Orengeos and Imanyaras – past and present. I also want to remember to recognize the Bomas group of delegates that gave us the first Draft (2004). I also want to remember the Committee of Experts (CoE) and our own Parliamentary Select Committee. These people have done a commendable job. The Constitution making process has been very long and tedious. Sometimes it has been acrimonious and tempers have gone up and down. But all in all, the process has brought us this far and we must thank God for that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, it is instructive to note that while it has taken a very long time, there are some areas that have consistently remained in all the drafts that we have today. As we consider that, we are reminded of why Kenyans wanted to have a Constitution, to begin with. In the preamble, there is a statement that says: "We, the people of Kenya adopt, enact and give to ourselves and our future generation this Constitution."

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, we must ensure that Kenyans get a new Constitution that will serve them and the future generations. How do we ensure this? We must ensure this by ensuring that the Proposed Constitution is good for all, fair to all and serves all. This may require the spirit of give and take, but it must all be inclusive and non exclusive. The eyes of the nation are focused on this House. We must rise to the occasion

EXHIBIT 11



THE VETTING - EXCLUSIVE - OBAMA'S LITERARY AGENT IN 1991 BOOKLET: 'BORN IN KENYA AND RAISED IN INDONESIA AND HAWAII'



Barack Obama

Barack Obama, the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii. The son

by JOEL B. POLLAK (COLUMNISTS/JOEL-B-POLLAK) 17 May 2012 **FOUR** POSTS COMMENT

Note from Senior Management:


Andrew Breitbart was never a "Birther," and Breitbart News is a site that has never advocated the narrative of "Birtherism." In fact, Andrew believed, as we do, that President Barack Obama was born in Honolulu, Hawaii, on August 4, 1961.

Yet Andrew also believed that the complicit mainstream media had refused to examine President Obama's ideological past, or the carefully crafted persona he and his advisers had constructed for him.

It is for that reason that we launched "The Vetting," an ongoing series in which we explore the ideological background of President Obama (and other presidential candidates)—not to re-litigate 2008, but because ideas and actions have consequences.

It is also in that spirit that we discovered, and now present, the booklet described below—one that includes a marketing pitch for a forthcoming book by a then-young, otherwise unknown former president of the Harvard Law Review.

It is evidence—not of the President's foreign origin, but that Barack Obama's public persona has perhaps been presented differently at different times.



'THE HOPE AND THE CHANGE'

Writer/Director Stephen K. Bannon, former Democrat polster Pat Caddell and I were interviewed by Hannity about the film he called the best documentary he has ever seen. During the one hour special, viewers saw exclusive clips from the film and heard several members of the 40 person cast go into greater detail about why they've given up on Obama.

Full Article (Big-Government/2012/08/25/The-Hope-and-The-Change) **EA**

MOST POPULAR

• [Team Obama: 'America Doesn't Need a Birther-In-Chief'](http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/25/Team-Obama-Birther-In-Chief) (<http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/25/Team-Obama-Birther-In-Chief>)

506 comments - 1 minute ago

• [Brandon Darby on Anarchist Plans for the RNC: Take Down the EMS System](http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/24/Brandon-Darby-on-Anarchist-Plans-for-the-RNC-Including-Taking-Down-the-City's-EMS-System) (<http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/24/Brandon-Darby-on-Anarchist-Plans-for-the-RNC-Including-Taking-Down-the-City's-EMS-System>)

37 comments - 6 minutes ago

• [Mormon War: Abhy Huntsman Slams Romney Being Under Secret Church Control](http://www.breitbart.com/Breitbart-TV/2012/08/25/Mormon-War-Abhy-Huntsman-Slams-Romney-Being%20Under-Secret-Mormon-Church-Control) (<http://www.breitbart.com/Breitbart-TV/2012/08/25/Mormon-War-Abhy-Huntsman-Slams-Romney-Being%20Under-Secret-Mormon-Church-Control>)

224 comments - 3 minutes ago

• [Box Office: Obama Doc '2016' Stuns Hollywood](http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Hollywood/2012/08/25/Box-Office-Hollywood) (<http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Hollywood/2012/08/25/Box-Office-Hollywood>)

364 comments - 3 minutes ago

RELATED NEWS



[Obama 'Kenya' Lit Booklet Raises Question MSM Will Refuse to Ask \(Big-Government/2012/05/17/Obama-Lit-Booklet-About-MSM-Failure\)](#)

by JOHN SCOTTE (COLUMNISTS/JOHN-SCOTTE)

100 days ago **411**



[Obama's Lit Agency Used 'Born in Kenya' File Until 2007 \(Big-Government/2012/05/17/Obama-Lit-Booklet-in-use-2007\)](#)

by BEN SHAPIRO (COLUMNISTS/BEN-SHAPIRO)

100 days ago **624**

Breitbart News has obtained a promotional booklet produced in 1991 by Barack Obama's then-literary agency, Acton & Dystel, which touts Obama as "born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii."

The booklet, which was distributed to "business colleagues" in the publishing industry, includes a brief biography of Obama among the biographies of eighty-nine other authors represented by Acton & Dystel.

It also promotes Obama's anticipated first book, *Journeys in Black and White*—which Obama abandoned (<http://www.gq.com/news-politics/politics/200911/barack-obama-writing-books-writer-robert-draher?printable=true>), later publishing *Dreams from My Father* instead.


Obama's biography in the booklet is as follows (image and text below):



Barack Obama

Barack Obama, the first African American president of the Harvard Law Review, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii. The son of an American anthropologist and a Kenyan finance minister, he attended Columbia University and worked as a financial journalist and editor for Business International Corporation. He served as project coordinator in Harlem for the New York Public Interest Research Group, and was Executive Director of the Developing Communities Project in Chicago's South Side. His commitment to social and racial issues will be evident in his first book, *Journeys in Black and White*.

Barack Obama, the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii. The son of an American anthropologist and a Kenyan finance minister, he attended Columbia University and worked as a financial journalist and editor for Business International Corporation. He served as project coordinator in Harlem for the New York Public Interest Research Group, and was Executive Director of the Developing Communities Project in Chicago's South Side. His commitment to social and racial issues will be evident in his first book, *Journeys in Black and White*.



Barack Obama

A 'Fact Checking Error'—Again, and Again, and Again... (Big-Government/2012/05/17/A-Fact-Checking-Error-Repeated-Multiple-Times-Over-Several-Years-by-Different-Agencies)

by BREITBART NEWS / COLUMNISTS/BREITBART NEWS

100 days ago

• [Zero Bounce? A Pessimistic Preview of the RNC](http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/26/Zero-Bounce-a-Pessimistic-Preview-of-the-RNC)
(<http://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/08/26/Zero-Bounce-a-Pessimistic-Preview-of-the-RNC>)
47 comments · 13 minutes ago

BREITBART VIDEO PICKS



A 'Fact Checking Error'? Dystel & Goderich Ask Writers to Submit Their Own Bios (Big-Government/2012/05/18/A-Fact-Checking-Error-Submission-Submits-Obama-Kenya-Fact-Checking-Error)

by STEVE ROMAN / COLUMNISTS/STEVE ROMAN

100 days ago

SIGN UP FOR OUR NEWSLETTER

[SUBSCRIBE](#)




Media Wants To Smear Obama 'Born in Kenya' Bio (Big-Journalism/2012/05/18/Media-Refuses-Coverage-Obama-Kenya-Lit-Agency)

by BEN SHAPIRO / COLUMNISTS/BEN SHAPIRO

99 days ago



The booklet, which is thirty-six pages long, is printed in blue ink (and, on the cover, silver/grey ink), using offset lithography. It purports to celebrate the fifteenth anniversary of Acton & Dystel, which was founded in 1976.



Front cover (outside) - note Barack Obama listed in alphabetical order

Front cover (inside)

Jay Acton no longer represents Obama. However, Jane Dystel still lists (<http://www.dystel.com/client-list/#0>) Obama as a client on her agency's website.

According to the booklet itself, the text was edited by Miriam Goderich, who has since become Dystel's partner at Dystel & Goderich (<http://www.dystel.com/about/>), an agency founded in 1994. Breitbart News attempted to reach Goderich by telephone

several times over several days. Her calls are screened by an automated service that requires callers to state their name and company, which we did. She never answered.

The design of the booklet was undertaken by Richard Bellsey, who has since closed his business. Bellsey, reached by telephone, could not recall the exact details of the booklet, but told Breitbart News that it "sounds like one of our jobs, like I did for [Acton & Dystel] twenty years ago or more."

Edward J. Acton

Edward J. Acton is a man of many talents, interests and accomplishments. Born in Worcester, Massachusetts, he received a B.A. in philosophy from Fordham University. After obtaining his J.D. from New York Law School, he became a member of the New York Bar and was admitted to the law firm of Horowitz, DeBartolomeo, Heise and Alexander (later Thompson).



Jane Dystel

Jane Dystel has had a varied and distinguished career as an editor, publisher and agent. A Phi Beta Kappa graduate of New York University, with a B.A. in political science, she spent one year at Georgetown Law Center before she entered the world of publishing. Her first job was at

Acton's experience in book publishing is extensive. He has been a trade editor at T.Y. Crowell and Z. Martin Jones and in 1976 he started what is now the Acton and Dystel agency. He is also the author of two books: *My Uncle, Jay A. Nader, The Congressman, a Friend of the Birch Club* (1981) and *The Actor's Key*. A successful investor in real estate, broadcasting, and other long-term assets, he is currently on the Council of the League of Professional Athletes, the Class A affiliate of the Seattle Mariners. He is married to Rose Seligson and they have two children, Robert and Elizabeth.

she became publisher of *World Almanac* and then moved on to *Orbis* and *Parade*, first as a managing editor and then as an acquisitions editor. In 1977, she became the publisher of *The World Almanac*, and founded World Almanac Publications, now known as *Warner Books*. Jane was vice president of Newspaper Publishers Association and United Publishers of America, and served on the board of trustees of *Healthcare* and *Medical News*. She joined the firm in 1991, and has been a full partner since 1996. She is married to Steven Seligson and has a daughter, Jessica.

The parade of authors alongside Obama in the booklet includes politicians, such as former Speaker of the House Tip O'Neill; sports legends, such as Joe Montana and Kareem Abdul-Jabbar; and numerous Hollywood celebrities.

The reverse side of the page that features Barack Obama includes former Green Party presidential candidate Ralph Nader and early-1990s "boy band" pop sensation New Kids On the Block.



Barack Obama

Barack Obama is a man of many talents, interests and accomplishments. Born in Honolulu, Hawaii, he received a B.S. in political science from Columbia University. After obtaining his J.D. from Harvard Law School, he became a member of the New York Bar and was admitted to the law firm of Horowitz, DeBartolomeo, Heise and Alexander (later Thompson).



Mark Olshaker

Mark Olshaker is a critically acclaimed author and an award-winning journalist. He has been featured in numerous publications, including *Time*, *Newsweek*, and *Rolling Stone*. He is also the author of several books, including *The Last Days of Pompeii*.



Thomas P. O'Neill

Thomas P. O'Neill is a former Speaker of the House of Representatives and a member of the U.S. House of Representatives. He served as Speaker from 1987 to 1991 and as a member of the House from 1965 to 1991. He is also the author of several books, including *The House of Representatives*.



John Murphy

John Murphy has been a featured author for over 20 years and is the author of the best-selling Technical Analysis of the Futures Markets. He has also done pioneering work in the field of underground trading, which is the subject of his latest book, International Technical Analysis. He was the first chairperson of the IFA's Future Committee and has served on the board of directors of the New York Institute of Finance and Trade. He has his own consulting firm. He is also the technical analyst and commentator for CNBC TV, where he does daily broadcasts on the financial markets.



Ralph Nader

Ralph Nader, the consumer advocate, authored national prominence when his book, Unsafe at Any Speed, was published in 1965. The book exposed the dangers of cars that reported the story to public in a way that caused outcry everywhere. He was an adviser to the Senate subcommittee investigating automotive hazards and has been a consultant to various government agencies, regulation committees, and universities. He is a graduate of Princeton and the Harvard Law School and he is currently working on a memoir.



New Kids On the Block

New Kids on the Block, America's hottest pop group, are an international phenomenon. The album New Kids on the Block tells of their astonishing success. Their first three albums went platinum, selling over 10 million copies. Their latest album is "Step by Step." The all American quartet of Jonathan Knight, Jordan Knight, Joseph McElroy, and Danny Wood have the most featured fans since the heyday of the Beatles.

Acton, who spoke to Breitbart News by telephone, confirmed precise details of the booklet and said that it cost the agency tens of thousands of dollars to produce.

He indicated that while "almost nobody" wrote his or her own biography, the non-athletes in the booklet, whom "the agents deal [i] with on a daily basis," were "probably" approached to approve the text as presented.

Dystel did not respond to numerous requests for comment, via email and telephone. Her assistant told Breitbart News that Dystel "does not answer questions about Obama."

The errant Obama biography in the Acton & Dystel booklet does not contradict the authenticity of Obama's birth certificate. Moreover, several contemporaneous accounts (<http://ironiesurrealism.com/2012/03/14/obama-1990-interview-were-going-to-reshape-mean-spirited-america/>) of Obama's background describe Obama as having been born in Hawaii (<http://www.nytimes.com/1990/02/06/us/first-black-elected-to-head-harvard-s-law-review.html>).

The biography does, however, fit a pattern in which Obama—or the people representing and supporting him—manipulate his public persona.

David Maraniss's forthcoming biography of Obama has reportedly confirmed (<http://www.vanityfair.com/politics/2012/06/young-barack-obama-in-love-david-maraniss>), for example, that a girlfriend Obama described in *Dreams from My Father* was, in fact, an amalgam of several separate individuals.

In addition, Obama and his handlers have a history of redefining his identity when expedient. In March 2008, for example, he famously declared (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2008/03/18/obama-race-speech-read-th_n_92077.html): "I can no more disown [Jeremiah Wright] than I can disown the black community. I can no more disown him than I can my white grandmother."

EXHIBIT 12

STATE OF HAWAII		CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)		1b. Middle Name		1c. Last Name	
BARACK		HUSSEIN		OBAMA, II	
2. Sex	3. This Birth	4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born	5a. Birth Date	5b. Month	5c. Day
Male	Single <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Twin <input type="checkbox"/> Triplet <input type="checkbox"/>	1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd <input type="checkbox"/>	August	4	1961
6a. Place of Birth (City, Town or Rural Location)			6b. Island		
Honolulu			Oahu		
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address)			6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If not, give judicial district		
Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital			Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
7a. Usual Residence of Mother's City, Town or Rural Location		7b. Island		7c. County and State or Foreign Country	
Honolulu		Oahu		Honolulu, Hawaii	
7d. Street Address			7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If not, give judicial district		
6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway			Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
7f. Mother's Mailing Address			7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?		
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8. Full Name of Father			9. Race of Father		
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA			African		
10. Age of Father	11. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country)	12a. Usual Occupation		12b. Kind of Business or Industry	
25	Kenya, East Africa	Student		University	
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother			14. Race of Mother		
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM			Caucasian		
15. Age of Mother	16. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country)	17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy		17b. Date Last Worked	
18	Wichita, Kansas	None			
18a. I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.		18b. Signature of Parent or Other Informant		18c. Date of Signature	
		<i>Stanley Dunham Obama</i>		Parent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8-7-61	
18d. I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.		18e. Signature of Registrar		18f. Date of Signature	
		<i>Harold A. Kessler</i>		M.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8-8-61	
19. Date Accepted by Local Reg.		20. Signature of Local Registrar		21. Date Accepted by Reg. General	
AUG - 5 1961		<i>W. K. Lee</i>		AUG - 5 1961	
22. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration					

APR 25 2011

CERTIFICATE IS A TRUE COPY OF
ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN
THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.
STATE REGISTRAR

EXHIBIT 13

THE REPOSITORY™

ARCHIVE INDEX SYSTEMS, INC.

IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES TO EXPAND MAN'S KNOWLEDGE

P.O. Box 40135

BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON 98015

(425) 643.1131; FAX (240) 384-7297

For response to this letter: diehold@comcast.net

WEB PAGES

www.archiveindex.com

www.wholesalecheckscanners.com

RESELLERS OF
PRODUCTION DOCUMENT SCANNERS
WIDE-FORMAT SCANNERS
CHECK SCANNERS
WEB-BASED DOCUMENT IMAGING SOFTWARE
SCANNING SOFTWARE

June 24, 2011

Affidavit

I, Douglas B. Vogt, am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge in the following and attest under penalty of perjury that I have knowledge and expertise in documents, imaging, scanners and document imaging programs. Based on my knowledge and expertise the following is true and correct.

The reason I have issued this affidavit and notified the FBI and other government officials is because I am compelled to because of Federal Statute Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 1 Section 4: "Misprision of felony; Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both." Since I am the one who positively identified Obama's Certificate of Live Birth, presented to the American public on April 27, 2011, as a forgery it was my obligation to report it.

My Credentials

I have a unique background that enables me to analyze this document in a competent, detailed, and extensive manner. I owned a typesetting company (Nova Typesetting) for eleven years, and thus have extensive knowledge and experience in type and form design. I have owned Archive Index Systems since 1993, a company that sells a wide selection of document scanners worldwide, and which also developed and sold document imaging software (TheRepository). Additionally, I have an extensive knowledge of how scanners function and their capabilities. I have also sold other document imaging programs, such as Laser Fiche, Liberty and Alchemy. I have sold and installed document imaging systems in city and county governments, and thus have extensive knowledge of municipal and county document imaging programs and procedures, including the design and implementation of such programs. Additionally, I have a good working knowledge of Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator. These factors will be crucial in understanding what has occurred with Obama's Certificate of Live Birth.

What I Discovered about Obama's Certificate of Live Birth and why it is a Forgery.

What the Obama administration released is a PDF image that they are trying to pass off as a Certificate Live Birth Long Form printed on green security paper by the County Health Department in Hawaii. The form is a created forgery for the following reasons.

STATE OF HAWAII
CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH
 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
 THIS CHILD'S PUBLIC HEALTH NUMBER IS 151 61 10641

1. Child's First Name (First or given name) **BARACK** 2. Middle Name **HOUSHEER** 3. Last Name **OBAMA, II**

4. Sex **Male** 5. Date of Birth **August 8, 1961** 6. Time of Birth **7:12A P.M.**

7. Place of Birth (City, Town or Rural Location) **Honolulu** 8. County **Oahu**

9. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in Honolulu, give Street Address) **Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital** 10. Address of Hospital or Institution (Street, City, State and Zip Code) **Honolulu, Hawaii**

11. Name of Physician (If not in Honolulu, give Street Address) **6085 Kalaanailoa Highway** 12. Address of Physician (Street, City, State and Zip Code) **Honolulu, Hawaii**

13. Mother's Marital Status **Married** 14. Name of Mother **Michelle Ann Obama** 15. Date of Mother's Birth **1964** 16. Name of Father **Abel A. Kinoy** 17. Date of Father's Birth **1926**

18. Signature of Registrar **Charles C. Bennett** 19. Date **8-11-61**

APR 25 2011
 ALVIN T. CHAMBERLAIN, PH.D.
 STATE REGISTRAR

Figure 1. Tiff image of the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth dated August 8, 1961, presented on TV 4/27/2011.

STATE OF HAWAII
CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH
 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
 THIS CHILD'S PUBLIC HEALTH NUMBER IS 151 61 10637

1. Child's First Name (First or given name) **ALLEN** 2. Middle Name **REBECCAH** 3. Last Name **NESTLE**

4. Sex **Female** 5. Date of Birth **August 11, 1961** 6. Time of Birth **1:15 P.M.**

7. Place of Birth (City, Town or Rural Location) **Honolulu** 8. County **Oahu**

9. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in Honolulu, give Street Address) **Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital** 10. Address of Hospital or Institution (Street, City, State and Zip Code) **Honolulu, Hawaii**

11. Name of Physician (If not in Honolulu, give Street Address) **2013 Kalaia Drive** 12. Address of Physician (Street, City, State and Zip Code) **Honolulu, Hawaii**

13. Mother's Marital Status **Married** 14. Name of Mother **LEONIDE** 15. Date of Mother's Birth **1926** 16. Name of Father **LEONIDE** 17. Date of Father's Birth **1926**

18. Signature of Registrar **Charles C. Bennett** 19. Date **8-11-61**

ALVIN T. CHAMBERLAIN, PH.D.
 STATE REGISTRAR

Figure 2. Another Persons microfilmed Certificate of Live Birth dated August 11, 1961.

1. **Curved and non-curved type.** The image we are looking at was scanned in grayscale and some part in binary which cannot be on the same image. The reason I know this is because of the shadowing along the gutter (left-hand side) is produced by scanning in grayscale. It also means that the county employee, who did the original scanning of all the forms, did not take the individual pages out of the post binders. The result is that all the pages in that book display a parallax distorted image of the lines and type. They curve and drop down to the left. If you look at line 2 (see Figure 3) on the form that says *Sex* you will notice the letters drop down one pixel but the typed word *Male* does not. Also notice the line just below *Male* drops down 3 pixels.




Figure 3. Line 2 of the form. Baseline differences.

The second incident of this parallax problem is seen in line 6c *Name of Hospital or Institution* (see Figure 4). The word *Name* drops down 2 pixels, but the typed hospital name, *Kapiolani*, does not drop down at all, and again the line just below drops down 2 pixels, but not the name *Kapiolani*.




Figure 4. Line 6c at 500%. The typewriter name of the hospital does not drop down 2 pixels.

The conclusion you must come to is that the typed in form was superimposed over an existing original Certificate of Live Birth form. In fact, since I found some of the form headings scanned in as binary and grayscale, the form itself is a composite but the person who created it did not flatten the image of the blank form and save it as one file before they started placing the typewriter text on the composite form. The individual(s) who perpetrated this forgery could not evidently find a blank form in the clerks imaging database, so they were forced to clean up existing forms and overlay the typewriter type we see here. The forger was also looking for certificates with the correct stamped dates and that is why I think they used more than one original form. At first I wondered why the forger didn't just typeset the entire form from scratch and overlay the type and not have to worry about the parallax problem. Then I remembered that in the early 1960s there was no phototypesetting and this form was set in hot metal from a linotype machine. The type design I think is Times Roman but they could never replicate the exact design. They were stuck having to use existing forms that were scanned in using binary and grayscale.

2. There is a white haloing around all the type on the form. Figure 5 is an example of this. This effect should not appear on a scanned grayscale image. Figure 6 is a grayscale image scanned in at 240 dpi. You will notice that there is no haloing effect around the type and also the security pattern is seen through the type. Figure 7 is a color image where you can clearly see the security green color through the type and no haloing. Figure 8 shows a Black and White (binary) image of the same type. The important thing to remember is that you cannot have grayscale and binary on the same scan unless the image is a composite. That means that different components of the whole image are made up of smaller parts. Figure 9 is an enlarged version of Figure 6 showing what grayscale letters should look like compared to binary.




Figure 5. Obama's form




Figure 6. Grayscale.




Figure 7. Color image.

URN, NO RECEIPT NECESSAR

SECURITY PACII
Ventura & Sepulver
15165 Ventura Bou
Sherman Oaks, Ca




Figure 8. Binary image.

Figure 9. An enlarged version of Figure 6 showing grayscale type.

The next question would be: What would have caused the haloling effect? We know that all the original Certificates of Live Birth (COLB) were microfilmed because we can see the Nordyke Certificate (see Figure 2) was microfilmed. Then some time after 2004 the paper original copies, in post binder books, were scanned using a commercial document scanner with a flatbed, scanned as grayscale images. The forger was working with two types of images. He/she may have used images printed from the microfilmed copy and then scanned the printout in grayscale. At that point the forger would have to invert the image so as to have a white background, black type. Figure 10 is an example of an inverted image of Figure 2. The result would be like Figure 9 but a whiter background. The image I am working with in Figure 10 is only 94 DPI but the forger was working with much higher resolution (≥ 240 dpi). At that point the forger converted the grayscale to a binary image and placed it onto the background form image. The problem was that there were still image values for the pixels around the placed type so when he/she placed the type image over the background and instructed the program to bring the type "forward" it blanked out the background image, hence the haloling effect around the type.




Figure 10, Inverted image of Figure 2.

3. The Obama Certificate is loaded with both binary and grayscale letters which is just another smoking gun that this form is a forgery. It appears the lines and some of the boxes were scanned using grayscale, but only some of the form headings were grayscale and sometimes it is only some letters. Figure 11 and Figure 4 give one example. You will notice that the *H* and, *al*, in Hospital, *I* in Institution, *If* and again the *h* and *l* in hospital were grayscale images, but the rest of the line is binary. The typewriter line below was scanned in as a binary image. I can also tell you for certainty

that the form type was scanned in at a lower resolution (≤ 200 dpi). This is because of the size of the pixels on the letters were such that the openings on the *a* and *s* on the first line are not visible and filled in. This may also further indicate that forger took some of the type images from the microfilmed copies.

Figure 11. showing a mixture of grayscale and binary type on the same line.

Another example is found in form box 1a, his name *BARACK* for some reason the “R” is a grayscale image and the rest is binary (see Figure 13). That means the “R” was originally on the form and the rest was not until it was added.

The question again is: Why did the forger leave some grayscale type images on the form and not just erase the whole form? The answer is that he/she needed the grayscale images to re-establish the baseline of the type for the superimposed binary type. This also told me that the forger was an experienced graphic artist.

Figure 13. Another example of grayscale and binary on the same line.

Figure 14. The last “1” is grayscale, but the rest are binary.

Another example is the Certificate number itself (see Figure 14). The last “1” on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not. It also has a different baseline. This is just another example of a cut and paste job. It also means we do not know what the real Certificate number is if there even is one. There are other form boxes that display the same feature, boxes: 5b, 7e, 11, 13, 16, 18a.

4. The Sequential Number is a fraud. I would like you to refer back to Figures 1 and 2. You will notice that Barack Obama was supposed to have been born on Friday at 7:24 p.m. August 4, 1961 and the local registrar supposedly accepted it on Tuesday August 8, 1961 and hand stamped the Certificate number “61 10641.” Then notice that the other Certificate of Susan E. Nordyke was born on Saturday at 2:12 p.m. August 5, 1961 and another registrar date stamped it on August 11, but her Certificate number is “61-10637.” Susan Nordyke was a twin and her sister’s Certificate number is 61 10638. Keep in mind there would be only one Bates stamp machine in the office so the numbers would all be unique. There cannot be any duplicates so every Certificate has a unique serial number.

Obama's Certificate would have most likely been mailed on the following Monday, the 7th and received by the Clerk Tuesday the 8th. Susan Nordyke and her sister's Certificates looks like they were mailed sometime earlier that week and not accepted until the 11th but Susan has a Certificate four numbers less than Obama's. It is impossible to have Obama's Certificate number to be four numbers higher than a Certificate that came in three days later.

As stated in #3 the last "1" on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not (see Figure 14). You will also notice that the baseline of the last "1" is straight and level but the rest of the numbers are slanted. This is again irrefutable proof that the Certificate number is a composite of two numbers and hence a forgery. This forgery comes under a separate offense and carries with it 5-years in prison [see Appendix D: Title 19, Ch.47, Sec, 1028(d)(1)].

The facts I have shown you in #3 and 4 tell me several things about how this forgery was assembled. 1. Some person(s) in the Health Department, who had access to the document imaging program, searched the database for someone close to the actual birth date of Obama and found someone near the 4th of August, if in fact he was born on the 4th and we should not assume that at all. Obama may have chosen the 4th of August because they had a baby who died close to his date of birth. The clerk may have cross referenced the death database to find someone who had died and had a birth date close to Obama's. It has been reported that an infant girl named Virginia Sunahara was born on August 4, 1961 at Wahiawa Hospital in Wahiawa, Oahu, HI who died on August 5, 1961 at the Kapiolani Women and Children's Medical Center, due to complications. This happens to coincide with the date of birth and birthplace of the Nordyke twins. We could make two assumptions here. 1. Wahiawa Hospital customarily would have completed the COLB form and mailed it to the County Health Department; and 2. Kapiolani Medical Center would have filled out the death certificate. The other less likely scenario could be that her medical records were transferred to Kapiolani Hospital and they would produce the birth certificate and later the death certificate which was later included in the group of birth certificates that contained the Nordyke twins.

The Federal Government wanted the States to cross reference the birth and death databases so the database would have that information. The date stamps have two different colors and sizes (see #5 below) which indicates that both dates came from different Certificates. We can conclude from this that more than one person was involved in the Hawaii Department of Health in assembling the different components that were used: 1. Someone to conduct the database searches to find the right Certificates to create the fraudulent Certificate of Live Birth; and 2. Someone who signed or stamped the fraudulent certificate. I believe that after all the components were assembled they were then given to a graphic artist to actually assemble the whole thing and create the finished forgery. That graphic artist could be located anywhere. In short this was a multi-state conspiracy to defraud the United States.

5. Two different colors in Form box 20, 22 and 17a Date Accepted by Reg. General. What is very revealing about this box and date entry is there are two different colors on both lines where there should be no color at all. Both lines were scanned using binary mode, but I see two different colors (see Figure 15). What I think this shows us is that the person who put this fraud together was looking for a form that had the right date namely "August 8 19_1." As you can see the only things that are printed in dark green (R=71, G=92, B=73) are "Date A" and "AUG -8 6." The rest of the type is in black. This tells me that the forger was working in color mode and what they copied from had a color value for some reason unless they put a color value on it.




Figure 15. Two different colors, dark green and black.

The same thing is found in form box 20 "Date Accepted by Local Reg." Figure 16 again shows that the date has two different colors. The "AUG -8 196" is in dark green (R=87, G=111, B=87) and the "1" is in black. Yet again another irrefutable proof this form is a forgery. Form box 17a displays the same two color image in the word "None". The "Non" is in dark green.




Figure 16. Another example of two colors on the same line.

6. The official seal is not part of the Certificate of Live Birth and they used the wrong size impression of a seal. The Hawaiian law (Section 11-1-2 Seal of the Department of Health) states:

a) The official seal of the department of health shall be circular in shape, **two and one-fourth inches in diameter**. At the curve on the top portion there shall be the words "DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH" and at the curve on the bottom portion there shall be the words "STATE OF HAWAII." At the curve on each side portion shall be a star. In the center of the seal shall be the Caduceus, a winged rod entwined with two serpents, which has long been recognized as a universal symbol of medicine. The Caduceus shall be encircled by an indentation, which shall separate it from the words "DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH" and "STATE OF HAWAII."




Figure 17, Seal on Obamas Short Form
(Figure 19 is courtesy of Kevin Powell; <http://www.pixelpatriot.blogspot.com>)




Figure 18: Nordyke seal from 1966




Figure 19: Obama's COLB long form Apr.2011

The first Certification of Live Birth Obama the candidate produced in June of 2008 was the "Short Form" of the COLB. It had the Department of Health's seal embossed on it (see Figure 17) appearing on it about 1.8" from the bottom of the 11 inch paper. That told me the Health Department is using an electric embosser, which applies ample pressure to leave a clear visible embossment. Hand seal embossers have only 7/8" or less from the edge of the paper for a 1 3/4" seal. The Health Department seal does not appear obvious on the Obama COLB. A good embossment will distort the type and lines on a form and is clearly visible (see Figure 21). Even on the Nordyke Certificate (see Figure 18) in spite of it being an inverted image from a microfilmed image, it is clearly seen. Figure

19 shows Obama's seal on the COLB presented on April 27, 2011, and is visible only because a color filter was used to see it, otherwise it completely disappears in the design of the security paper (see Figure 20).




Figure 20: Seal on Obama's COLB From April 27, 2011.




Figure 21: Hand stamped seal from an original COLB from 1962.

The official seal on the Obama COLB is a second or even third generation image from another form. The seal embossing did not distort the lines or type on the form and it most likely was never part of his Certificate. We cannot make out any of the type on the seal as well as the two stars and the Caduceus. The distorted background image of a seal can be created using Photoshop or Illustrator by applying it as a watermark. Yet another indication this Certificate of Live Birth is an obvious forgery.

If that wasn't enough I then investigated the size of the latent seal image on the Obama certificate and found it to measure only about 1 3/4" in diameter. The procedure I used to discover the seal measurements were as follows: With the Savannah Guthrie photo (see Figure A) of the document I was able to see the left and right hand sides of the document and knew that was 8 1/2" wide. I was then able to determine the scale of all the components on the form. I then measured the line length on the second line from the bottom. Box 20, 21 and 22 rest on. That length is 6.396" long, measured from the first bold vertical line on the left side of the form to the end of the line (see Figure 22). I then adjusted all the images I had for Certificates including non-Obama Certificates, which I had. What I found was that the alleged embossed seal on the Obama COLB were all 1 3/4" in diameter and that is not the legal seal as described by Hawaii state law, which should be 2 1/4". The administration has the wrong size seal on their certificate and that seal was supposed to be a first generation full size imprint.




Figure 22. The COLB Obama presented on 4/27/11 from the PDF file on the White House web site. The seal measured only 1-7/8" in diameter.

I then examined Certificates of other individuals that I found on the internet. Figure 23 is of a Certification short form of Patricia Decosta dated 2002 and it is also 1 3/4". As stated in the Hawaii state code, it must be 2.25" in diameter. The current Department of Health seal is not the same one they had in the 1960s. That one was 2 1/4" in diameter (see Figure 18) but the current seal is not legal—it's the wrong size and the type is not legible! You cannot make out any of the words, the stars or the Caduceus. I will be notifying the Department of Health of their gross error in the hope

they will fit it with a new legal seal. Some time after 1966 the original legal seal was “lost” or stolen because they do not wear out. Some bureaucrat ordered a replacement and was either ignorant of the law or too stupid to ask if there was a specific requirement for the seal. What mystifies me is that the Director of the Department of Health and the registrars did not spot the error and fix it. My conclusion is they just didn’t care about the law.




Figure 23. The Certification of Patricia Decosta dated 2002.

7. The hand stamped certification from the current registrar is a forged stamped notice.

The Department of Health has the right to produce a legal copy of the original Certificate of Live Birth for people who have the appropriate right to receive one. The Clerk in the office would search the document imaging database and retrieve the correct Certificate. The Clerk would then print out the tiff image on the green security paper. They would then take a rubber stamp that states the following: I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. Then below this notice would be the likeness of the State Registrar’s signature, in this case it was Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D. Then the clerk would stamp the date to the left of the certification. See Figure 24 for a Certificate done one month before the Obama’s April 25, 2011 Certificate. Please note that since it is a hand stamp the certificate stamp is skewed up on the right side.




Figure 24. Registrar stamp on a persons Certificate done March 2011.

Now let us look at Obama’s Certificate (see Figure 25) supposedly done on April 25, 2011. Notice the registrar’s rubber stamp has an error on the word “the” which reads “TXE,” but this error does not show up on the same rubber stamp used one month before. In Figure 26 you will see an enlargement of the word. You will notice that the “X” had been created by the graphic artist by filling in pixels so it appears like an “X” but it really is not. Also notice that the whole stamp is too straight on the form. The red lines drawn under two of the lines of type are aligned with the pixels. The stamp rises only two pixels over 3”. My conclusion is that the whole stamp was placed there by the graphic artist to look as straight as possible. The only problem is that no hand stamped notice like this would be placed that perfect on the page.




Figure 25. Obama's Registrar stamp with the errors on it,



Figure 26. Enlargement of the "TXE."

Other investigators have mentioned what looks like an italic "E" under the capital "A" in Alvin. In Figure 24 the same artifact does not appear. This artifact also does not show up on the Savannah Guthrie photo but does appear on all the other copies and PDFs the White House displayed. We have to assume either the artifact was already on the security image the forger used, and forgot to erase it, or it was placed there deliberately for some reason that we don't know yet.

8. Forged signatures of the Mother and Registrar. Forgery of a signature occurs in three ways. The old methods were someone would practice signing another's signature until they got good at it. Another was to simply trace the signature from a previously known signature. The new way is to find a signature and scan it into a computer. Then place that signature, or parts of a signature, onto the desired form or check. The signature of the mother, *Ann Dunham Obama* in Box 18a is made up of two images. The "Ann D" is in grayscale and the rest of her name is a binary image. The signature of the registrar *U"K"L Lee* is also made up of the same image types. The "K" really looks like "IL" and the *I* is a binary image and the rest of his name is grayscale. That means the *I* was added in another layer. Both errors can be seen included in Figure 27. Irrefutable proof the Obama Certificate is a forgery.

9. Multiple layers in the PDF file from the White House. I am not the first one to find this fact and they deserve the credit for discovering it. What they discovered is that when you open up the PDF file in Adobe Illustrator and you turn on layers, you see a long list of nine different layers that correspond to different sections of the form, including the signatures on the form. Figure 27 shows the layer that contains most of the typewriter and form text.

I discovered using just my Adobe Acrobat 8 Standard that I could also see the different components disappear when I enlarged the image to just 400% and used the "hand" tool to quickly move around the image. When I moved the image fast, the various type components would disappear from the form but the lines stayed just as I had concluded.

I also opened up the White House PDF file in WordPad so I could see the codes and headers in the file. There I discovered the evidence for the nine layers embedded in the code (see below). The big surprise I discovered was that the file was finished or created on April 27, 2011 at 12:09 pm and the copy I had downloaded from the White House web site was modified on April 28, 2011 at 9:58 am, the day after the news conference. The whole White House story that the President had his Seattle-based lawyer fly to Hawaii and pick up two signed and stamped paper Certificates of Live Birth and fly directly to Washington DC, is obviously not the document the public has been shown. In other words the whole story may not true. I checked the cost for UPS to ship the documents next day and delivery by 8:30 am Tuesday and it was only \$84.00. I checked the cost for a lawyer to fly last minute from Seattle to Hawaii then to Washington DC it is thousands of dollars. Their story is just not believable.

The PDF file indicates the PDF "CreatorTool" was *Preview* which is an Apple product that is just like Adobe's Acrobat Standard, which is a viewer and print driver. It is not a photo and image design program. It is just the program that created the PDF file (as a print driver). The Preview program can

also read twenty-six different image and document types, that includes Adobe Illustrator and Photoshop.

Defenders of the Administration's argument that the layers were created by an OCR program (Optical Character Recognition) are also ridiculously wrong because the PDF file is not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed and additionally no text object was found within the PDF file I examined.

```
20 obj
<</Subtype/XML/Length 3759/Type/Metadata>>stream
<xap:CreateDate>2011-04-27T12:09:24Z</xap:CreateDate>
  <xap:CreatorTool>Preview</xap:CreatorTool>
  <xap:ModifyDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:ModifyDate>
  <xap:MetadataDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:MetadataDate>
```

The following are the header codes for the 9 layers embedded throughout the file.

```
13 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 299366/Filter/DCTDecode/BitsPerComponent 8/ColorSpace 9 0 R/Width 1652/Height 1276/Type/XObject>>stream
14 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 67980/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 1454/Height 1819/Type/XObject>>stream
15 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 5510/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 199/Height 778/Type/XObject>>stream
16 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 480/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 42/Height 274/Type/XObject>>stream
17 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 633/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 123/Height 228/Type/XObject>>stream
18 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 436/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 47/Height 216/Type/XObject>>stream
19 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 173/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 34/Height 70/Type/XObject>>stream
20 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 671/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 243/Height 217/Type/XObject>>stream
21 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 344/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 132/Height 142/Type/XObject>>stream
```

The discovery of nine layers in the PDF image didn't matter for my analysis 1 through 7 because I was able to export the image as a TIFF (18.35 MB) out of that PDF using my Adobe Standard software. So I was working from a flattened image and was able to find all that I did, in other words the layers were irrelevant to me but was just further proof that the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth is a forgery.

STATE OF HAWAII		CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
		FILE NUMBER 151		61 1064	
1. Child's First Name (Type or print)		1b. Middle Name		1c. Last Name	
BARACK		HUSSEIN		OBAMA, II	
2. Sex	3. This Birth	4. If Twin or Triplet, In What Order Born	5a. Month	5b. Day	5c. Year
Male	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1st	August	4	1961
Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location		6a. Island		6b. Date	
Honolulu		Oahu		7:24 P.	
7. Name of Hospital or Institution, give street and city		8d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town limits? If not, give judicial district		9. Race of Father	
Empireni Maternity & Gynecological Hospital		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		African	
Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location		10. Island		11a. Kind of Education or Industry	
Honolulu		Oahu		University	
7d. Street Address		7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If not, give judicial district		14. Race of Mother	
6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Caucasian	
7f. Mother's Marital Address		7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation? If so, give judicial district		12b. Date of Signature	
		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		8-7-61	
8. Full Name of Father		9. Race of Father		15b. Date of Signature	
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA		African		8-8-61	
10. Age of Father		11a. Kind of Education or Industry		16. Date of Signature	
25 yrs, East Africa		Student		8-8-61	
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother		14. Race of Mother		17. Date Last Worked	
TANLEY ANN DUNHAM		Caucasian			
15. Age of Mother		17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy		17b. Date Last Worked	
18 Wichita KUHRO		8			
I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.		19a. Signature		19b. Date of Signature	
		[Signature]		8-8-61	
20. Date Approved by Local Reg. 21. Signature of Local Registrar		22. Issued by Reg. General			
		19			

Figure 27: The layer that contains most of the forms text and typewriter text.

I have received other White House PDF files from Graphic artists around the country. As a result I received a PDF Certificate that was put up on the White House web site no more than 10 minutes after it was uploaded. That PDF showed nine layers, no OCR (see Figure 28), Image file created at 7:50 am on the 27th (see Figure 29) and finally the PDF file created using *Preview* (the print driver) and modified on 4/27/11 at 12:09 p.m., which is similar to my file. My conclusion is that this shows the individuals in the White House were “fixing” or changing this forgery as late as 7:50 a.m., an hour before the pre-news conference.




Figure 28. Shows 9 layers and no OCR.




Figure 29. Created 7:50 AM




Figure 30. PDF modified 4/27/11 @ 12:09 am.

A Rebuttal to the Discovery of the Multi Layers Found in the PDF File.

To begin with the White House PDF was not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed on the image and therefore the following rebuttal and defense of Obama’s COLB is irrelevant and a very poor attempt at defending this blatant forgery.

The only rebuttal to the nine layers discovered in the PDF file released by the White House was a statement from Canadian graphic artists from Quebec by the name of Jean-Claude Tremblay on April 29. It was reported by Fox News and on their web site at:

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/>.

He tries to excuse the multi-layers as merely an artifact of an OCR (Optical Character Recognition) engine and then saved as a PDF. There are three major reasons he is wrong and I know from his statement he knows nothing about OCR engines and how they work and their file structure. First the White House PDF file is not a searchable PDF and no text object could be found in the PDF file. Second, the Obama PDF certificate was supposed to have come directly from the Health Departments office. As stated before, the records they have would have absolutely no reason to be OCRed and if they were asked to give the customer a PDF image it would be from their existing TIFF image stored in their document imaging program on the server. The program would have done no OCR processing at that time.

The third reason is the lack of OCR files in the PDF file. My qualifications on OCR programs are considerable. Our own document imaging program, TheRepository, has an OCR option from Expervision that is called TypeReader. We integrated TypeReader into our program but to do this we had to sign a non-disclosure statement with them and then we got their Took Kit and API. When an OCR program saves a file as a searchable PDF, the file contains three main files within it. The first file is an image file, usually a compressed Group4 TIFF. The second file is an ASCII text file and the last file is a matrix file that contains the X and Y coordinates of all the words in the document. The starting point for the image file and the matrix file is usually the upper left hand corner of the image measured in pixels. The text file and matrix files would never be seen as separate layers and there are certainly not nine layers. The three files would be in a PDF "wrapper" and that is all. All OCR programs work on the same principle.

Conclusion


The Certificate of Live Birth Obama presented on television on Aril 27, 2011 is a forgery.

In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Name of Notary: HONG LI

Title: CSM

I, HONG LI, a Notary Public of King County and the State of Washington aforesaid, hereby certify that Douglas B. Vogt personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and having been by me duly sworn deposes and say that the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true and correct.




Witness my hand and official seal this the 10th day of May 2011

[Signature]
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 02 / 11 / 20 12

[Signature]
Douglas B. Vogt



The American Typewriter

How a Young Computer Graphics Person Could Not Understand How to Use a Computer to Forge a Typewritten Document.

It's been some 30 years since we have used typewriters to produce documents. Computers have replaced the typewriter and given us great advantages in document preparation. There is no need to understand the old typewriter. Except when you need to forge a typewritten document.

A computer in the hands of a young person who can create a modern forgery is no match for the old style quirky mechanical typewriter. The forger who produced the Obama Hawaiian Long Form Health Department Birth Certificate may have thought that all typewriter typeface styles were alike. To get his letters he should have assumed that he needed only to match typewritten letters found in the old files of Hawaii birth certificates to scan ... copy and paste into his new document. Those old files should be all alike having been used to produce birth certificates in the 1961 era.

He must have understood that he needed to copy the old typewriter styles and would find them in the files.

But understanding scanners ... he also had to know that scanning a letter "t" one time and using it all over his document would be conviction assured. Because scan lines engage a letter differently every time it's done. So he scanned a bunch of old birth certificates and used a different "t" each time.

The mistake was that many of the letters in the old files were from different typewriter styles and that's something he did not realize ... resulting in many typewritten letters on his forgery that did not match each other.

I hope this helps to explain what might have happened with this document.

Paul Irej

Presentation & Report by Paul Irey - Typography and Type Face Expert. Copy Courtesy of: ProtectOurLiberty.org

STATE OF HAWAII		CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH			DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH		
				FILE NUMBER	151		
				61 10641			
1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)		1b. Middle Name		1c. Last Name			
BARACK		HUSSEIN		OBAMA, II			
2. Sex	3. This Birth	4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born		5a. Birth Date	Month	Day	Year
Male	Single <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Twin <input type="checkbox"/> Triplet <input type="checkbox"/>	1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd <input type="checkbox"/>		August	4,		1961
							5b. Hour /
							7:24 P.M.
6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location						6b. Island	
Honolulu						Oahu	
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address)					6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district		
Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital					Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location			7b. Island		7c. County and State or Foreign Country		
Honolulu			Oahu		Honolulu, Hawaii		
7d. Street Address				7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district			
6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
7f. Mother's Mailing Address						7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?	
						Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8. Full Name of Father			9. Race of Father				
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA			African				
10. Age of Father	11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	12a. Usual Occupation		12b. Kind of Business or Industry			
25	Kenya, East Africa	Student		University			
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother			14. Race of Mother				
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM			Caucasian				
15. Age of Mother	16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy		17b. Date Last Worked			
18	Wichita, Kansas	None					
I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.		18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant			Parent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		18b. Date of Signature
		<i>John Dunham Obama</i>					8-7-61
I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.		19a. Signature of Attendant			M.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D.O. <input type="checkbox"/> Midwife <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>		19b. Date of Signature
		<i>David A. Dunham</i>					8-8-61
20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.		21. Signature of Local Registrar			22. Date Accepted by Reg. General		
AUG - 8 1961		<i>W. Lee</i>			AUG - 8 1961		
23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration							

APR 25 2011

I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.
STATE REGISTRAR


Presentation & Report by Paul Irey - Typography and Type Face Expert. Copy Courtesy of: ProtectOurLiberty.org

1 BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22 Male August 4, 1961 724 P
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000

Every typewriter typed character is assigned a number in the order it is found in the document.

EVIDENCE OF FORGERY

The two capital letters "A"s are from the word "BARACK" on the birth certificate found at section 8 of the form. There is only one letter between them. Why then is #144 significantly bigger than #146 if the same type-writer key struck both?




From Section 8




Notice the other differences seen in the same word from different locations on the birth certificate. All the letters look different. Why?


The two capital letters "R" are from the same word "BARACK" as above and the other word "BARACK" in section 1 of the form. Why then is #3 significantly shorter and wider than #145 if the same typewriter key struck both? Note also the enclosed area in #145 is smaller than the enclosed area in #3 even though #145 is taller.



From Section 1



The two lower case letters "s" from the word "Hospital" in section 6c and "University" in section 12b are shown to be different because of the width of the letters. The lower case "s" #88 is wider than the lower case "s" in #198 as shown with the green and purple color bars shown under the letters.




From Section 6c




From Section 12b




The two numbers "2" are from "7:24" in section 5b and section 10 of the form. Why then is #40 significantly wider than #168? Notice also the difference in height of #168. Can you imagine how these two typewritten letters were typed with the same typewriter?




From Section 5b



From Section 10




The two lower case letters "a" from the word "Male" found in section 2 and the word "Kapiolani" found in section 6c. Notice that the first "a" #23 is not as wide as #56. Also note the differences of the shape of the enclosed areas and the serifs at the top left of both.



From Section 2
Male
22 23 24 25

From Section 6c
Kapio
55 56 57 58 59


The two lower case letters "i" from the word "University" in section 12b and "Kapiolani" in section 6c are shown to be different because of the dots over the letters. The dot in #199 is higher than that of #58 and shows more space over the letter. Also note the color bars indicating the difference in width between the letters.



From Section 12b
ersity
196 197 198 199 200 201

From Section 6c
piola
57 58 59 60 61

The two capital letters "S" are from "HUSSEIN" in section 8 and "STANLEY" in section 13 of the form. Why then is #151 significantly more narrow than #201? Notice also the serif differences indicated with the arrows showing that the serif on #151 is placed further back to the left on the "S" than as shown on #201.




From Section 8
HUSSEI
149 150 151 152 153 154

From Section 13
STANLE
201 202 203 204 205 206

The two lower case "n" letters are different in size. #62 found in section 6c is much shorter than #193 found in section 12b. This is a good place to insert a photo of a typewriter key to remind us that the impression is struck by an engraved letter that is steel and incapable of changing size.




Close up of a Typewriter Key flopped for clarity



From Section 6c
iolani
58 59 60 61 62 63

From Section 12b
Univer
192 193 194 195 196 197


The two lower case letters "e"
#25 from the word "Male" found
in section 2 and the word
"Maternity" found in section
6c show us that #25 is from a
different design of type style
with a tilting horizontal bar
and #25 is also bigger in size.



From Section 2
Male
22 23 24 25

From Section 6c
Materni
64 65 66 67 68 69 70


The two lower case letters "u"
from the word "Honolulu"
#101 from section 6a and
"Oahu" #105 from section 6b
are shown to be different in
width as indicated with the
color bars above the letters.
Also note the different design
of the bottom part of the letters.



From Section 6a
onolulu
95 96 97 98 99 100 101

From Section 6b
Oahu
102 103 104 105

The two numbers "1" from the
date "1961" #35 from section 5a
and "18 Wichita" #225 from
section 15 are shown to be different
in width as indicated with the
color bars below the letters.
Also note the different design
of the bottom part of the letters.




From Section 5a
1961
35 36 37 38

From Section 15
18Wich
225 226 227 228 229 230

The Word "Student" found in section 12a of the birth
certificate was the first problem I noticed because it
was one word with two variations of the letter "t" and
was clearly a different drawing of the letter mainly
displaying a different
extension at the bottoms
of those letters. As a
typographer I could
see no reason for a

different stlyle of letter within the same word. Other
examples on the page seem to suggest that perhaps
the form was transfereed to different departments
to fill out different sections ... but that could not
happen with one word. Later study showed that



too many of the letters on
the birth cert.did not
match each other ...
even for many typist.

Presentation & Report by Paul Irej - Typography and Type Face Expert. Copy courtesy of: ProtectOurLiberty.org

**If all the letters are from the same
typewriter ... why don't
they match? It appears that it
was put together with letters from
different sources
and this means it's a forgery!**

* * * *

Paul Irej can be reached via email at: [pauledwardirey \(at sign\) yahoo.com](mailto:pauledwardirey@sign.yahoo.com)

A full color high-res copy of this report can be viewed and downloaded at: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/59624694/>

EXHIBIT 15



CHARLIE COOMBS
1730 Valley View Ln, Apt 1093
Irving, TX 75061
crcoombs@verizon.net

January 14, 2013

Dr Orly Taitz, ESQ
29839 Santa Margarita Pkwy,
Suite 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

Dear Orly:

The affidavit is enclosed.

God bless you and speed your excellent work!


Charles R. Coombs

Affidavit

My name is Charles Ralph Coombs. I am 71 years old and working as a semi-retired entrepreneur. I currently reside at 1730 Valley View Lane, Apartment 1093, Irving, Texas 75061.

I have been working as an electronic typesetter in conjunction with every job I've held since 1980. I am currently using a desktop publisher to create and typeset all the information needed to start and advertise a business. Between 1976 and 1980, I sold typewriters for Olivetti Corporation. I acquired detailed knowledge of typewriters of the type I was selling and those of our competitors. Some of the typewriters I sold were to a degree self-correcting, and comparing them with competing brands gave me a detailed knowledge of the kind of errors typewriters and typists make and how to compare outputs from different machines.

Based on my professional knowledge and experience, I believe that the document presented as an electronic copy of the birth certificate of "Barak Hussein Obama" is a forgery.

By examination of the document, I find the following typographical inconsistencies:

1. There is a variation in size between two impressions of the same character. This is not possible in a document prepared on a standard (type basket) typewriter.
2. Spacing between letters is inconsistent. This is not possible in a document prepared on type-basket typewriter.
3. Some letter pairs are kerned: They are moved closer together to avoid white space between certain letter pairs in a desktop publisher. This is not possible in a document prepared on a standard, fixed-font typewriter. Some IBM typewriters featured a 1/2-point "pseudo kerning" feature, but the use of this was a laborious process and there is no evidence of the original document's having been produced on such a high-end, expensive machine.
4. There are typeface (character shape) mismatches in repeated occurrences of the same character. This is not possible in a document prepared on a type basket typewriter.
5. Only one instance of one character is slanted. Slanting occurred when a typist struck two keys at once, forcing them together. The slanting would occur as a consequence of the typist's forcibly returning the keys to their normal position. This, however, causes a permanent defect in all subsequent occurrences of this character that does not occur in this document. It cannot therefore have come from the typewriter used to create the original document.
6. Some characters appear above or below the baseline. This is possible with this kind of typewriter, but at the least would indicate that the document was removed and replaced: Simply moving rolling the platen up or down would not affect the line spacing to this degree.

I declare to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information herein is true, correct and complete.

SIGNED: Charles R Coombs
Charles R Coombs

WITNESSED: [Signature]

State of Texas County of Dallas
Subscribed and sworn before me on 01/15/2013
(Date)
[Signature]
(Notary Signature)



CHARLIE COOMBS
1730 VALLEY VIEW
IRVING, TX 75061

EXHIBIT 16

State of Arizona)
) ss.
County of Maricopa)

AFFIDAVIT


I, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the facts are true:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arizona. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto. I am the duly elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, and I have been a law enforcement officer and official, in both state and federal government, for 51 years.
2. In August of last year, a group of citizens from the Surprise Arizona Tea Party organization met with me in my office and presented a petition signed by approximately 250 residents of Maricopa County, asking if I would investigate the controversy surrounding President Barrack Obama's birth certificate authenticity and his eligibility to serve as the President of the United States.
3. This group expressed its concern that, up until that point, no law enforcement agency in the country had ever gone on record indicating that they had either looked into this or that they were willing to do so, citing lack of resources and jurisdictional challenges.
4. The Maricopa County Sheriff's Office is in a rather unique position. Under the Arizona Constitution and Arizona Revised Statutes, as the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, I have the authority to request the aid of the volunteer posse, located in the county, to assist me in the execution of my duties. Having organized a volunteer posse of approximately 3,000 members, I, as the Sheriff of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, can authorize an investigation go forward to answer these questions at virtually no expense to the tax payer.
5. The Cold Case posse agreed to undertake the investigation requested by the 250 citizens of Maricopa County. This posse consists of former police officers and attorneys who have worked investigating the controversy surrounding Barack Obama. The investigation mainly focused on the electronic document that was

presented as President Obama's long form birth certificate to the American people and to citizens of Maricopa County by the White House on April 27, 2011.

- 6. The investigation led to a closer examination of the procedures regarding the registration of births at the Hawaii Department of Health and various statements made by Hawaii government officials regarding the Obama birth controversy over the last five years.
- 7. Upon close examination of the evidence, it is my belief that forgery and fraud was likely committed in key identity documents including President Obama's long-form birth certificate, his Selective Service Registration card, and his Social Security number.
- 8. My investigators and I believe that President Obama's long-form birth certificate is a computer-generated document, was manufactured electronically, and that it did not originate in a paper format, as claimed by the White House. Most importantly, the "registrar's stamp" in the computer generated document released by the White House and posted on the White House website, may have been imported from another unknown source document. The effect of the stamp not being placed on the document pursuant to state and federal laws means that there is probable cause that the document is a forgery, and therefore, it cannot be used as a verification, legal or otherwise, of the date, place or circumstances of Barack Obama's birth.
- 9. The Cold Case Posse law enforcement investigation into Barack Obama's birth certificate and his eligibility to be president is on-going. The on-going nature of the investigation is due to additional information that has come to light since we held the press conference in March, 2012. As soon as that information has been properly verified by the Cold Case Posse, I will release that information to the public.

Executed this 12 day of June, 2012, in Maricopa County, Arizona.



Joseph M. Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of June, 2012.

Lynda Jenise Moreno




EXHIBIT 17

AP Corporate Communications
450 West 33rd
New York NY 10001
Phn: 212-621-1720
Attention: "Jack Stokes, manager of media relations
c/o Chuck Zoeller email: czoeller@ap.org
June 16, 2009

Mr. Zoeller

I request a formal notarized copy of AP "image id 89d7cc3eddae4b4b91eef36faec826f5"
allegedly of a school record #203 for one "Barry Soetoro" for evidence in a legal case.

I request formal notarized authentication and description of AP "image id
89d7cc3eddae4b4b91eef36faec826f5" of a school record #203 for one "Barry Soetoro".
Please include photographer, date taken and context.

This image was reportedly made available on January 24, 2007 by Fransiskus Assisi school in
Jakarta, Indonesia, and photographed by "Tatan Syuflana, an Indonesian AP reporter and
photographer". Following are descriptions with references with URLs for this image.

Dr. Orly Taitz
Attorney-at-Law
Orly Taitz Law Offices
26302 La Paz, Suite 211
Mission Viejo, California 92691
Telephone: (949) 683-5411
E-Mail: dr_taitz@yahoo.com

In August 2008, Daylife.com posted at <http://www.daylife.com/photo/01u33pL9Ns06D> an image listed as an "AP Photo" at: <http://cache.daylife.com/imageserve/01u33pL9Ns06D/610x.jpg>

Daylife describes this as:

"This registration document, made available on Jan. 24, 2007, by the Fransiskus Assisi school in Jakarta, Indonesia, shows the registration of Barack Obama under the name Barry Soetoro into the Catholic school made by his step-father, Lolo Soetoro."

Aaron Klein of WorldNetDaily cited this image as: "A 2007 Associated Press photograph taken by Tatan Syuflana, an Indonesian AP reporter and photographer". Klein reported: "Jack Stokes, manager of media relations for the AP, confirmed to WND the picture is indeed an AP photo."

Ref: "Was young Obama Indonesian citizen? Document, travel suggest 'Barry Soetoro' member of world's largest Muslim country" Posted: August 17, 2008, 8:18 pm Eastern, © 2009 WorldNetDaily

Nedra Pickler AP reported: "A spokesman for Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs said claims that Obama studied at an Islamic school are groundless.

"SDN Menteng 1 is a public primary school that is open to people of all faiths," said the spokesman, Sutopo, who goes by only one name. "Moreover, he studied earlier at Fransiskus Assisi, which is clearly a Catholic school." . . .

At first, Obama attended the Catholic school, Fransiskus Assisi, where documents showed he enrolled as a Muslim, the religion of his stepfather."

"Obama Debunks Claim About Islamic School", By NEDRA PICKLER, The Associated Press, Wednesday, January 24, 2007; 4:23 PM Washington Post, McDowell and Associated Press reporters Ali Kotarumalos and Zakki Hakim contributed to this report from Jakarta, Indonesia.

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/01/24/AR2007012400371_pf.html

Laotze at "An American Expat in Indonesia" posted on January 24, 2006:

"Above is a photo of Asisi Primary School in Jakarta, Indonesia the Catholic school that Barack Hussein Obama attended prior to attending Besuki Primary School.

Barack Hussein Obama was registered under the name "Barry Soetoro" serial number 203 and entered the Franciscan Asisi Primary School on 1 January 1968 and sat in class 1B.

School documents listed Barry Soetoro as an Indonesian citizen born in Honolulu, Hawaii on 4 August 1961. Barry's religion was listed as Islam. School documents further record Barry's father as "L Soetoro Ma" who worked as an official of the Director General's office in the TNI Topography division of the Indonesian Army.

Barry attended the Franciscan Asisi Primary School for three years until class 3 afterwards his family moved house from H Ramir Street to Dempo Street where he attended Besuki Primary School for one year before departing to Hawaii."

Tracking Down Obama in Indonesia - Part 3

<http://laotze.blogspot.com/2007/01/tracking-down-obama-in-indonesia-part-3.html>

In August 2008, an AP photo of Barack Obama/Barry Soetoro' school record was posted at daylife.com

"This registration document, made available on Jan. 24, 2007, by the Fransiskus Assisi school in Jakarta, Indonesia, shows the registration of Barack Obama under the name Barry Soetoro into the Catholic school made by his step-father, Lolo Soetoro."

<http://www.daylife.com/photo/01u33pL9Ns06D>

Israel Insider refers to:

"The AP caption reads: "This registration document, made available on Jan. 24, 2007, by the Fransiskus Assisi school in Jakarta, Indonesia, shows the registration of Barack Obama under the name Barry Soetoro into the Catholic school made by his step-father, Lolo Soetoro. The document lists Barry Soetoro as a Indonesian citizen, born on August 4, 1961 in Honolulu, and shows his Muslim step-father listed the boy's religion as Islam. (AP Photo/ Tatan Syuflana)" Syuflana is a well-known and frequently published photographer, specializing in Indonesia. A representative of the AP confirmed that the photo is authentic. The most damaging revelation in the AP photo (registration required to see the large-scale image."

"AP photo of school register reveals "Barry Soetoro" as muslim Indonesian"

By Israel Insider staff August 14, 2008

<http://web.israelinsider.com/Articles/Politics/13056.htm>

This article provides link from "AP photo" to apimages.com which states:

"Please contact your local AP office or licensing representative for more information.

Refer to image id 89d7cc3eddae4b4b91eef36faec826f5" at URL:

http://www.apimages.com/OneUp.aspx?st=k&kw=Tatan%20Syuflana&showact=results&sort=relevance&page=1&intv=None&cfas=__p%2C-1&sh=10&dtebf=1%2F31%2F2007&dteaf=1%2F21%2F2007&kwstyle=and&adte=1218680063&pagez=20&rids=89d7cc3eddae4b4b91eef36faec826f5&dbm=PY2007&xslt=1&dispname=070125010606%2C%20Indonesia%20Us%20Obama%27s%20School

This image was further discussed by:

TexasDarling on August 13, 2008 and September 5, 2008

"Photo Documents Barry Soetoro: Indonesian Citizen, Muslim Religion [Re-Posted]"

<http://texasdarlin.wordpress.com/2008/09/05/breaking-photo-documents-barry-soetoro-indonesian/>

"Soetoro? So what?" By Pamela Geller at AtlasShrugs Thursday, August 14, 2008

http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2008/08/so-what.html

REGISTRATION OF GRADEBOOK

NO. 203.

1. The name of the pupil: Barry Soetoro
2. The place and date of birth: Honolulu 4-8-1961
3. The nation
 - a. of citizenship: Indonesia
 - b. The foreign descendants:
 - c. The ethnic group:
4. The religion: Islam
5. Address of the pupil: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
6. From which school (moved from) and what class: ???
7.
 - a. Date accepted: 1-1-1968 (January 1, 1968)
 - b. Grade: I (First grade)
8.
 - a. The name of the parents Mr./Ms.: L. Soetoro M A (Lolo Soetoro)
 - b. Occupation/Job: (???? Geography ????)
(name of the mother will only be used if father is deceased)
 - c. Address: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
9. The name of the guardian:
(??? was filled up, ??? parents of the pupil were not available, already ????)
because another thing)
 - b. Occupation/Job:
 - c. The address: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
10. Left this school:
 - a. ?? was finished. outside from the class: The date:
 - b. ?? recieved ?? the date: No.
 - c. ?? the school to:
11. Other information:

No. 203

1. Nama murid : [Redacted] L
P

2. Tempat dan tanggal lahir : Hongkong 4-2-64

3. Bangsa: a. Warga negara : Indonesia
 b. Keturunan asing : _____
 c. Suku bangsa : _____

4. Agama : Islam

5. Alamat murid : Memb. Dalam R007/R003

6. Data sekolah mana (dipindahan) dan kelas berapa: Tanwan Hamah Jember Amsia

7. a. Berusia dan kelas (al tgl): 1-1-1964
 b. Dirompikan di kelas : F

8. a. Nama orang tua Alah
ibu : [Redacted]
 b. Pekerjaan : Peg. Dinas Geografi Dit. Taps A.D.
(nama ds diti, kerja jika ajah sudah meninggal)
 c. Alamat : Memb. Dalam R007/R003

9. a. Nama wali : [Redacted]
(nama diti, jika orang tua murid tak ada, sudah meninggal atau karena hal lain)
 b. Pekerjaan : _____
 c. Alamat : _____

10. Menanggapi sekolah ini : _____

A. Dalam tahun. Keluar dari kelas _____ tanggal _____
 kemana _____
 B. Tahun, menerima ijazah tanggal _____ No. _____
 C. Melanjutkan sekolah ke _____

11. Keterangan lain: _____

EXHIBIT 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY,

CASE No.:12-cv-1984

PLAINTIFF,

**PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY SECOND
MOTION FOR ORDER TO RELEASE
PRIVACY ACT-PROTECTED RECORDS AND
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION BASED UPON
PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF DESTRUCTION
OF EVIDENCE RELATED TO OBAMA'S
BIRTH**

VS.

YVETTE ALEXANDER, DON R. DINAN AND
WILLIAM LIGHTFOOT,

DEFENDANTS.

Plaintiff, Montgomery Blair Sibley ("Sibley"), pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11), moves this Court for an Expedited Order directing the National Archives Record Administration ("NARA") to produce and release the original I-9 arrival records described herein which relate to the nationality of Barack Hussein Obama, II, and which NARA has claimed are documents protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 codified at 5 U.S.C. §552a, and (ii) for expedited consideration of this Motion and for grounds in support thereof states:

I. BACKGROUND

Sibley has served subpoenas duces tecum which seeks Mr. Obama's: (i) Social Security number application, (ii) Selective Service number application, (iii) Passport application, (iv) Harvard Law School and Columbia University admission applications and (v) the originals of the two (2) Certificates of Live Births that he has publicly released. Attorneys have thrown up a number of procedural objections to allowing those records to be released which issues are pending resolution before this Court.

However, one set of records subpoenaed that Mr. Obama's attorneys failed to block were

those kept by the National Archives Records Administration. The records released pursuant to that subpoena is troubling and raises legitimate concerns about Mr. Obama's past and thus his eligibility to be President under Article II of the Constitution.

Pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum, NARA made available to Sibley the "Arrival Records" for August 1 through August 10, 1961, of all passengers arriving in Honolulu, Hawaii. Sibley sought these records given the date of Obama's birth on his publicly-released Certificate of Live Birth of August 4, 1961 in Hawaii to see if he and/or his Mother arrived during that time-frame. If they did, it would prove that Mr. Obama was not born in the United States and as such is not a U.S. Citizen.

The significance of this "arrival form" evidenced can be seen from the arrival record of a three year old in Honolulu on August 8, 1961, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". That arrival record indicates the citizenship of the child.

What NARA produced on December 13, 2012, were two microfilm spools of the arrival records for July 28 through August 1, 1961 and August 8 through August 12, 1961. Attached hereto as Exhibit "B", is a photograph of the boxes those two microfilm spools are stored in which show the dates the spools cover. Even more significant, the date of "August 1" has been altered. It appears that "white-out" was applied and a new date was written over the original date of "August 7, 1961". Proof of that alteration comes from a photograph of the same box taken six months before on or about March 22, 2012 which reveals the date was originally "August 7, 1961". Thus, indisputably the box has been tampered with – a criminal offense.

Moreover, the microfilm of the July 28 spool ends on August 1, 1961 without the notice of "end of roll" that other microfilm spools evidence. Simply stated, someone appears to have tampered with the documents material to the question of the location of Obama's birth and altered NARA

records to conceal that alteration. The records for August 2 through August 7, 1961 are missing.

Title 5 U.S.C. §552a(b) “Conditions of Disclosure” states: “No agency shall disclose any record which is contained in a system of records by any means of communication to any person, or to another agency, except pursuant to a written request by, or with the prior written consent of, the individual to whom the record pertains, unless disclosure of the record would be . . . (11) pursuant to the order of a court of competent jurisdiction.”

Here, the “relevance” under Rule 26(b)(1) of the I-9 arrival records related to the birth of Barack Hussein Obama, II, are manifest as such records will reveal the “evidence of the U.S. nationality” of Barack Hussein Obama, II. That “evidence” will address the seminal question of whether Barack Hussein Obama, II, is indeed a “natural born Citizen” eligible—under Article II, §1, clause 5 of the United States Constitution – to be President. Upon such determination of ineligibility, the Defendants will be legally barred from casting their Twelfth Amendment votes for Barack Hussein Obama, II.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests an order from this Court pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11) directing the National Archives Record Administration to produce and release the original I-9 arrival records described herein. Given the evidence of tampering, Plaintiff respectfully requests expedited resolution of this Motion.

RULE 12.I(A) STATEMENT

The undersigned has consulted with Defendants’ counsel who has indicated that he **does/does**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Postal Service first class mail this December 19, 2012, on Andrew J. Saindon, Assistant Attorney General, Equity Section, 441 Fourth Street, N.W., 6th Floor South, Washington, D.C. 20001, Telephone: (202) 724-6643, Facsimile: (202) 730-1470, E-mail: andy.saindon@dc.gov.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY
Plaintiff
4000 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., #1518
Washington, D.C. 20016
(202) 478-0371

By: Montgomery Blair Sibley

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

YVETTE ALEXANDER, DON R. DINAN AND
WILLIAM LIGHTFOOT,

DEFENDANTS.

CASE No.:12-cv-1984

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY
SECOND MOTION FOR ORDER TO RELEASE
PRIVACY ACT-PROTECTED RECORDS AND
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION BASED UPON
PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF DESTRUCTION
OF EVIDENCE RELATED TO OBAMA'S BIRTH**

THIS MATTER came on to be heard on Order on Plaintiff's Emergency Second Motion for Order to Release Privacy Act-Protected Records and Expedited Consideration Based Upon Prima Facie Evidence of Destruction of Evidence Related to Obama's Birth and the Court being advised in the premises, it is hereby:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is granted. By the authority vested in this Court by 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11), the National Archives Record Administration shall forthwith produce and release the original I-9 arrival records to Montgomery Blair Sibley all records in its possession relating to the arrival records in Honolulu, Hawaii for August 1 through August 10, 1961.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers this ____ day of _____, 2012.

By: _____
United States District Judge

Copies to:

Montgomery Blair Sibley
Andrew J. Saindon

ROBERTSON

Passport Number

196121

510 St. Norwood

Moss

Passport Number

244/08

Tachibana

510 St. Norwood

Moss

11-57

Swaffenville, N.C.

CLASS ADMITTED
AUG 8 1961
TO CLASS U. S. C.

Swaffenville, N.C.

NO 85 IJVS HOWOLU
August 8 1961 - August 19 1961
A 3573 ARRIVALS 185

NO 85 IJVS HOWOLU
July 31 1961 - August 19 1961
A 3573 ARRIVALS 181

Bathic "B"




Exhibit "C"

EXHIBIT 19



KEY INFORMATION

Search Site

home

about the agency

registration info

news & public affairs

what's new

contact

careers

privacy policy

history/records

publications

fast facts

what happens in a draft

site map

Selective Service Record Search Results

Search Criteria

Last Name: *obama*

Social Security Number: *** - ** - 4425

Date of Birth: 08/04/1961

Matched Record

Selective Service Number:
61-1125539-1

Name:
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA

Date of Registration:
9/4/1980

To obtain written proof of Selective Service registration [CLICK HERE](#) and follow the instructions on our "Registration Information" page.

[New Search ?](#)

[FAQs](#)

Last Updated: 12/21/2011

©2011 Selective Service System

EXHIBIT 20



Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814
Phone (808)596-2980
Fax (808)596-2985
Email: dp-hstaff@inbox.com
Website: www.hawaii.democrats.org

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawaii

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the national Democratic Parties balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held on February 19th, 2008 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held August 27, 2008 in Denver, Colorado.


For President of the United States

Barack Obama
P.O. Box 8102
Chicago, IL, 60680


For Vice President of the United States

Joe Biden
1209 Barley Mill Rd.
Wilmington, DE 19807

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 27th day of August, 2008.



Brian E. Schatz
Chair
Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814



Lynn Matusow
Secretary
Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814

DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF HAWAII
1050 Ala Moana Blvd, Suite D26 • Honolulu, HI 96814 • Phone: (808) 596-2980 • Fax: (808) 596-2985

Democratic Party of Hawai'i
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
Phone (808) 596-8920
Fax (808) 592-8925
Email: statechair@hawaiidemocrats.org
Website: hawaiidemocrats.org

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawai'i

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution and are the duly chosen candidates of both the state and the national Democratic Parties by balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held May 30, 2004 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held July 26, 2004 in Boston, Massachusetts.


For President of the United States

John Kerry
19 Louisburg Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02108


For Vice President of the United States

John Edwards
3323 Alleghany Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 31st day of August, 2004.




Brickwood M. Galuteria
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
Chair
Democratic Party of Hawai'i



Edmund Aczon
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
Secretary
Democratic Party of Hawai'i

Democratic Party of Hawai'i

404 Ward Avenue, Suite 201
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96814
Voice (808) 596-2980 Fax (808) 596-2985
<http://www.hawaiidemocrats.org>
e-mail: democrat@hawaiidemocrats.org



OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawaii

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution and are the duly chosen candidates of both the state and the national Democratic Parties by balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held March 7, 2000 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held August 14 -17, 2000 in Los Angeles, California.



For President of the United States

Al Gore
350 Cookeville Highway
Carthage, Tennessee 37030

For Vice President of the United States

Joe Lieberman
10 Alston Avenue
New Haven, Connecticut 06515

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 8th day of September, 2000.


	
Alfred C. Lardizabal 2222 Citron Street, #303 Honolulu, Hawaii 96826 Vice-Chair, Democratic Party of Hawaii	Cynthia Apana 2222 Citron Street, #303 Honolulu, Hawaii 96826 Secretary, Democratic Party of Hawaii

Walter M. Heen, Chair * Velma M. Santos, Vice Chair * Y. Jane Sugimura, Treasurer * Norman T. Taira, Assistant Treasurer
Joy Kobashigawa Lewis, Secretary * Momi Minu Lee, Assistant Secretary
George R. Ariyoshi, National Committeeman * Anefil R. Agbayani, National Committeewoman

SOURCE: <http://butterdezillion.wordpress.com/2010/09/09/certificate-of-nomination-summary/>

SOURCE: <http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2010/09/breaking-democratic-party-of-hawaii.html>

EXHIBIT 21



ATTORNEY REGISTRATION & DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION
 OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF ILLINOIS

[WEBSITE INFORMATION](#) | [SEARCH SITE](#) | [HOME](#)

- [Lawyer Search](#)
- [Lawyer Registration](#)
- [How to Submit a Request For Investigation](#)
- [Rules and Decisions](#)
- [Ethics Inquiry Program](#)
- [Publications](#)
- [New Filings, Hearing Schedules and Clerk's Office](#)
- [Client Protection Program](#)
- [Resources & Links](#)
- [ARDC Organizational Information](#)

LAWYER SEARCH: ATTORNEY'S REGISTRATION AND PUBLIC DISCIPLINARY RECORD

ARDC Individual Attorney Record of Public Registration and Public Disciplinary and Disability Information as of March 4, 2010 at 1:15:21 PM:

Full Licensed Name:	Barack Hussein Obama
Full Former name(s):	None
Date of Admission as Lawyer by Illinois Supreme Court:	December 17, 1991
Registered Business Address:	Not available online
Registered Business Phone:	Not available online
Illinois Registration Status:	Voluntarily retired and not authorized to practice law
Malpractice Insurance: (Current as of date of registration; consult attorney for further information)	No malpractice report required as attorney is retired.

Public Record of Discipline and Pending Proceedings: None

Check carefully to be sure that you have selected the correct lawyer. At times, lawyers have similar names. The disciplinary results displayed above include information relating to any and all public discipline, court-ordered disability inactive status, reinstatement and restoration dispositions, and pending public proceedings. Investigations are confidential and information relating to the existence or status of any investigation is not available. For additional information regarding data on this website, please contact ARDC at (312) 565-2600 or, from within Illinois, at (800) 826-8625.

ARDC makes every effort to maintain the currency and accuracy of Lawyer Search. If you find any typographical errors in the Lawyer Search information, please email registration@iardc.org. For changes to contact information, including address, telephone or employer information, we require that the attorney submit a change of address form. Please consult our [Address Change Requests](#) page for details. Name changes require the filing of a motion with the Supreme Court. Please consult our [Name Change Requests](#)

page for details.

[Return to Search](#)

**IARDC @:online access to registration and discipline
information regarding Illinois lawyers
presented by the Illinois Attorney Registration &
Disciplinary Commission.**

[Lawyer Search](#) | [Lawyer Registration](#) | [How to Submit a
Request For Investigation](#)

[Rules and Decisions](#) | [Ethics Inquiry Program](#) |
[Publications](#)

[New Filings, Hearing Schedules and Clerk's Office](#) | [Client
Protection Program](#)

[Resources & Links](#) | [ARDC Organizational Information](#)
[Website Information](#) | [Search Site](#) | [Home](#)

EXHIBIT 22

National Student Clearinghouse

Verification Response

[About Us](#) [Contact Us](#) [Home](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Verify a Degree or Past Attendance](#)
- [Verify a Certification](#)
- [Transaction/Billing History](#)

[Help](#)
[Logout](#)



CLICK HERE TO
VERIFY ANOTHER
DEGREE FOR THIS PERSON



Printer
Friendly
Version
(Requires
Adobe Acrobat
Reader)



Congratulations! We have successfully completed your verification request. If you have any questions, see our [DegreeVerify FAQs](#).

Abbreviated View

[Expanded View](#)

Transaction ID: 022313534
Requested By: ORLY TAITZ

Date Requested: 03/06/2011 11:26 EST
Date Notified: 03/06/2011 11:26 EST

Status: Confirmed
Fee: \$10.00
Credit Card Order#: 6672402
Credit Card Confirm: dr_taitz@yahoo.com
Email:

INFORMATION YOU PROVIDED


Subject Name:	BARACK		OBAMA
	<i>First Name</i>	<i>Middle Name</i>	<i>Last Name</i>
Name Used While Attending School:			
(if different from above)	<i>First Name</i>	<i>Middle Name</i>	<i>Last Name</i>
Date of Birth:	08/04/1961		
	<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>		
School Name:	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK		
Attempt To:	Verify a degree.		

INFORMATION VERIFIED


Name On School's Records: BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA
Date Awarded: 05/17/1983
Degree Title: BACHELOR OF ARTS
Official Name of School: COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Major Course(s) of Study: POLITICAL SCIENCE
Dates of Attendance: 09/01/1982 to 05/31/1983

EXHIBIT 23





Barry 3rd grade 1969



DR. ORLY TAITZ ESQ

PRESIDENT

DEFEND OUR FREEDOMS FOUNDATION

29839 SANTA MARGARITA STE 100

RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA CA 92688

PH.: 949-688-5411 FAX: 949-766-7603

ORLY.TAITZ@HUSHMAIL.COM

FOIA Officer

Mary Beth Senkewicz
DC Health Benefit Exchange Authority
1100 15th Street NW 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 730-1638

mary.senkewicz@dc.gov

01.01.2014

FOIA REQUEST

Dear Ms. Senkewich,

I am writing to you based on directions provided by DC Health Benefit Exchange Authority below.

I am requesting following information:

1. Mr. Obama originally stated that he signed up for ACA (Obamacare) at the DC exchange.
2. Later White House reporters were told that he did not sign up on the web site because the web site could not verify his identity and his aide submitted Mr. Obama's application in person.
3. FOX news network White House correspondent Ed Henry stated that the White House related to the reporters that Mr. Obama could not sign up on the web site because the personal information of the presidents is "scrubbed" from National databases.
4. In reality there is no court order from any court or any judge directing removal of the personal information of the US Presidents from the National databases and indeed time and again the personal information in regards to the U.S. Presidents was found in the National databases.

5. As such I am requesting **a copy of any court order by any court that directed Washington DC Health Benefit Exchange Authority to "scrub" or otherwise remove Mr. Obama's information from your database.**

6. I am submitting to you a complaint sent to Inspector General of HHS and Inspector General of Social Security administration, which shows that Mr. Obama posted his 2009 tax returns on line, on WhiteHouse.gov and originally did not flatten the PDF file, so the full unredacted Connecticut Social Security number ~~0233~~-4425 used by Mr. Obama became available to the public. This number failed both E-Verify and SSNVS and showed not to be assigned to Barack Obama. Later this number was traced to Harry (Harrison) J Bounel. Currently there are several legal actions being conducted in relation to this fraud committed by Mr. Obama.

I am requesting documentary information, whether Mr. Obama ultimately was enrolled in ACA after his aide submitted his application in person, in spite of Barack Obama's use of a stolen Social Security number.

I am requesting any and all documents, directives, rules and regulations showing that there are safeguards in place set by Washington DC Health Exchange Authority that prevent individuals with stolen and fabricated Social Security numbers and other fraudulent IDs to be enrolled in ACA via your exchange.

I am requesting any documentary evidence showing that there are requirements for employees of the Washington DC exchange to report to District of Columbia Court or U.S. District Court evidence of identity theft, fabricated IDs, Social Security fraud that is obtained by these Exchange employees via applications for ACA and not to be criminally complicit in 18 USC § 5 Misprision of aforementioned felonies.

This FOIA request is delivered by e-mail on 01.01.2014 and response is due within 20 business days on 01.27.2014.

If response is not received on 01.27.2014, petitioner will interpret it as a denial of FOIA request and will seek a redress of grievance and appeal in the court of law, either in DC court or US District Court for the District of Columbia.

Respectfully



Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ
President Defend Our Freedoms Foundation

01.01.2014



January 3, 2014

Orly Taitz
Defend Our Freedoms Foundation
29839 Santa Margarita, Ste. 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

Re: FOIA 2014-1

Dear Orly Taitz,

This letter is in response to your three emails received January 1, 2014 containing a Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) of the DC Health Benefit Exchange Authority (the agency). Your request has been granted.

Request 1: I am requesting a copy of any court order by any court that directed Washington DC Health Benefit Exchange Authority to "scrub" or otherwise remove Mr. Obama's information from your database.

Response: The agency has no records responsive to this request.

Request 2: I am requesting documentary information, whether Mr. Obama ultimately was enrolled in ACA after his aide submitted his application in person...

Response: Record withheld in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2), reflecting information of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Request 3: I am requesting any and all documents, directives, rules and regulations showing that there are safeguards in place set by Washington DC Health Exchange Authority that prevent individuals with stolen and fabricated Social Security numbers and other fraudulent IDs to be enrolled in ACA via your exchange.

Response: The agency has no records responsive to this request.

Request 4: I am requesting any documentary evidence showing that there are requirements for employees of the Washington DC exchange to report to District of Columbia Court or U.S. District Court evidence of identity theft, fabricated IDs, Social Security fraud that is obtained by these Exchange employees via applications for ACA and not to be criminally complicit in 18 USC § 5 Misprision of aforementioned felonies.


Response: The agency has no records responsive to this request.



Please know that, under D.C. Official Code § 2-537 and 1 DCMR 412, you have the right to appeal this letter to the Mayor or to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. If you elect to appeal to the Mayor, your appeal must be in writing and contain "Freedom of Information Act Appeal" or "FOIA Appeal" in the subject line of the letter as well on the outside of the envelope. The appeal must include (1) a copy of the original request; (2) a copy of any written denial; (3) a statement of the circumstances, reasons, and/or arguments advanced in support of disclosure; and (4) a daytime telephone number, and e-mail and/or U.S. Mail address at which you can be reached. The appeal must be mailed to: The Mayor's Correspondence Unit, FOIA Appeal, 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 316, Washington, D.C. 20004. Electronic versions of the same information can instead be e-mailed to The Mayor's Correspondence Unit at foia.mayor@dc.gov. Further, a copy of all appeal materials must be forwarded to me as the Freedom of Information Officer of the involved agency.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions. The file is now closed.

Sincerely,



Mary Beth Senkewicz
Associate General Counsel and Policy Advisor
FOIA Officer