

Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100

Rancho Santa Margarita, Ca 92688

949-683-5411, fax 949-766-7603

orly.taitz@gmail.com

PRO SE PLAINTIFF IN MS

IN THE US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI

Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ et al

) CASE 12-CV-280

v

) HON. HENRY WINGATE

Democratic Party of Mississippi et al

) PRESIDING

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT BY DEFENDANT MICHAEL ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY**

**MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND POST DEFAULT DISCOVERY**

1. Defendant Michel Astrue, commissioner of Social Security , was served on October 9 2012, by professional process service through the office of the U.S. Attorney in Washington DC. He was served together with President Obama and Congresswoman Pelosi. Service of process is available on the docket.
2. During 10.16.2012 hearing Scott J. Tepper representing Obama, Pelosi, "Obama for America" and Democratic party of Mississippi (Hereinafter DPM) stated on the record that Astrue was served, but did not respond within 21 days as others, as he was sued as a federal employee, therefore he has 60 days to respond since the date of service.
3. 60 days expired on December 8, 2012.
4. Astrue did not file an answer or any responsive pleadings and is currently in default.
5. Plaintiff Taitz is seeking a Default Judgment against defendant Michel Astrue, fees and costs.
6. Taitz is asking this court to grant her motion to compel records as part of the post Default discovery, specifically she is seeking a Motion to Compel production of the SS-5, Social Security application to Connecticut Social Security number xxx-xx-4425, (unredacted version of this

motion with the full Social Security number is provided to the court under seal) which was used by Barack Obama in his tax returns filed on WhiteHouse.gov, which was not assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS.




7. /s/ DR. Orly Taitz, ESQ

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS  
AS PART OF POSTJUDGMENT DISCOVERY**


1. Defendant Michel Astrue, commissioner of Social Security , was served on October 9 2012, by professional process service through the office of the U.S. Attorney/Department of Justice in Washington DC. He was served together with President Obama and Congresswoman Pelosi. Service of process is available on the docket.
2. During 10.16.2012 hearing Scott J. Tepper representing other defendants: Obama, Pelosi, "Obama for America" and Democratic party of Mississippi (Hereinafter DPM) stated on the record that Astrue was served, but did not respond within 21 days as others, as he was sued as a federal employee, therefore he has 60 days to respond since the date of service.
3. 60 days expired on December 8, 2012.
4. Astrue did not file an answer or any responsive pleadings and is currently in default.
5. Plaintiff Taitz is seeking a Default Judgment against defendant Michel Astrue, fees and costs.
6. Taitz is asking this court to grant her motion to compel records as part of the post Default discovery, specifically she is seeking a Motion to compel production of the SS-5, Social Security application to Connecticut Social Security number xxx-xx-4425, which was used by Barack Obama in his 2009 tax returns, but never assigned to Barack Obama according to e-verify and SSNVS.
7. Affidavit of Felicito Papa attests to the fact that Obama originally did not flatten the PDF file and published the full CT Social security number xx-xx-4425 he is using on WhiteHouse.gov Exhibit 1. Affidavit of Felicito Papa
8. According to E-verify this number was never issued to Barack Obama Exhibit 2 Affidavit of Linda Jordan and E-Verify certification
9. According to SSNVS (Social Security Verification Systems) this number was never assigned to Barack Obama Exhibit 3 SSNVS certification
10. Obama's use of a stolen Social Security number and cover up of this fact is the basis of the RICO conspiracy, which included harassment, intimidation, persecution and financial damages to Plaintiff Taitz .
11. Without a motion to compel Taitz would not be able to obtain necessary information. Additionally, now there is evidence of defendants and their accomplices spoliating, destroying evidence. Recently some of the RICO co-conspirators took down a website "Fogbow" with a clear intent to destroy evidence. Similarly, Affidavits of Investigator Zullo (Exhibit 4) and Expert Blake (Exhibit 5 ) show a pattern of obstruction of Justice, evasion of law enforcement, tampering with evidence.

12. Based on all of the above good cause exists to grant Plaintiff Taitz Default Judgment and grant her motion to compel production of documents in order to ascertain damages.



/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

Per request of the parties, the parties are being notified electronically via ECF



/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ


**AFFIDAVIT OF ORLY TAITZ REGARDING ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT DEFENDANT MICHEL ASTRUE**

1. Defendant Astrue was notified about his legal action and he received the First Amended Complaint of this action originally pending in the Superior Court of Mississippi on April 13, 2012 through his attorney Helen L. Gilbert at [Helen.L.Gilbert@usDOJ.gov](mailto:Helen.L.Gilbert@usDOJ.gov). He did not respond.
2. Defendant Astrue was served by Process server Daniel Williams docketed herein as Document 54-1 Same Day Process Service, Inc.  
1219 11th St., NW  
Washington DC 20001  
(202)–398–4200

Internal Job ID:62302 Defendant Astrue never responded.

3. Due to the fact that all prior attempts to get a response from defendant Astrue failed, Plaintiff Taitz is requesting this court to grant her Motion to compel production of documents under FRCP 37 as part of post default discovery.

I attest under penalty of perjury that aforementioned is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and informed belief



/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

## **EXHIBIT 1**

## AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA )

)S.S.

COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Felicitio Papa, am over 18 years old and resident of 7579 Walden Road, Jacksonville, FL 32244 with FL DL #P100-245-45-082-0. I do not suffer from any mental impairment and I competently attest to the following under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am a professional web developer having graduated with a bachelor's degree in IT from IIT Technical Institute in Indianapolis, IN. I have over ten years of experience of in web designs and development and I have often used software such as Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.
2. On April 15, 2010, the Whitehouse website, [www.whitehouse.gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov), released the 2009 Form 1040 of Income Tax Return of President Barack H. Obama:  
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/president-obama-2010-complete-return.pdf>.
3. I downloaded this 65-page pdf file on my computer. I observed that all information about the president's and the first lady's social security numbers were redacted. All blocks or spaces for social security numbers were blank, or "white-out."
4. I submit Exhibit A (attached herewith, page 43 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama. The space for his social security number is redacted or blank.
5. I submit top Exhibit B (attached herewith, page 49 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of First Lady Michelle Obama. The space for her social security number is redacted or blank.
6. Then through Adobe Illustrator software, I opened Exhibit A and B and found that these two pdf files have two layers each, not just one layer. When the top layer is turned off or dragged away, the social security numbers of both persons are revealed.
7. I submit Exhibit A1 (attached herewith) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama with his social security number revealed. The following information are revealed:

1. Barack Obama's SSN, **042-68-4425**
2. Michelle Obama's SSN 350-60-2302
3. An initial MLO on the side of Form 709
4. A 1/4 inch dark square with notation on it.
5. Preparer's SSN or PIN P00570974  
EIN 36-2700600  
Phone no. 312/372-0440

2009

3 Jongs social security number

OBAMA

~~350-50-2302~~

ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES

[illegible]

**Consent of Spouse** I consent to the above described transfers made by my spouse, because he/she has signed the proper documents as required by law.

**Consenting spouse's signature** \_\_\_\_\_ Date **4/7/19**

1	Balance forward	0
2	Change in net income	0
3	Gain (loss) on sale of assets	0
4	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
5	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
6	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
7	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
8	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
9	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
10	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
11	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
12	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
13	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
14	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
15	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
16	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
17	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
18	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0
19	Gain (loss) on sale of property, plant, and equipment	0
20	Gain (loss) on sale of investments	0

Amount to be refunded: \$100.00

Signature: *Michelle Stam* Date: *4/7/10*

Sign Here: ☒ Yes ☐ No

P&D  
 Preparer's  
 Use Only

WINTERBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC  
 180 N LASALLE ST, STE 1200  
 CHICAGO, IL 60601

1/30/10  
 P00573374  
 15-2700600  
 3127373-0410  
 709

40

Attachment (check + attach), order here:

Sign  
Here

PAID  
Preparer's  
Use Only

amount to be refunded  
*Michelle Blum 4/7/10*  
☒ Yes ☐ No  
 3/30/10  
 WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC  
 180 N LASALLE ST, STE 1200  
 CHICAGO, IL 60601  
 312.312.3415  
 709



2000-00000 ~~SECRET~~ ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

709

709

## United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

See separate instructions

1 Donor's first name <b>BARACK H.</b>		2 Donor's last name <b>OBAMA</b>		3 Donor's social security number <b>042-68-4425</b>	
4 Donor's home address (street, city, state, and ZIP code) <b>1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>				5 Legal residence (city, state, and ZIP code) <b>ILLINOIS</b>	
6 Recipient's name (first, last, and middle) <b>WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>				7 Recipient's address (street, city, state, and ZIP code) <b>UNITED STATES</b>	
8 Name of the property being transferred (see instructions for details) <b>3</b>					
9 Date of the transfer (month, day, and year) <b>X</b>					
10 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties (see instructions for details) <b>X</b>					
11 Name of the transferee (first, last, and middle) <b>MICHELLE L. OBAMA</b>					
12 Date of the transfer (month, day, and year) <b>4-7-10</b>					
13 Were you married to the donor during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <b>X</b>					
14 Was the donor married to the transferee during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <b>X</b>					
15 Consent of Spouse (see instructions for details) <b>X</b>					

MLO

Consenting spouse's signature <i>Michelle Obama</i>		Date <b>4-7-10</b>
1 Enter the amounts from Schedule A, Part 1, line 11		0.
2 Enter the amounts from Schedule B, line 3		0.
3 Total taxable gifts (see instructions)		0.
4 Tax on taxable gifts (see instructions)		0.
5 Tax on taxable gifts (see instructions)		0.
6 Balance Subject to tax (line 4)		0.
7 Maximum credit (see instructions)		345,800.
8 Enter the credit (see instructions)		345,800.
9 Balance Subject to tax (line 6)		345,800.
10 Enter 20% of the amount on line 9 (see instructions)		345,800.
11 Balance Subject to tax (line 9)		0.
12 Total tax (line 11)		0.
13 Credit for foreign taxes (see instructions)		0.
14 Total credits (see instructions)		0.
15 Balance Subject to tax (line 12)		0.
16 Generation-skipping transfer taxes (see instructions)		0.
17 Total tax (line 16)		0.
18 Total generation-skipping transfer taxes (line 17)		0.
19 Total tax (line 18)		0.
20 Total tax (line 19)		0.

Sign Here <i>Barack Obama</i>		Date <b>4/7/10</b>
Paid Preparer's Use Only <b>WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL &amp; SHAIN, PC</b>		Preparer's Social Security Number <b>36-2708600</b>
Address <b>130 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200</b>		City, State, and ZIP Code <b>CHICAGO, IL 60601</b>

MINNEBERG SOLHEIM ROMELL & SHAIN PC

5

1

Part 1: General Information

$$\frac{1}{10} + \frac{7}{10} = \frac{8}{10}$$

1. *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.

4-7-10

2

22

10

\_\_\_\_\_

2.

10

100

\_\_\_\_\_

—

३३५

61

\_\_\_\_\_

Form **709**

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

OMB No. 1545-0047

**2009**

▶ See separate instructions

1 Donor's first name and last name <b>MICHELLE L.</b>	2 Donor's last name <b>OBAMA</b>	3 Donor's social security number
4 Address (street, street address, apartment, etc.) <b>1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW</b>		5 Recipient's state, district, or country <b>ILLINOIS</b>
6 City, town, or ZIP+4® <b>WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>		7 Recipient's country (see instructions) <b>UNITED STATES</b>

**Part 1 - General Information**

8 Have you ever been a donor on this form?	Yes	No
9 Have you ever been a donee on this form?	Yes	No
10 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
11 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
12 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
13 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
14 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
15 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
16 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
17 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
18 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
19 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
20 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
21 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
22 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
23 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
24 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
25 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
26 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
27 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
28 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
29 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
30 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
31 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
32 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
33 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
34 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
35 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
36 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
37 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
38 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
39 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
40 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
41 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
42 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
43 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
44 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
45 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
46 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
47 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
48 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
49 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
50 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
51 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
52 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
53 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
54 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
55 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
56 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
57 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
58 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
59 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
60 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
61 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
62 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
63 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
64 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
65 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
66 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
67 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
68 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
69 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
70 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
71 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
72 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
73 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
74 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
75 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
76 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
77 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
78 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
79 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
80 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
81 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
82 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
83 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
84 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
85 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
86 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
87 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
88 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
89 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
90 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
91 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
92 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
93 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
94 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
95 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
96 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
97 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
98 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
99 Have you ever been a donee on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No
100 Have you ever been a donor on a form that was later amended?	Yes	No

**Part 2 - Tax Computation**

1 Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 1	1	0.
2 Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 1	2	0.
3 Total taxable gifts (add lines 1 and 2)	3	0.
4 Tax computed on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)	4	0.
5 Tax computed on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)	5	0.
6 Balance Subject to Tax (line 4)	6	0.
7 Maximum unified credit (see instructions)	7	345,800.
8 Enter the unified credit (see instructions)	8	
9 Balance Subject to Tax (line 6 minus line 8)	9	345,800.
10 Enter the amount of the unified credit (see instructions)	10	
11 Balance Subject to Tax (line 9 minus line 10)	11	345,800.
12 Gift tax (line 11)	12	0.
13 Credit for foreign taxes (see instructions)	13	
14 Total credits (add lines 12 and 13)	14	
15 Balance Subject to Tax (line 11 minus line 14)	15	0.
16 Generation-skipping transfer tax (see instructions)	16	
17 Total tax (add lines 15 and 16)	17	0.
18 Gift tax (line 17)	18	
19 Total tax (line 18)	19	0.
20 Total tax (line 19)	20	

**Sign Here**

Signature of donor: *Michelle Obama* Date: *4/7/10*

Signature of preparer: *Wineberg Solheim Howell & Shain, PC* Date: *4/7/10*

Preparer's Use Only: **WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC**  
**180 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200**  
**CHICAGO, IL 60601**

Attach check or money order here.

## **EXHIBIT 2**

I, Linda Jordan am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge of the facts listed below and declare under penalty of perjury:

I used the government run E-Verify System to verify the employment eligibility for Barack H Obama (Obama) and it revealed a "Notice of Mismatch" between Obama's name, birth date and Social Security Number (SSN), compared to the information the Social Security Administration has on file.

I saw Obama's Selective Service Registration (SSR) form which was available on the web at [www.sss.gov](http://www.sss.gov) and copied the SSN Obama used on that form. I also read the reports of licensed investigators Neil Sankey, Susan Daniels and the opinion of retired senior deportation officer of the department of Homeland Security John Sampson, that the SSN Obama was using was fraudulent and/or never issued to him.

Between October 2008 and May 2011, I submitted several requests to agencies and people with the legal responsibility and authority to investigate the use of forged documents and election fraud, concerning Obama's birth records and SSN. (attachment A)

To date no one with the legal responsibility and authority has responded to any of my requests.

I read part of the testimony of Marianna LaCantora before the Committee on Ways and Means Sub Committee on Social Security in the House of Representatives dated April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011. She explained that a SSN in conjunction with a proper identity document determine whether a person is authorized to work. LaCantora said that the E-Verify system run by the government is a free, Internet-based system that allows employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility of their employees. The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 required all employers to verify the identity and employment eligibility of all new employees regardless of citizenship or national origin.

I considered myself to be one of the employers of the President of the United States.

On July 26, 2011, I tried to enroll in the E-Verify System but it required the employer to enter data from their employees I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form. I have been unable to locate one for Obama.

On August 17, 2011, I went back on the E-Verify website and saw that there was a "Self-Service" function that was more streamlined and easier to use when checking an employee's eligibility. I entered the name Barack H Obama, birth date August 4, 1961 and SSN 042-68-4425. This data was entered correctly. The report I got back from the SSA included a "Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records", (attachment B)

Signed

Linda Jordan 4419 So. Dawson St. Seattle WA 98118 206.723.6471

In the city of

Seattle Washington, County of King

Dated the

20th day of August, 2011

Signature of the Notary



Date

August 21, 2011

# Self Check™

## Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records

[Print](#)[View on Facebook](#)

Bring this notice with you when you visit SSA.

For SSA Field Office Staff: Do not use EV-STAR: See POMS RM 10250.000ff

Obama Barack H

Name of the employee (Last Name, First Name, MI)

08/17/2011

Date of Mismatch

042-68-4425

Employee's Social Security Number (SSN)

2011229111431GY

Case Verification Number

Reason for this Notice

**SSN does not match.** The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is valid, but the name and/or date of birth entered do not match SSA records

**SSN is invalid.** The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is not a valid number

**SSA unable to confirm U.S. Citizenship.** Cannot confirm that the employee is eligible to work because the SSA records do not show that the SSN Holder is a U.S. Citizen

**SSA record does not verify. Other reason.** SSA found a discrepancy in the record

**SSA unable to process data.** SSA found a discrepancy in other data in the record

[Instructions](#)

attachment B

## **EXHIBIT 3**

**GREG HOLLISTER**< greg\_hollister@msn.com>

Wed, Feb 9, 2011 at 5:39 AM

To: orly.taitz@gmail.com

Cc: "John Hemenway, DC Council" <johndhemenway@comcast.net>, Larry Elgin  
<lawnet.lae@gmail.com>, Susan Private Investigator <susandanielspi@aol.com>, "Linda Bent,  
SONORAN NEWS" <lindabent@aol.com>

Dear Ms. Taitz,

I have been monitoring your efforts at a distance reference the eligibility of Barack Obama to serve as POTUS. I see that you are now filing suit reference his many SSNs.

I have a copy of Obama's selective service card with the SSN affixed. I, as a small business owner, have access to the Social Security Number Verification System. As a result, I checked the SSNVS for the SSN Obama used for registering with Selective Service. The SSNVS search resulted in a report sheet that states that number Obama used to register for Selective Service was never issued.

Let me be direct - I have not agreed with many of your tactics in this endeavor. However, I have continued to follow your efforts to see where there may be synergy and this is one.

Therefore, if you think the documents will be helpful, please let me know and I will send you the PDF files for both the Selective Service Card and the SSNVS report that states that SSN was never issued.

Sincerely

Greg Hollister

Gregory S. Hollister, Colonel, USAF, Retired  
President, Hollister Enterprises LLC

Social Security Online

Business Services Online

[www.socialsecurity.gov](http://www.socialsecurity.gov)  
Navigation | Logout[BSO Main Menu](#) | [BSO Information](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Keyboard](#)

## Social Security Number Verification System (SSNVS)

[SSNVS Help](#)

### SSN Verification Results

Employer's EIN:  
Records Submitted: 1  
Failed: 1  
Verified Records: 0

The following table displays your submitted results. The first column indicates if the submitted record verified, failed or employee is deceased. The first five digits of the SSN will be masked for verified records and records with a verification results code of 2, 3, 4 or 6.

[Verify More SSNs](#)  
[What to do if an SSN fails to verify](#)  
[Field Office Locator](#)

- **Failed** - Data does not match Social Security Administration's records. Select [What to do if an SSN Fails to Verify](#) for more information.
- **Deceased** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records, and our records indicate that the person is deceased. For more information, please contact our general SSA information line at 1-800-772-1213 (TDD/TTY 1-800-325-0778) or your local Social Security field office. Select [Field Office Locator](#) to find the office nearest you.
- **Verified** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records.

Results	SSN 999999999	First Name	Middle Name	Last Name	Suffix	Date of Birth MMDDYYYY	Gender F/M	Verification Results
Failed	042684425	BARACK	-	OBAMA	-	08041961	M	<a href="#">1</a>

Verification Results	
Code	Description
1	SSN not in file (never issued).

Have a question? Call 1-800-772-6270 Mon. - Fri. 7AM to 7PM Eastern Time to speak with Employer Customer Service personnel. For TDD/TTY call 1-800-325-0778.

## **EXHIBIT 4**

## AFFIDAVIT

I Henry Blake, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true:

- I. I am over the age of 18 years old and am a resident of Tennessee. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto. I attained higher education as follows: BS Mechanical Engineering, MS & PhD in Engineering Mechanics. I have over 40 years experience with a variety of computers including: Personal Computers, Work Stations, Mainframes and Super Computers.
- II. I have general knowledge of foregoing legal filings in the Federal District Court Case 3:12-cv-00280-HTW-LRA and have specific knowledge of the digital images of copies of President Obama's purported Long-Form Certificate of Live Birth (LFCOLB) appearing within the case filing documents.
- III. I have examined the two image copies of the purported LFCOLB which exist as digital electronic page documents, namely

---

05/04/2012 15 MOTION for Judgment on the Pleadings by Democrat Party of Mississippi (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit LFBC from White House, # 2 Exhibit COLB from Campaign, # 3 Exhibit DOH Verification re White House BC, # 4 Exhibit Hawaii Gov April 27 2011 News Release, # 5 Exhibit DOH White House Correspondence, # 6 Exhibit DOH 08-93 News Release, # 7 Exhibit DOH 09-063 News Release, # 8 Exhibit CDC Report re Birth Certificate History)(Begley, Samuel) (Entered: 05/04/2012) [.pdf (page 8)]

---

and

---

06/06/2012 35 MOTION to Supplement Counsel for MDEC's Response 30 in Opposition to Plaintiff Taitz's Motion for Sanctions 25 re 30 Response to Motion, by Democrat Party of Mississippi (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit MDEC Counsel Request to HI DOH for Verification of President Obamas Hawaiian Birth Cert, # 2 Exhibit Hawaii DOH Verification of President Obamas Hawaiian Birth-Issued May 31 2012)(Begley, Samuel) (Entered: 06/06/2012) [.pdf (page 11)]

---

see also court document

---

10513240131.pdf 05/26/2012 Re: Request for Verification of Vital Records Pursuant to Hawai' i. Rev. Stat. § 338-14.3 and 338-1 8(g)(4) [.pdf (page 4)]

---

and which were filed by the Mississippi Democratic Executive Committee (MDEC), as well as the original PDF image of President Obama's LFCOLB, which was posted on the White House web site on Apr. 27, 2011,

[http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss\\_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf)

and have found significant alterations were made to the original White House LFCOLB PDF image file. Specifically the copy of the LFCOLB appearing in the second and third documents above differ significantly from the copy appearing in the first document and from the original copy posted on the White House website.

Page 8 of the first document above is nearly identical to the white House LFCOLB PDF image file. Page 11 of the second above document is identical to page 4 of the third document. For convenience I will denote the two copies that I recently examined as (page 8) and as (page 4/11).

- IV. The alterations made to the White House LFCOLB PDF image to create the (page 8) image copy are incidental.
  - 1. The (page 8) image copy is otherwise identical to the white House image except for the "Case Label" added at the top margin.
  - 2. Only the Case label is selectable by mouse and cursor on (page 8)
  - 3. The (page 8) image copy was altered to make the (page 4/11) image copy.
- V. I found that the alterations to the (page 8) image copy to create the (page 4/11) image copy are extensive as follows:
  - 1. A second Case Label was added
  - 2. The (page 8) image was flattened, rasterized and green color was added
  - 3. The color of all text was changed from near-Black to Black-Green
  - 4. Numerous form lines were repaired or replaced entirely
  - 5. The basket-weave background was softened and touched up
  - 6. Specific words were made selectable by mouse and cursor in Adobe Reader
  - 7. Hidden editing was applied (see 8.- 11. below):
  - 8. Ten Line Objects were added

9. Two Green Color objects were added
  10. Two Broad-line Strikeouts were applied, one to the words "Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological", and the other to the word "August"
  11. Seven Rectangular Black Redaction Box Objects were added which altogether cover nearly all of the typed text
- VI. The remaining typed, stamped (or form) words left unstruck or unredacted and appearing on the hidden image of (page4/11) are:

1. Both Case Labels
2. State of Hawaii      Certificate of Live Birth      Department of Health
3. 61 10641 (file number 151 is redacted)
4. Barack Hussein Obama, II ("X" in single-birth box)
5. Honolulu (in two places)
6. 6085 Kalaianaole Highway
7. Labels for ten form-boxes
8. State Registrar's date and signature stamps

VII. Words which can be selected on (page 4/11) by mouse and cursor in Adobe Reader are:

1. Both Case Labels
2. State of Hawaii      Certificate of Live Birth      Department of Health
2. 61 10641 (File number 151 is non-selectable)
3. Barack Hussein Obama, II
4. Honolulu
5. Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital
6. 6085 Kalanianaole
7. Hussein Obama
8. University
9. State Registrar's date and signature stamps.

I attest to this under penalty of perjury.

*Henry Blake, PhD*  
Henry Blake, PhD

11/09/2012



My Comm. Expires 4-23-2013

*Michelle Duncan*  
11-9-2012

## **EXHIBIT 5**

STATE OF ARIZONA  
County of Maricopa

## AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arizona. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto. I am a former sworn law enforcement officer and criminal investigator. Since 2007 I have been duly appointed by the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, Joseph Arpaio, as the chief investigator of his Cold Case Posse.
2. Under the Arizona Constitution and Arizona Revised Statutes, the Sheriff has the authority to request assistance from a volunteer posse ("the Cold Case Posse"), a special five-member team of experienced investigators located in the county, to assist him in the execution of his duties. The individually-selected team deputized by the Sheriff includes former police detectives and attorneys who work voluntarily and at virtually no expense to the taxpayer whenever the Sheriff authorizes an investigation to address any issue as the Sheriff deems appropriate.
3. In August 2011, a group of citizens from the Surprise Arizona Tea Party organization called upon the Sheriff in his office and presented a petition signed by approximately 250 residents of Maricopa County, requesting the Sheriff's Department to investigate whether a document posted on the official website of the White House on 27 April 2011 and purporting on its face to be an electronic image of the "long-form" or original Hawaiian birth certificate of President Barack Hussein Obama was genuine.
4. If the image of the birth certificate were not genuine, the question might arise whether Mr Obama had been born within the jurisdiction of the United States and thereby complied with the requirement under Article II of the U.S. Constitution that the President be a "natural-born citizen".
5. The petitioners expressed their concern that, until that point, no law enforcement agency had ever gone on record as indicating that it had investigated or was willing to investigate whether President Obama was eligible to hold his office. The petitioners said that lack of resources and jurisdictional challenges had inhibited any such investigation elsewhere.
6. Sheriff Arpaio commissioned the Cold Case Posse to undertake the investigation requested by the petitioners. The principal focus of the investigation is the electronic document on the White House website that President Obama had presented as the image of his long-form birth

certificate to the American people and to citizens of Maricopa County at a White House press conference on April 27, 2011, when he had said: "We provided additional information today about the site of my birth. ... Yes, in fact, I was born in Hawaii, August 4, 1961, in Kapi'olani Hospital."

7. For 17 years from 1991 until the year before the Presidential election of 2008, the annually-revised biography written by Mr Obama and circulated by his literary agents had contained the words "Barack Obama, the first African-American President of the *Harvard Law Review*, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii."
8. The official *Parliamentary Debates* of the Kenyan National Assembly for 25 March 2010 records that Mr Orengo, the Minister for Lands, said: "If America ... did not see itself as a multiparty state or nation, how could a young man born here in Kenya, who is not even a native American, become the President of America?"
9. The investigation has closely examined the procedures for registration of births at the Hawaii Department of Health and various statements made by officials of the Hawaii government over the last five years in connection with the authenticity of Mr Obama's birth records. We have chronicled a series of inconsistent and misleading representations that various officials of the government of Hawaii have made since 2007 on the question what original birth records, if any, are held by the Hawaii Department of Health.
10. In February 2012, I reported to Sheriff Arpaio that there was probable cause to consider that the White House image of Mr Obama's birth certificate was a forgery, and specifically that it was not a true and accurate photographic image of a genuine birth record. I advised the Sheriff that the forgers had probably committed two crimes: first, fraudulently creating a forgery that the White House had characterized, knowingly or unknowingly, as an officially-produced governmental birth record; and secondly, fraudulently presenting to the residents of Maricopa County and to the American public at large a forgery that the White House had represented as "proof positive" of President Obama's authentic 1961 Hawaiian long-form birth certificate.
11. These conclusions were reinforced by input from numerous experts in the fields of typewriting, typesetting, computer-generated documentation, forensic document analysis and Adobe computer programs, as well as comparisons with numerous other birth records and expert reviews of Hawaii state law and of the regulations, policies and procedures of the Hawaii Department of Health.

12. The investigation further determined that the Hawaii Department of Health has engaged in what the Sheriff's investigators believe is a systematic effort to hide from law enforcement and the public whatever original 1961 birth records the Hawaii Department of Health may have in its possession. The Posse also accumulated evidence that the Hawaii government and its agencies had changed their policies and procedures in a manner calculated to hinder our law-enforcement investigation.
13. In furtherance of the investigation, which has now continued for more than a year, I have twice visited Hawaii within the last six months. On the first occasion, a Maricopa County Sheriff's Office Detective and I presented our credentials to the offices of the Hawaii Department of Health and requested to speak to Mr Alvin Onaka, the chief registrar of births, a simulacrum of whose signature-stamp had appeared on the electronic document on the White House website. We had hoped to ask Mr Onaka if he would verify the authenticity of the White House released document and to verify the legitimacy of the registrar's stamp bearing his signature. Additionally we hoped to ask him to allow us, for law-enforcement reasons, to inspect the original document and, in due course, to subject it to forensic examination.
14. However, when we presented our credentials at the front desk of the Hawaii Department of Health, much to our amazement we were informed that Mr Onaka does not speak to the public. We explained that we were there on official business. Nevertheless, we were not permitted access to Mr Onaka.
15. At our insistence we did have an opportunity to speak with Deputy Attorney General Jill Nagamine. During our meeting Ms. Nagamine refused to verify the authenticity of the PDF file released by the White House. As a matter of fact Ms. Nagamine would not provide us with any confirmation that the document was created by the Hawaii Department of Health.
16. Ms. Nagamine accused us of trying to get a verification of a birth record without legal authority to do so, even though the document has been offered for public view via the World Wide Web. She constantly evaded answering every question about the legitimacy of the document by hiding behind State statutes.
17. We also visited the Kapi'olani Hospital, which the document on the White House website identifies as the place of Mr Obama's birth. We had discovered as a result of our enquiries that the hospital, at the relevant time, had maintained a separate record-keeping system by which all births at the hospital were recorded. This document is stored in the hospital archives. These archives are accessible to the public by hospital

permission. We asked to see the hospital's birth records for 1961 but were less than politely refused. At no time did Kapi'olani Hospital ever confirm that Mr Obama was in fact born in the hospital. Nor did they confirm that they were in possession of his birth records.

18. Having regard to the elaborate non-cooperation we received from the State of Hawaii, and upon close examination of the evidence, it is my investigational opinion, shared by the Sheriff, that forgery and fraud have been committed in key identity records, including President Obama's long-form birth certificate; his computerized short-form birth abstract; his Selective Service Registration card.
19. The Cold Case Posse has also noted that Mr Obama's first Executive Order, issued on his first full day as President, was to seal all of his own past records from public scrutiny. Documentation that is not available for Mr Obama includes not only his original birth records but also his baptism records, his adoption records, his kindergarten records, his Punahou school records, his Occidental College records, his Columbia University records, his Columbia University thesis, his Harvard Law School records, his Harvard Law Review articles, his scholarly articles from the University of Chicago, his passports, his medical records, his files from his years as an Illinois State Senator, and his Illinois State Bar Association records.
20. The then Republican Governor, Linda Lingle, stated during an interview on New York's WABC radio in 2008 that in an attempt to quell the Birth Certificate issue she had the Birth Certificate inspected by the state's director of health, Chiyome Fukino.
21. Lingle is quoted "So I had my health director, who is a physician by background, go personally view the birth certificate in the birth records of the Department of Health, and we issued a news release at that time saying that the president was, in fact, born at Kapi'olani Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. And that's just a fact and yet people continue to call up and e-mail and want to make it an issue and I think it's again a horrible distraction for the country by those people who continue this."
22. On October 31, 2008, Dr. Chiyome Fukino released the following statement: "There have been numerous requests for Sen. Barack Hussein Obama's official birth certificate. State law (Hawai'i Revised Statutes §338-18) prohibits the release of a certified birth certificate to persons who do not have a tangible interest in the vital record. Therefore, I as Director of Health for the State of Hawai'i, along with the Registrar of Vital Statistics, who has statutory authority to oversee and maintain these type of vital records, have personally seen and verified that the Hawai'i State Department of Health has Sen. Obama's original birth certificate on record

in accordance with state policies and procedures. No state official, including Governor Linda Lingle, has ever instructed that this vital record be handled in a manner different from any other vital record in the possession of the State of Hawai'i."

23. Months later, in July 2009, she added another comment: "I, Dr. Chiyome Fukino, Director of the Hawaii State Department of Health, have seen the original vital records maintained on file by the Hawaii State Department of Health verifying Barack Hussein Obama was born in Hawaii and is a natural-born American. I have nothing further to add to this statement or my original statement issued in October 2008 over eight months ago."

Significantly, Fukino changes the wording from viewing Sen. Obama's "original birth certificate" to having "seen the original vital records maintained on file by the Hawaii State Department of Health, verifying Barack Hussein Obama was born in Hawaii and is a natural-born American".

24. The Governor of Hawaii, Mr Neil Abercrombie, has said that he was present when Mr. Obama was born. Later, however, he retracted that statement and acknowledged that he did not see Obama's parents with their new born son at any hospital, although he said he remembers seeing Obama as a child with his parents at social events. There is no evidence to support that claim. No doctor or nurse who attended his birth has come forward to say so.
25. Abercrombie told the Honolulu Star Advertiser he was searching within the Hawaii Department of Health to find definitive vital records that would prove Obama was born in Hawaii, because the continuing eligibility controversy could hurt the president's chances of re-election in 2012.
26. Abercrombie said the birth certificate issue would have "political implications" for the presidential election "that we simply cannot have."
27. Abercrombie did not report to the newspaper that he or the Hawaii Department of Health had found Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate. The governor only suggested his investigations to date had identified an unspecified listing or notation of Obama's birth that someone had made in the state archives.
28. Abercrombie did not say to the newspaper that he or the Hawaii Department of Health had found Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate. Nor did he say to the newspaper he had personally seen any birth record for Obama. The governor only suggested his investigations to date had identified an unspecified listing or notation of Obama's birth that someone had made in the state archives.

29. "It was actually written, I am told, this is what our investigation is showing, it actually exists in the archives, written down," Abercrombie said.
30. Conceivably, the yet-undisclosed birth record in the state archives that Abercrombie said had been discovered may have come from the grandparents registering Obama's birth, an event that would automatically have triggered both the newspaper birth announcements and availability of a Certification of Live Birth, even if no long-form birth certificate existed.
31. Our investigation has revealed that in 1961, as Hawaiian law then stood, Obama's grandparents, Stanley and Madelyn Dunham, could lawfully have made an in-person report of a Hawaiian birth even if the infant Barack Obama Jr. had been foreign-born.
32. The newspaper announcements of Mr Obama's birth do not prove he was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian. They might then have paid for the announcement themselves.
33. However, we have learned that it was not uncommon for local newspapers to publish birth announcement paid for by individuals reporting the birth in the local paper, even if the child was born elsewhere. If so, the registration of an out-of-country birth as Hawaiian would have been reported in the same way as the registration of an in-country birth. Neither of the two advertisements states that Obama was born in a particular hospital. Both give very limited information.
34. To date the purported undisclosed birth record in the state archives that Abercrombie has claimed to have discovered and has described as being "actually written" has never been made public. Being located in the state archives, this document should be available for inspection by the general public without restraint.
35. From Abercrombie's admission, it is legitimate to infer that this record, if it indeed exists, was not in the possession of the Hawaii Department of Health, which may have had no record of the in-country birth of Mr Obama either in hard copy form, such as long form birth certificate, preserved in its vault as described by Dr. Chiyome Fukino. If such a document had existed, Abercrombie would have had it within minutes of his request.

36. Mr Obama's long-form birth certificate would have been preserved in a hard-covered bound volume along with the other long form birth certificates of that period. This record would have been easily accessible to the Department of Health upon the Governor's request, had it existed.
37. Dr. Chiyome Fukino also gave an interview to CNN on April 26, 2011, in which she stated that she simply went into the vault and inspected Obama's original Birth Certificate. Inferentially, it should have been that easy for Governor Abercrombie to locate it as well.
38. This circumstance also suggests that the birth record of Mr Obama was not at that time recorded in the Department of Health's computerized database that has been in use since 2001.
39. In March 2012, Sheriff Arpaio held a Press Conference during which he and I presented an outline of those aspects of the investigation that would not compromise the safety of witnesses or the integrity and future course of the investigation. At that time, we had concluded that there was probable cause that forgery and fraud had been committed in respect of four documents: the long-form or original birth certificate for Mr Obama, which contained multiple errors and anomalies, many of them serious; the short-form computer-generated abstract of Mr Obama's birth record that the Democratic Party had published in 2007, which was printed using a form of words not current at the relevant date; the selective-service document for Mr Obama, which contained a two-digit year-stamp contrary to specifications written by the Department of Defence to the effect that the year of issue should be expressed as four digits on the stamp, and contrary to any other selective-service registration document that we have been able to examine; and we are aware that the social security number, which has a prefix that at the date of issue was unique to Connecticut even though Mr Obama has never resided in that State.
40. In an attempt to verify whether Mr Obama and his mother had arrived in the United States at or around the alleged date of his birth, we contacted the National Archives to obtain microfilms of the I-94 immigration landing records for the year 1961. All such records were and are available for the entire year 1961, except for those on the alleged date of Mr Obama's birth (August 4), three days before that date, and three days after that date. The Archivist and his staff did not tell us how the missing records had come to be lost, and offered no hope that they would ever come to light.
41. After three months of further investigation, the Sheriff held a second press conference to announce, with my support, that it was no longer a question of probable cause: it was now certain that the document on the White

House website was a forgery. The Sheriff also announced that the investigation would continue: and it has continued ever since.

42. The purpose of holding press conferences was and is to notify the public that an investigation is in progress, with the aim of obtaining additional information that might be helpful to the investigators in reaching the truth. As a result of both press conferences, additional material of this kind became available to us.
43. Our investigation concludes that President Obama's long-form birth certificate is a computer-generated document; that it was manufactured piecemeal and electronically; and that it did not originate as a copy of a true paper record from a bound volume, as claimed by the White House and by the Governor of Hawaii and by the director of the Health Department, cited in a press release issued April 27, 2011, by the Governor to coincide with the publication of the document on the White House website.
44. Most importantly, the "registrar's stamp" in the computer-generated document released by the White House and posted on the White House website may have been imported from another unknown source document. The fact that the stamp cannot have been placed on the document pursuant to state and federal laws is one of many indications that the document is a forgery and, therefore, that it cannot be relied upon as verification, legal or otherwise, of the date, place or circumstances of Mr Obama's birth.
45. The Registrar's date-stamp exhibited a similar grave anomaly, allowing it to be moved about electronically within the document – which would have been impossible if the document were the scanned and certified copy that official statements profess it to be. The Registrar's signature-stamp and date-stamp were computer-generated images that were imported into the document. They were not electronic images of actual rubber-stamp imprints inked by hand or machine on to a paper document. Accordingly, the document on the White House website is, at a minimum, misleading to the public in that it has no legal import and cannot be relied upon as a legal document carrying the full faith and credit of the State of Hawaii and verifying the date, place and other circumstances of Mr Obama's birth. A photograph of the Registrar's date-stamp is exhibited and marked "MZ2".
46. These and numerous other errors and anomalies observed after extensive forensic scrutiny of the electronic image downloaded from the White House website were inconsistent with features to be expected when a paper document is placed on the glass plate of a scanner so that it can be captured as an electro-photographic image, or when it is scanned and then

processed either to enhance the clarity of the image by optical character recognition or to reduce file-size by file-compression or optimization.

47. Furthering the investigation, I returned to Hawaii for a second time. I met Mr Duncan Sunahara, the brother of Virginia Sunahara, an infant born in Hawaii on August 4, 1961, the alleged date of Mr Obama's birth there. Ms Sunahara died the following day, August 5, after breathing difficulties. When I met Mr Sunahara he had recently applied to the Department of Health in Hawaii for a copy of his deceased sister's birth certificate. He told me the Department had gone to great lengths to deny him a copy of the original long-form birth certificate that a close relative is entitled by law to request and the Department is obliged by law to supply. The Cold Case Posse is compelled to consider the question why this little girl's 1961 long-form birth certificate was so disconcerting to the Hawaii Department of Health that it did not wish to issue a copy to Mr Sunahara upon request.
48. I obtained from Mr Sunahara a copy of proceedings in the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, State of Hawaii, in which the Deputy Attorney General, Ms Nagamine, appeared before Judge Rhonda Nishimura on March 8, 2012, to argue that Mr Sunahara was not entitled under Hawaiian statute law to see, still less obtain, a certified copy of his deceased sister's original 1961 long-form birth certificate.
49. During the proceedings, the Attorney General implied that Mr Sunahara's request arose from an underlying interest in obtaining evidence that might assist in determining whether the document on the White House website is indeed a forgery. Ms Nagamine said Mr Sunahara ought to be satisfied with a short-form extract of the birth record rather than a long-form printed image of the original copy in the bound volume for 1961 in the vaults of the Health Department.
50. Ms Nagamine also said that the entire volume of birth certificates inferentially containing not only Ms Sunahara's long-form original birth certificate but also those of twins born at about the same date had been removed to a special, secure location with very limited access. I do not know what purpose the Department of Health had in preserving these records at all, unless it was to show them upon request to family members and others – such as law enforcement – with a legitimate and statutory interest in seeing the documents.
51. Ms Nagamine said that accessing the original birth records was difficult and expensive. However, in Dr. Chiyome Fukino's interview with CNN she stated that she simply went into the vault and inspected Obama's original Birth Certificate. I am told by Mr Sunahara that he was willing to pay any reasonable fee to cover the cost. Our investigation indicates that the

Health Department's fee is not great: attorneys for Obama had paid \$10 for a certified copy and \$4 for a second certified copy. Inferentially, such small fees are an indication that the difficulty of consulting the archives and generating certified copies is not great. And I have come across further evidence that the difficulty of consulting the records is not very great: for they are normally kept in bound volumes on specially-designed shelves known to librarians as "stacks". A picture of Mr Onaka pulling out a book of birth records from 1972, just nine years after the year that is of interest to our investigation, shows how small the difficulty in consulting the records is likely to be. The photograph is marked "MZ1", annexed and signed as relative hereto.

52. The reason why law-enforcement investigators wish to examine the original long-form birth certificate of Ms Sunahara relates to the practice of the Health Department to number each birth certificate sequentially with the last two digits of the year followed by a five-digit number incremented sequentially by a date-stamp that advanced the counter by 1 after every stamping. At that time, approximately 48 births occurred every day in Hawaii, and were required to be registered. They were sequentially stamped in order of date of birth.
53. Photostat images of the long-form original birth certificates of twin daughters born to Eleanor Nordyke at Kapi'olani Hospital August 5, 1961, one day after the alleged birth of Mr Obama at the same hospital, have been drawn to the Cold Case Posse's attention. They had been published in the Honolulu Advertiser. As a result of examining these images, the Cold Case Posse has reason to suspect that the sequential number on the computer-generated short-form abstract that the Health Department released to the family is not the same as that which appears on the long-form original birth certificate that was issued for Ms Sunahara.
54. Examination of the birth certificates issued to the parents of the Nordyke twins shows that their registration numbers,, 61/10637 and 10638, preceded the number on Mr Obama's short-form and long-form certificates, which is shown as 61/10641, even though he was born a day earlier than they were. Ms Sunahara was born August 4, 1961, and her certificate was stamped by the Hawaii registrar August 8, but her number was 11080. The table summarizes the position:

Name of child	Date and time born	Registered	Certificate #
Barack Obama	Aug 4 at 7:24 pm	Aug 8	10641
Virginia Sunahara	Aug 4 at 9:16 pm	Aug 10	11080
Susan Nordyke	Aug 5 at 2:12 pm	Aug 11	10637
Gretchen Nordyke	Aug 5 at 2:17 pm	Aug 11	10638

55. Mr Obama's birth certificate was registered August 8, 1961. The Nordyke twins' birth certificate was registered August 11, 1961. Even if the sequential numbering had followed the date of registration rather than the date of birth, Mr Obama's certificate should have been automatically assigned a number lower, not higher, than the numbers allocated to the certificates of the Nordyke twins. And the number currently assigned to Ms Sunahara is entirely out of sequence.
56. One possible explanation for the out-of-sequence serial numbers might have been that several serialized piles of birth certificates were registered at different hospitals. However, Ms Verna K. Lee, an official responsible for the recording of births in Honolulu in 1961, when interviewed by a reporter for wnd.com, said that this was not the case. Ms Lee was the local registrar who apparently signed the document on the White House website (it is possible that the forgers lifted her signature from another birth certificate and inserted it electronically into the computerized compilation that is now on the White House website).
57. Ms. Lee was surprised that the numbers were out of sequence. Ms. Lee made recorded statements to a WND reporter during a phone interview. I have personally listened to those recordings. On the recorded conversation Ms. Lee said that all of the birth certificates received in a month were ordered chronologically by date and time of birth and numbered sequentially at the end of each month. The only exception – not relevant in the present case – was that birth certificates received from the islands and from one local out-station in Oahu were grouped separately, so as not to under-represent births outside Honolulu or unattended births occurring at home in the 50% statistical samples by which only even-numbered births were reported to the Federal Government as mandated by the U.S. Office of Vital Statistics.
58. Ms Lee has said that birth certificates from the hospitals in Oahu were sent directly to the central office of the Department of Health in Honolulu. The birth certificates were all numbered at the end of each month by one person. When Ms Lee was asked whether there might have been mistakes in numbering the birth certificates, she insisted that they were numbered correctly and in sequence. The long-form original certificates were inspected twice for accuracy by two different clerks and then signed by the registrar. They were kept together secured in a certain room until they were all numbered at the end of the month. They were not allowed to become out of order and they were not numbered incorrectly. (It should be noted that the Nordyke twins were born minutes apart and their respective certificate numbering was based not only on date but on time as well. This indicates the clerk scrutinized the documents prior to placing them in chronological order for proper numbering.) Based on Ms Lee's representation, I consider it highly unlikely that a birth certificate so far

out of sequence as that which now appears on Ms Sunahara's short-form birth abstract could have been accepted at a registrar's office managed by Verna K. Lee.

59. It is also possible that investigators are being misled into devoting attention to the number on Ms Sunahara's original long-form birth certificate, which may after all turn out to be different from that of Mr Obama and identical to that which appears on her short-form computer abstract. For that reason among others, investigators would like to inspect and, in due course, forensically to examine the volumes of long-form certificates for 1961, and specifically the long-form original birth certificates for Mr Obama, for Ms Sunahara, and for the Nordyke twins.
60. A possibility that the investigators are constrained to bear in mind, given the numerous other defects in the document on the White House website, is that the number on that document is not a genuine registration number assigned to his birth certificate in 1961, but was issued when the short-form document was generated during the 2008 presidential campaign. It is possible that the Health Department does not want the public to see the original 1961 birth records because forensic examination might establish that the forgers had made a mistake in assigning to the forged long-form document on the White House website a number that was out of sequence and that may (or may not) be identical with the number on the long-form original birth certificate of Ms Sunahara. This is one reason why the investigators have asked to see the original bound volumes from the stacks.
61. I am additionally concerned that the Hawaii Department of Health has not offered any testimony that the modern computerized data now used to generate the short-form abstracts have been safeguarded from numerical or other data manipulation. All that the Attorney General of Hawaii offered to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office as proof that Mr Obama was born in Hawaii was a computer-generated list of birth registrations that was contained in a ring-binder. Pages could easily be removed, added, or removed, altered and reinserted at will. There was no level of security other than closing the rings of the binder holding the pages together.
62. The investigators have obtained an affidavit from an individual who went to the Department of Health when some of the first questions were being raised about Mr Obama's birth certificate. That individual states that when he first went to the main office of the Hawaii Department of Health in Honolulu, Mr Obama's name did not appear in the computerized registration list in the ring binder, but when he went back approximately 14 days later to re-examine the same list he was surprised to see that Mr Obama's name now appeared on it.

63. Notwithstanding this affidavit, it is plausible that an original birth record for Mr Obama exists in Hawaii. Our investigation has discovered that at that time Hawaiian law contained a specific provision that permitted a Hawaiian parent of a child born anywhere in the world or any adult purporting to represent that parent, the right to register the child as Hawaiian-born. It is for this reason that two entries in the "Births" column of the local newspapers at the time do not constitute evidence that Mr Obama was born in Hawaii. They are merely evidence suggesting that a birth certificate was issued for him in Hawaii, and they tell us nothing about whether or not he was born there. In particular they do not – as the White House document purports to do – identify the hospital of birth.
64. If Mr Obama had not in fact been born in Hawaii, the long-form original birth certificate would not have stated that he had been born in a particular hospital at a particular time, and would not have borne the signatures of the attending physician and registrar. The newspaper entries would have been identical whether he had been born in Hawaii or elsewhere in the world; but the birth records would not have been identical.
65. The existence of this law permitting out-of-country births to be registered as though they were Hawaiian births is a further reason why the Sheriff wishes his forensic investigators to be given access to the original bound volumes of birth certificates for 1961, and to be permitted to carry out forensic scrutiny of the volumes and of certain individual certificates, including that of Mr Obama.
66. For these reasons, it is necessary for the investigators to bear in mind the possibility that the intention of the Hawaii Department of Health in refusing to allow Mr Sunahara to have a certified copy of the original birth certificate of his deceased sister is to conceal forgery and fraud within the Department itself.
67. The Cold Case Posse's law-enforcement investigation into Mr Obama's birth certificate continues, taking account of the additional information obtained both as a result of the Cold Case Posse's own enquiries and as a result of assistance from the public following the publication of some of our results by the Sheriff at the March and July 2012 press conferences.
68. The law-enforcement investigation by and on behalf of the Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, would be greatly assisted, and could be brought swiftly, inexpensively, and decisively to an end, if the Department of Health and the management of the Kapi'olani Hospital were willing to allow court-recognized forensic experts selected by the Sheriff of Maricopa County to inspect and forensically examine the volumes of long-form


original birth certificates for 1961 and the birth records kept by the Kapi'olani Hospital for that year. It should be noted that forensic experts are trained in the management and preservation of paper records, and would cause no damage to the records in the course of their forensic examination.

Executed this                      day of                      2012,  
in Maricopa County, Arizona.

  
Michael Zullo

*Sworn to and subscribed before me*  
*this                      9<sup>th</sup> day of November                      2012*





MZ 1



This is the photograph of Mr Onaka withdrawing a bound volume of long-form original birth certificates for 1972 from the stacks at the Department of Health in Hawaii, referred to in my affidavit in *Sunahara v. Hawaii*. The source of the photograph is an interview of Rasa Fournier with Mr Onaka, entitled *Keeping the Records Straight*, published at [midweek.com](http://midweek.com), November 16, 2011.

MZ2

6085 Kalanianaʻaʻole Highway

7. Mother's Mailing Address

8. Full Name of Father: BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA

9. Race of Father: AFRICAN

10. Age of Father: 25

11. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country): Kenya, East Africa

12a. Usual Occupation: Student

12b. Kind of Business or Trade: University

13. Full Maiden Name of Mother: STANLEY ANN DUNHAM

14. Race of Mother: CAUCASIAN

15. Age of Mother: 18

16. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country): Wichita, Kansas

17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy: None

17b. Date of Birth: 8-7-64

18. Date of Birth: 8-7-64

19. Signature of Registrant: [Signature]

20. Date Accepted by Local Reg. 21. Signature of Local Registrar: [Signature]

22. Date Accepted by Local Reg. 23. Signature of Local Registrar: [Signature]

24. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration: [Signature]

APR 25 2011

LAYERS: ARTBOARDS

Layer 1

1 Layer

This is the image, referred to in my affidavit in *Sunahara v. Hawaii*, of the “long-form birth certificate” for Mr Obama, with the registrar’s signature-stamp and, separately, the registrar’s date-stamp moved from their original locations on the “certificate”, which are indicated by white ghost images towards the foot of the green security paper on to which the fabricated document had been electronically superimposed. That the registrar’s date stamp and the registrar’s text/signature stamp were both created by links to external objects imported into the Obama birth certificate was also confirmed by turning on the “Links” option in the “Window” menu in Adobe Illustrator. The inset image at top right is the list of links that appears when that option is activated. Evidence that the two registrar stamps are external objects imported into the Obama “birth document” can be seen in that the registrar’s date stamp and text/signature stamp can easily and separately be electronically moved, rotated at will, turned sideways or even upside-down, and repositioned anywhere on the document. None of several hundred software suites designed for the automated optical-character recognition, image enhancement, file compression, or optimization of an electro-photographic image of an original paper document that were studied and tested by expert consultants to the investigative team was capable of processing the electronic data representing the image in such a manner as to store the data representing either of the two stamps on a single “layer” so as to allow the stamps to be moved about at will.

  
Michael Zullo

11-9-12  
Date