

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

---

**GRINOLS ET AL V ELECTORAL COLLEGE**

**EMERGENCY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

**FOR THE DISTRICT COURT TO ISSUE A DEFAULT JUDGMENT  
AGAINST DEFENDANT BARACK OBAMA AND CONTEMPORANEOUS  
PETITION FOR A STAY OF ALL OTHER PROCEEDINGS IN THE CASE  
UNTIL THE ISSUE OF THE DEFAULT JUDGMENT IS ADJUDICATED**

**Lower court case Grinols et al v Electoral College et al 12-cv-2997**

**U.S. District Court Eastern District of California**

**Counsel for the Petitioners**

**Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ**

**29839 Santa Margarita ste 100**

**Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688**

## **JURISDICTION**

This Court has jurisdiction to entertain this Petition for Writ of Mandamus pursuant to the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

Case at hand originated in the Eastern District of California, which is a part of the 9th Circuit. Petitioner requests that the Court issue the writ under its supervisory power over the inferior federal courts. *Hollingsworth v. Perry*, --- U.S. ---, 130 S.Ct. 705, 709-10 (2010).

Certificate of Interested Parties

There are no interested parties

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

Statement of related cases

There are no related cases

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

## **SUMMARY OF THE CASE AND REQUESTED RELIEF**

Plaintiffs herein are Presidential Electors and Presidential Candidates. Barack (Barry) Obama Soebarkah, aka Barack (Barry) Soetoro, aka Harrison (Harry) J.

Bounel going under the pseudonym Barack Hussein Obama (Hereinafter “Obama”) is the main defendant in this case, who was sued as an individual, as a candidate for office and who defaulted. Notice of Default and request for Default Judgment is attached herein as Exhibit 1. Answer (or responsive pleading) was due on January 25<sup>th</sup>, Obama did not file an answer and defaulted. In their complaint Plaintiffs sought declaratory and injunctive relief. Plaintiffs provided the U.S. District Court for the Eastern Complaint 100 pages of official records, sworn affidavits of senior law enforcement officials and experts showing that Barack Obama is:

1. A citizen of Indonesia, as listed in his school registration #203 from Franciscan Assisi school in Jakarta, Indonesia. As a citizen of Indonesia Obama was never eligible and never legitimate for the U.S. Presidency.  
Exhibit 2
2. Obama is using last name not legally his. Plaintiffs provided this court with the passport records of Stanley Ann Dunham, deceased mother of Barack Obama, showing that he is listed under the last name Soebarkah in her passport (Exhibit 3). As seen in Ms. Dunham's passport records, her son was removed from her passport in August of 1969 pursuant to the request and sworn statement of Ms. Dunham which was witnessed by the U.S. consul in Jakarta Indonesia. As the requirement for removal as listed in the passport,

is obtaining a foreign allegiance, it is clear that Barack Obama Soebarkah was removed (crossed out) from his mother's passport when he obtained his Indonesian passport. **Barack Obama cannot serve as a U.S. President as the legal entity Barack Obama does not exist.** The only legal entity based on the only verifiable record is Barack Obama Soebarkah.

Obama does not have a valid U.S. birth certificate. Plaintiffs provided affidavits from Sheriff of Maricopa County Arizona Joseph Arpaio (Exhibit 4), Investigator Zullo (Exhibit 5), experts Felicito Papa (Exhibit 6), Douglas Vogt (Exhibit 7), Paul Irely (Exhibit 8), showing that the image posted by Obama on Whitehouse.gov is a computer generated forgery. When there is a question of authenticity of a document, the only way to authenticate, is to conduct expert evaluation of the original document. RULE 1003. ADMISSIBILITY OF DUPLICATES

A duplicate is admissible to the same extent as the original **unless a genuine question is raised about the original's authenticity or the circumstances make it unfair to admit the duplicate.** Registrar of the State of Hawaii and Director of Health and Deputy Attorney General of Hawaii in charge of the Health Department were obstructing justice and absolutely refused to comply with any subpoenas and produce the original 1961 birth certificate and as such there was never any authentication of the alleged birth certificate (Exhibit 5). After 4 years of obstruction of Justice, it is clear that the Hawaiian officials have nothing to show and genuine 1961 birth certificate for Barack Obama simply does not exist.

Obama does not have a valid Selective Service certificate. Based on the affidavit of Sheriff Arpaio (Exhibit 4), investigator Zullo (Exhibit 5) and Chief Investigator of the Special Investigations Unit of the U.S. Coast Guard Jeffrey Stefan Coffman (Ret) (Exhibit 9) alleged copy of Obama's Selective Service Certificate, is COMPUTER GENERATED FORGERY.

Plaintiffs submitted with their TRO and complaint the Affidavits of Sheriff Arpaio and Investigator Zullo and as a supplement an affidavit of the Chief Investigator of the Special investigations of the US Coast Guard Jeffrey Stephan Coffman. Based on those affidavits Obama's alleged application for the selective service is a forgery. According to 5 USC § 3328 every man born after 1959 has to register with the Selective Service and cannot work in the executive branch if he did not register with the selective service.

(a) An individual—

(1) who was born after December 31, 1959, and is or was required to register under section 3 of the Military Selective Service Act (50 App. U.S.C. 453); and

(2) who is not so registered or knowingly and willfully did not so register before the requirement terminated or became inapplicable to the individual,

**shall be ineligible for appointment to a position in an executive agency.**

As Obama claims to be born in 1961 (without a valid birth certificate we don't even know when he was born) he had a duty to register with the Selective Service. A forgery does not represent a registration, as such Obama is not eligible to be working in the executive branch of the U.S. government. He is not eligible to be a President in the White House or a janitor in the White House.

3. Obama's 2009 tax returns posted by Obama himself on line showed him using a Connecticut Social Security number xxx-xx-4425 (Exhibit 10 Affidavit of Felicito Papa), which failed both E-verify and SSNVS (Exhibit 11, 12). Affidavit of investigator Albert Hendershot (Exhibit 13) provided herein as an exhibit showed it being issued to Harrison (Harry ) J. Bounel, born in 1890 in Russia, immigrant to the United States, presumed to be deceased, whose death was either not reported to the SSA or deleted from the computer system by a treasonous and criminally complicit employee of the SSA. Due to Obama's use of a stolen SSN he is not eligible to work anywhere in the United States, not in the Federal Branch, not in any other branch, not in the private sector, not even to pick tomatoes or clean toilets. Based on his use of a stolen SSN the only thing Obama is eligible to is at least 18 month prison term and deportation.

Obama was sued as an individual, as a candidate for the U.S. Presidency. Obama was obligated to file an answer or another responsive pleading within

21 days and he was not entitled to use the Department of Justice/ U.S. Attorneys' office for his defense on the issue of fraud and use of forged IDs used by him as an individual running for the U.S. President.

Obama failed to file a response. Plaintiffs filed a notice of default and requested a Default judgment from the presiding judge Hon. Morrison England. There are other defendants in the case, however Obama is the main defendant and the case revolves around fraud committed by Obama and use of forged IDs by Obama. Plaintiffs are seeking an Emergency Writ of Mandamus from this court to the lower court to issue the Default Judgment against the Defendant Obama based on his failure to respond within 21 days as required and stay all other proceedings in the case pending adjudication of the Default judgment against defendant Obama.

### **HISTORY OF CONTEMPT OF COURT BY OBAMA**

In January 2010 an issue of Obama's eligibility to the U.S. Presidency was heard in the Administrative Court of Georgia. Presiding Judge in the case denied the motion to dismiss the case and ordered trial. Exhibit 14. Taitz, who was the counsel in the GA case and the counsel in CA case issued subpoenas for Obama and respective agencies to produce the original documents. (Exhibit 15 ) Obama filed a motion to quash. Taitz filed an opposition (Exhibit 16). Presiding judge

denied the motion to quash (Exhibit 17). Obama sent a letter to the secretary of State of GA demanding to take the case away from the judge. Secretary of State refused (Exhibit 18). Obama was supposed to show up at trial and produce all of the required documents. Obama simply showed contempt of court and never showed up and never presented any documents.

Obama showed unprecedented arrogance and disrespect towards courts and contempt of any court and behavior de facto stating that he is above the law. So, his failure to furnish an answer or a responsive pleading, as required, represents a part of a pattern.

#### **ADDITIONAL REASON AND JUSTIFICATION FOR URGENCY AND FOR GRANTING AN EMERGENCY WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

Within days Obama appointee John Brennan is set to be confirmed as a Director of the CIA. However there is a direct connection between Brennan and Obama's use of falsified records. In 2008, when Brennan worked as a director of Analysis Corp, his employee was caught by the State Department repeatedly tampering with passport records of Obama. In January 2009 several articles appeared in Newsmax, WND.com quoting prior articles in Washington Times and Washington Post. Exhibit 21. Aforementioned articles argued that this tampering with Obama's passport records was done for the purpose of "cauterization" of such record from



any embarrassing or inconvenient information. These articles coincided with legal cases brought by an attorney for the Petitioners herein, Taitz. Cases quoted were Lightfoot v Bowen 08A524 in SCOTUS and Keyes et al v Bowen 34-2008-80000096 CU-WM-GDS Superior Court of CA. Both were related cases brought by Taitz on behalf of the write in vice Presidential candidate for Congressman Ron Paul, Gail Lightfoot, and for the Presidential Candidate former U.N. Ambassador Dr. Alan Keyes. Both cases questioned Obama's legitimacy for the U.S. Presidency. In conjunction with Keyes Taitz reached an agreement with the Department of Justice, U.S. Attorneys office for the Eastern District of California. Exhibit 22. This agreement was signed by Taitz and the Assistant U.S. attorney Yahinori Himel on behalf of the U.S. Attorney Lawrence Brown. Stipulation converting subpoena into *Touhy* demand based on United States ex rel Touhy v Ragen (1951) 340 U.S. 462 sought production of the State Department and Justice Department records relating to the tampering with Obama's passport records, any and all information of what type of specific documents was assessed, individuals involved and specific changes. Taitz believes that ultimately there was pressure asserted on the parties and the court, as the Justice Department did not comply with the stipulation and did not provide information and the presiding judge. The case was suddenly dismissed, ostensibly as being filed too late, after the November election, while later the higher court denied the appeals, claiming that the case was

filed too early, prior to Obama's confirmation by the Joint session of the U.S. Congress in 2009, which probably meant that the case was filed timely, just right. If one court dismisses the case, claiming it was filed too late and the higher court dismisses the case claiming it was filed early, it is a sign it was filed at the right time. In the global scheme of things in the past four years there were 45 attorneys and hundreds of pro se plaintiffs who filed legal challenges against Obama. For the past four years different courts and officials were kicking the can and passing the buck and so far not one single court heard the matter on the merits and not one single judge compelled production of the original IDs for Obama in light of the copies being found by law enforcement and experts to be forgeries. Now, not only the legitimacy of the U.S. Presidency is at stake, but also the CIA, National Security and U.S. intelligence community is at stake.

Many in the media, establishment and public are currently in disbelief and denial claiming that it would be impossible for all of the safeguards and all of the checks and ballances to fail and crime of such magnitude not to be stopped in four years. However, Plaintiffs would like to bring forward an example from the recent history: in 1932 Germany was considered a cultured nation, it had a constitution, a Supreme Court, a Congress called Reichstag, thousands of individuals who called themselves reporters with journalistic integrity and thousands of individuals who called themselves unbiased judges with allegiance to the constitution, justice and

the rule of law, yet not single one of them found actions of Adolf Hitler to be unlawful, illegal or unconstitutional. All the judges in Germany followed the "the judges letters" sent to them by the regime. Based on the experience of the last four years it appears that the U.S. judiciary and establishment are doing the same, following the same marching orders to come up with excuses not to adjudicate on the merits, to keep hiding any original IDs for Obama, if they even exist, and turn a blind eye towards flagrant forgeries and theft of the Social Security number by Obama. Turning a blind eye to flagrantly unconstitutional behavior of Hitler in 1930s led to abuses of 1940s, deprivation of constitutional rights culminated in mass incarcerations and exterminations, and death of 65 million people. the same will happen here if the courts do not exercise their jurisdiction and do not rule. In this case the ruling that is requested, is the Default Judgment.

Former Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court John Marshall stated in Cohens v Virginia (1821) that not assuming jurisdiction is treason to the constitution. If the U.S. Judiciary does not exercise its jurisdiction in the case at hand, it will constitute treason not only to the constitution, but also to the United States of America as a whole.

Obama was sued as an individual, he is an attorney (even though his license is no longer active), Obama knew that as an individual he was supposed to hire a private attorney or represent himself pro se and file an answer within 21 days. Clinton v

Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997) serves as a precedent showing that the U.S. President can be sued as an individual and he was required to respond. He did not do so. It is time for the court to issue a Default Judgment, so the parties can proceed with the post judgment discovery and finally get all the original documents. This is the most important matter of the U.S. National security. We have a foreign national usurping the U.S. Presidency with all forged and stolen IDs and the last name not legally his. As such an issue of the default judgment has to be heard immediately and all the other motions in the case should be stayed pending adjudication of this matter. Allowing a foreign national to usurp the U.S. Presidency represents High Treason.

**CAN SOETORO, AKA SOEBARKAH, AKA BOUNEL, AKA OBAMA WHO WAS SUED AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL, IN HIS CAPACITY AS A CANDIDATE FOR OFFICE, FOR FRAUD COMMITTED AS A CANDIDATE, USE THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE/U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE AS A PRIVATE CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY AND AS A PRIVATE PIGGY BANK?**

As stated before Obama was sued as an individual, a candidate for office. Not only he was supposed to file an answer within 21 days, but he was not entitled to use the Department of Justice/ US Attorneys office for his defense.

After Obama did not answer the complaint within 21 days, US Attorneys' office filed an answer on part of other defendants, who happen to be Federal employees. This answer was filed on a 60 day deadline and Obama was included.

These actions by the Department of Justice, which is run by Obama appointee, Eric Holder, are flagrantly unconstitutional and unethical for a number of reasons:

1. U.S. Attorneys' office is supported by the tax payers and is there to represent Federal employees, who were sued as officials fulfilling their duties and in furtherance of their oath of office. Obama was sued as an individual and his actions not only were not in furtherance of the office, but they were for the purpose of the usurpation of the office of President and undermined any and all legitimacy of the office of the President and Commander in Chief. Not only Obama is not entitled to be represented by the U.S. Attorneys' office, his use of this office represented a waste of public funds and an embezzlement of public funds and any and all funds wasted by the U.S. Attorneys' office on defense of Obama, who was sued as a candidate, have to be refunded to the Treasury by both the U.S. Attorneys: Benjamin Wagner and Ed Olsen, who submitted the pleadings and by Obama, who sought to benefit from unlawfully using the U.S. Attorneys office.

2. Actions by the US attorneys office were flagrantly unethical as there was a clear conflict of interest.

Plaintiffs submit Exhibit 19 a letter from Defendant, member of the Electoral College 2012, Don Ascoli. While U.S. Attorneys Wagner and Olsen represented to the lower court that they represent all federal defendants, including members of the Electoral College, according to Mr. Ascoli, U.S. Attorney's office never contacted him, he had no idea that the U.S. Attorney's office filed pleadings on his behalf, and the position taken by the U.S. Attorneys' office was diametrically opposite from what the alleged client wanted. Mr. Ascoli forwarded the letter and a video clip at link [electorial\\_votes.m4v](#). While the U.S. Attorneys' office claimed that the federal defendants did not want a stay of certification of Obama pending adjudication of the issue of his forged IDs, the defendants wanted the opposite, they wanted the adjudication prior to certification. Similarly, a letter received from Senator McCain shows that Senator McCain was not put on notice regarding the evidence and the position of the U.S. Attorneys' office ( Exhibit 20) So, the Department of Justice/ U.S. Attorneys , which is there to protect the public from fraud, from forgery, from the usurpation of the Presidency, was criminally complicit in the cover up, defrauded the clients-Federal Employees, defrauded the Court and defrauded the Nation. The interests of Obama are clearly opposite from the interests of the Federal Employees and as such the U.S. Attorneys' office cannot be allowed to file responsive pleadings after Obama already defaulted and

also cannot be allowed to represent Obama, who was sued as a candidate for office and act against the interests of the Federal employees.

As such Default by candidate Obama cannot be cured after the fact by the U.S. Attorneys' office. For a month the lower court did not respond to the Request for the Default Judgment. Due to the enormous threat to the U.S. National Security the Default Judgment has to be entered immediately.

As stated previously, the plaintiffs, who are presidential Electors and Presidential Candidates were deprived of their right to participated in lawful elections, as they were forced to compete against a criminal with forged IDs and his electors. They were completely deprived of their suffrage rights, their 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Equal Protection rights, Honest Service by the election officials and Judiciary, rights. They are entitled to immediate adjudication, issuing of the emergency Writ of Mandamus is warranted ind in the Public interest.

Defendant Obama will not be deprived of any rights by the Writ of Mandamus. He was given an opportunity to file responsive pleadings, he did not do that. Additionally, post judgment hearing can be held, whereby, if he has any valid identifications, he would be able to provide those, if he does not, as some 20 affidavits from top law enforcement officials and experts show, then he never

could occupy the position of the U.S. President and one cannot be harmed by losing something that he was never entitled to in the first place.

### **CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED RELIEF:**

Plaintiffs are seeking a Writ of Mandamus stating as follows :

1. Obama was sued as a candidate for the position of the US President, not as a sitting President and was required to answer within 21 days
2. Obama did not answer within 21 days.
3. District court is to issue a Default Judgment at the earliest possible time.
4. Due to evidence presented by the Plaintiffs, showing Obama to be a foreign citizen who ran for the US President using forged IDs, a stolen Social Security number from a state where he never resided and a last name not legally his, this is a matter of the outmost importance for the U.S. National security and has to be decided as soon as possible.
5. As Obama was sued as a candidate for office, he was not entitled to legal representation at the taxpayers' expense by the US Attorneys' office. US Attorneys' office/Department of Justice are not allowed to represent Obama in this case and have to reimburse the taxpayers for the cost of this representation.



6. District Court should investigate actions by the US Attorneys' office in filing pleadings, claiming to represent the US Congress and US Electoral college and not advising the US Congress and US electoral college of such representation and acting against the best interests of their clients and against the will of their clients.

Respectfully,

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

Certificate of Service is filed as a separate attachment

cc U.S. and International media

cc House Committee on the Judiciary

Congressman Bob Goodlatte -Chairman

2309 Rayburn HOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515  
Phone: (202) 225-5431  
Fax: (202) 225-9681

cc Congressman Gregg Harper (R-MS)

Chairman

United State House Administration Subcommittee on Election

307 House Office Building

Washington DC 20515

ph 202-225-5031

fax 202-225-5797

ccGregg Harper, Mississippi, Chairman

Aaron Shock, Illinois

Rich Nugent, Florida

Todd Rokita, Indiana

Bob Brady, Pennsylvania, Ranking Member

Charlie Gonzalez, Texas

cc Congressman Darrell Issa

Chairman

House Oversight Committee

2347 Rayburn House Building

Washington DC, 20515

cc Congressman Mike Rogers

Chairman

House Intelligence Committee

133 Cannon House Office building

Washington DC 20515

cc Congressman Sam Johnson

Chairman

House Subcommittee on Social Security

House Ways and Means Committee

2929 N Central Expy, 240

Richardson, TX 75080

cc Congressman Dana Rohrabacher

Chairman

House Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations'

House Committee on Foreign Affairs

2300 Rayburn House Building

Washington DC 20515

US Commission

on Civil Rights

624 Ninth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20425 C

Public Integrity Section

Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW

Washington DC 20530-0001

Inter -American Commission on Human Rights

1889 F Street, N.W.. Washington, D.C., 20006 U.S.A..

Tel.: 202-458-6002, 202-458-6002. Fax: 202-458-3992.

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)

Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights Defenders

The Honorable Mrs. Margaret Sekaggya

Palais des Nations

CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland

International Criminal bar Hague

BPI-ICB-CAPI

Head Office

Neuhuyskade 94

2596 XM The Hague

The Netherlands

Tel : 0031 (70) 3268070                      0031 (70) 3268070

Fax : 0031 (70) 3353531

Email: info@bpi-icb.org

Website: www.bpi-icb.org

Regional Office - Americas / Bureau régional - Amériques / Oficina regional -  
Américas

137, rue St-Pierre

Montréal, Québec, Canada, H2Y 3T5

Tel : 001 (514) 289-8757                      001 (514) 289-8757

Fax : 001 (514) 289-8590

Email: admin@bpi-icb.org

Website: www.bpi-icb.org

Laura Vericat Figarola

BPI-ICB-CAPI

Secretaria Barcelona

laura\_bpi@icab.es

Address: Avenida Diagonal 529 1º2ª

08029 Barcelona, España

tel/fax 0034 93 405 14 24

United Nations Commission for

Civil Rights Defenders

Orsolya Toth (Ms)

Human Rights Officer

Civil and Political Rights Section

Special Procedures Division


Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

tel: + 41 22 917 91 51

email: [ototh@ohchr.org](mailto:ototh@ohchr.org)



I, Lila Dubert, attest that I am over 18 years old and I served all the parties in the attached distribution list with the attached Emergency petition for a Writ of Mandamus on 02.25.2013 by ECF or First Class Mail



---

Signed Lila Dubert

**George Michael Waters**

Department Of Justice, Office Of The Attorney General

1300 I Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

916-323-8050

Fax: 916-324-8835

Email: [george.waters@doj.ca.gov](mailto:george.waters@doj.ca.gov)

**Edward A Olsen , GOVT**

United States Attorney's Office

501 I Street

Suite 10-100

Sacramento, CA 95814

916-554-2700

Fax: 916-554-2900

Email: [edward.olsen@usdoj.gov](mailto:edward.olsen@usdoj.gov)

Barack Obama

1600 Pennsylvania Ave

Washington DC 20005



Your payment is complete, and your request has been sent to the court. Click [here](#) to print this receipt.

Transaction ID: 09-49736-123

Payment Date: 02/27/2013

Amount: \$450.00

Fee Type: New Case With Fee Agency/Immigration

**You can click the *Close Window* button and log out of CM/ECF.**

Close Window

# EXHIBIT 1

Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100

Rancho Santa Margarita, Ca 92688

949-683-5411 fax 949-766-7603

[Orly.taitz@gmail.com](mailto:Orly.taitz@gmail.com)

**IN THE US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Grinols et al ) Case # 12-cv-2997

V ) Honorable Morrison C. England

Electoral College et al ) Chief Judge Presiding

NOTICE OF DEFAULT OF DEFENDANT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, AKA BARACK

**(BARRY) SOETORO, AKA BARACK (BARRY) OBAMA SOEBARKAH**

**REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND A PROPOSED DEFAULT**

**JUDGMENT**

Case at hand was file on 12.12.2012. Defendant BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, AKA BARACK (BARRY) SOETORO, AKA BARACK (BARRY) OBAMA SOEBARKAH was sued in his capacity as a candidate for the U.S. President. Defendant was served by Same Day professional service processer on 01. 04.2013. Defendant was under an obligation to file an answer or a responsive pleading within 21 days on 01.25.2013. Defendant failed to file an answer or a responsive pleading and is currently in DEFAULT.

Plaintiffs are hereby respectfully requesting a default judgment against the defendant, post judgment discovery, costs and attorney fees. Additionally, Plaintiffs are seeking an EXPEDITED DEFAULT JUDGMENT for the following reason:

Commissioner of Social Security, Bush appointee is Michael Astrue. He announced that he is leaving office in February, within days. According to evidence provided to this court, Obama made his tax returns public, which show him using a CT SSN xxx-xx-4425, which was never assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS. Affidavits of investigators Sankey and Daniels already submitted to this court with the complaint, show aforementioned SSN to be linked in a number of databases to a date of birth 1890.

Recently investigator Albert Hendershot found in the database of <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions/acxiom-identity-batch-solutions/> the name of the individual whose Social Security Obama is using. Acxiom-batch-solutions showed (Exhibit 1) that Harry J Bounel with the same Social Security number xxx-xx-4425 at 5046 S Greenwood Ave in Chicago, home address of Barack Obama, Database shows Bounel with the same address and Social Security number as Barack Obama himself. According to the databases last changes to the information on Harrison(Harry) J Bounel were made in and around November 2009 by Michelle Obama, who is listed as Bounel's relative. Database changes can involve entering the information or deletion of information. It appears that changes made by relative Michelle Obama included deletion of information, which was done at a time when Taitz brought to Federal court in the Central District of California before Judge David O. Carter a case of election challenge by her client, former U.S. ambassador Dr. Alan Keyes and 40 state Representatives and high ranked members of the U.S. military.

Recently obtained results of the 1940 census, Exhibit 2, provided the last missing link, link between Harry J. Bounel and the date of birth of 1890. Exhibit 2 shows the printout of the U.S. census, showing Harry J Bounel, immigrant from Russia, residing at 915 Daly Ave, Bronx, NY, age 50 during the 1940 census, meaning he was born in 1890, as shown in the affidavit of Investigators Daniels and Sankey.

There is a pattern of Obstruction of Justice and tampering with the official records and falsification/forgery of the official records related to Obama. This happens in particular when Bush employees leave their positions and are replaced by Obama appointees.

In March of 2009 one of the clients of Taitz, Major General Carol Childers arranged for her to meet with the Director of the Selective Service William Chatfield. After Taitz provided Chatfield with evidence of forgery of Obama's alleged Selective Service certificate, Childers resigned and was replaced by Obama appointee Lawrence Romo. Later, when Sheriff of Maricopa county, AZ Joseph Arpaio demanded from Romo the original paper registration by Obama, Romo responded that it was destroyed.


Similarly, when the former intelligence officer Pamela Barnett sought passport records of Stanley Ann Dunham, Obama's mother, she was given only some of the records and was told that the 1965 passport for Dunham was destroyed.

When investigator Sandler provided Taitz and reporter Corsi with a batch number for the immigration/travel records for Obama for August 1-7 1961, those records disappeared from the National Archives, even though the records for the other 51 weeks for 1961 could be found with no problem. After an article was written about missing records, there was a falsification of records and another investigator,

Montgomery Blair Sidley found a record, where original date of August 7, 1961 was visibly erased and August 1, 1961 was written over it (Exhibit 3).

Based on the pattern of the original vital records, which are essential in removing Obama from office and criminally prosecuting him, rapidly disappearing, there is a high probability that the SSA application SS-5 for the Social Security number for Harry J. Bounel will be either destroyed or falsified the moment Bush appointee Commissioner of Social Security Astruc leaves in February and is replaced by the Obama appointee. Due to high probability of essential evidence being destroyed or altered Plaintiffs are asking this court to issue and expedited Default Judgment against the Defendant Obama, aka Soetoro, aka Soebarkah and order expedited post judgment discovery, which should include the production of the original SS-5 for the Connecticut SSN xxx-xx-4425.

Respectfully submitted



/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CC.

**UN Nations committee for civil rights defenders**

**OHCHR in New York  
UN Headquarters**

**New York, NY 10017  
USA**

**Inter-American Commission for Human rights  
1889 F St., NW,  
Washington, D.C., USA 20006**

**Darrel Issa  
Chairman of the House oversight committee  
2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING,  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515**

**Congressman Bob Goodlatt ,  
Chairman of the Judiciary Committee  
House of Representative  
2409 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING,  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515**



### PROPOSED ORDER

Case at hand was file on 12.12.2012. Defendant BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, AKA BARACK (BARRY) SOETORO, AKA BARACK (BARRY) OBAMA SOEBARKAH was sued in his capacity as a candidate for the U.S. President. Defendant was served by SameDay professional service processer on 01. 04.2013. Defendant was under an obligation to file an answer or a responsive pleading within 21 days on 01.25.2013. Defendant failed to file an answer or a responsive pleading and is currently in DEFAULT.

Complaint and 100 exhibits provided by the Plaintiffs provided the following evidence, which was not refuted by the Defendant:

1. Defendant Obama never lawfully registered with the Selective Service and the selective service registration represents a computer generated forgery and as such defendant is not eligible to work in any position in the executive branch of the U.S. Government, which of course includes the Chief Executive- The U.S. President and the Commander in Chief of the U.S. military. (Sworn Affidavit of Jeffrey Stephan Coffman, the Chief Investigator of the Special investigations Unit of the U.S. Coast Guard (ret)

and former special agent of the U.S. Department of the Homeland Security). As such Obama fraudulently submitted his candidacy for the U.S. President, while knowing all along that he is not eligible for any position in the Executive Branch.

2. Defendant fraudulently ran for the position of the U.S. President while using the last name Obama, while in his mother's passport records he is listed under the legal name Soebarkah. As such Barack Obama was unlawfully elected, confirmed by the U.S. Senate and sworn in by the Chief Justice John Roberts, as legal entity "Barack Obama" does not exist. Defendant failed to provide any proof of legal change of name from Soebarkah to Obama.
3. Plaintiffs provided this court with the copy of the School registration of the Defendant from the Assissi School in Jakarta, Indonesia, where his citizenship is listed as Indonesian. Defendant failed to provide any evidence of Change of Citizenship from Indonesian to American. Even if he were to legally relinquish his Indonesian citizenship to American upon his arrival from Indonesia in 1971, he would be a Naturalized Citizen and not Natural born as required by the Article 2, Section 1, Clause 5 of the U.S. Constitution.

4. OCON, official certification of Candidate signed by the Chairman of the Democratic Party of Hawaii Brian Schatz (recently appointed to the U.S. Senator from HI) and the secretary of the Democratic Party of Hawaii Lynn Matusow was a flagrantly falsified document, as required wording "eligible according to provisions of the U.S. Constitution" was removed from the Certification, whereby there was never a valid certification of the candidate, which showed an intent to defraud and fraud on the part of the Defendant and aforementioned executives of the Democratic Party of Hawaii.
5. Defendant himself posted his tax returns on the public web site WhiteHouse.gov, one of the most travelled web sites in the world and did not flatten the PDF file, therefore full, unredacted Connecticut Social Security xxx-xx-4425, which he used in conjunction to his 2009 Tax Returns became available to millions of the U.S. citizens and citizens around the world. This Social Security failed both E-Verify and SSNVS (Social Security Number Verification Systems), two systems of verification of the Social Security number, showing that the number that the Defendant is using in his tax returns was never issued to him. This represents Social Security Fraud, Identity fraud and Elections Fraud as defendant ran for the highest

- office in the U.S. government without possessing a valid Social security number, one of the two basic Identification papers used in the United States of America
6. Plaintiffs provided sworn affidavits of Sheriff Joseph Arpaio, Investigator Mike Zullo, Typesetting expert Paul Irey, Adobe-Illustrator/Computer Graphics expert Felicito Papa, scanning machines expert Douglas Vogt and others, which show that the alleged copy of Barack Obama's alleged long form birth certificate represents a computer generated forgery, while Department of Health of Hawaii refused to comply with any and all federal and state subpoenas and never provided to any judge or jury or expert an original birth certificate that they claim to possess. At this point there is no reason to believe that the original 1961 type written birth certificate ever existed, as there would not have been a reason to create a flagrant forgery, if an original birth certificate ever existed.
  7. Based on all of the above Default Judgment is GRANTED. This court is forwarding its findings to the Chairman of the Judiciary Commission of the U.S. Congress for determination whether the Articles of impeachment against Defendant BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, AKA BARACK (BARRY) SOETORO, AKA BARACK (BARRY) OBAMA SOEBARKAH are justified.

8. Based on the unrefuted evidence by the Plaintiffs this court makes a finding and Declaratory ruling that Defendant BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, AKA BARACK (BARRY) SOETORO, AKA BARACK (BARRY) OBAMA SOEBARKAH was not eligible to the position of the U.S. President and Commander in Chief as he is a citizen of Indonesia, who ran for the U.S. Presidency based on fraud and misrepresentation and using either forged or fraudulently obtained identification papers.
9. Plaintiff are entitled to their costs, attorneys fees and post judgment discovery.

So           **ORDERED**           and           **ADJUDGED**           on           this  
\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Chief Judge U.S District Court of California Morrison C. England

I, Lila Dubert, am not a party to this case, I am over 18 years old, I attest that the attached Notice of Default, Request for Default Judgment and proposed ordered were served on all parties in this case on 01.30.2013 by ECF and/or First class mail

---

/s/ Lila Dubert

Distribution List for Proof of Service

**Defendant**

**Governor of California**

represented by **George Michael Waters**  
Department Of Justice, Office Of The  
Attorney General  
1300 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-323-8050  
Fax: 916-324-8835  
Email: george.waters@doj.ca.gov  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**Secretary of State of California**

represented by **George Michael Waters**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**U.S. Congress**

represented by **Edward A Olsen , GOVT**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**Barack Hussein Obama**

represented by **Edward A Olsen , GOVT**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EXHIBIT 1**

Searched SSN: 042-66-XXXX

People Search Results (2)

| Person Information  | Address                               | City           | State | Zip   |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| <b>BONEL HARRISONJ</b><br>Address: HARRISONJ<br>BONEL<br>Gender: U<br>SSN: 042-66-XXXX<br>+ CS CORE<br>+ RELATIVES  | 506 S GREENWOOD AVE                   | CHICAGO, IL    |       | 60615 |
| <b>BARBACK H OBAMA</b><br>Address: BARBACK H<br>OBAMA, BARACK H<br>OBAMA, BARACK<br>OBAMA, BARACK<br>OBAMA, OSAMA BARACK<br>DOB: 08/1961<br>Gender: M<br>SSN: 042-66-XXXX<br>+ CS CORE<br>+ RELATIVES | 227 6TH ST NE<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001 |                |       | 20001 |
|   | 506 S GREENWOOD AVE                   | CHICAGO, IL    |       | 60615 |
|   | 300 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW              | WASHINGTON, DC |       | 20001 |
|   | 540 S EAST 76 W PARK<br>Apt 1         | CHICAGO, IL    |       | 60615 |
|   | 7416 S EUCLID AVE                     | CHICAGO, IL    |       | 60649 |
|   | 382 BROADWAY<br>Apt B1                | SOMERVILLE, MA |       | 02145 |



## **EXHIBIT 2**

## **EXHIBIT 3**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY,

CASE NO.: 12-CV-1984

PLAINTIFF,

**PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY SECOND  
MOTION FOR ORDER TO RELEASE  
PRIVACY ACT-PROTECTED RECORDS AND  
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION BASED UPON  
PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF DESTRUCTION  
OF EVIDENCE RELATED TO OBAMA'S  
BIRTH**

VS.

YVETTE ALEXANDER, DON R. DINAN AND  
WILLIAM LIGHTFOOT,

DEFENDANTS.

---

Plaintiff, Montgomery Blair Sibley ("Sibley"), pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11), moves this Court for an Expedited Order directing the National Archives Record Administration ("NARA") to produce and release the original I-9 arrival records described herein which relate to the nationality of Barack Hussein Obama, II, and which NARA has claimed are documents protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 codified at 5 U.S.C. §552a, and (ii) for expedited consideration of this Motion and for grounds in support thereof states:

**I. BACKGROUND**

Sibley has served subpoenas duces tecum which seeks Mr. Obama's: (i) Social Security number application, (ii) Selective Service number application, (iii) Passport application, (iv) Harvard Law School and Columbia University admission applications and (v) the originals of the two (2) Certificates of Live Births that he has publicly released. Attorneys have thrown up a number of procedural objections to allowing those records to be released which issues are pending resolution before this Court.

However, one set of records subpoenaed that Mr. Obama's attorneys failed to block were

those kept by the National Archives Records Administration. The records released pursuant to that subpoena is troubling and raises legitimate concerns about Mr. Obama's past and thus his eligibility to be President under Article II of the Constitution.

Pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum, NARA made available to Sibley the "Arrival Records" for August 1 through August 10, 1961, of all passengers arriving in Honolulu, Hawaii. Sibley sought these records given the date of Obama's birth on his publicly-released Certificate of Live Birth of August 4, 1961 in Hawaii to see if he and/or his Mother arrived during that time-frame. If they did, it would prove that Mr. Obama was not born in the United States and as such is not a U.S. Citizen.

The significance of this "arrival form" evidenced can be seen from the arrival record of a three year old in Honolulu on August 8, 1961, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". That arrival record indicates the citizenship of the child.

What NARA produced on December 13, 2012, were two microfilm spools of the arrival records for July 28 through August 1, 1961 and August 8 through August 12, 1961. Attached hereto as Exhibit "B", is a photograph of the boxes those two microfilm spools are stored in which show the dates the spools cover. Even more significant, the date of "August 1" has been altered. It appears that "white-out" was applied and a new date was written over the original date of "August 7, 1961". Proof of that alteration comes from a photograph of the same box taken six months before on or about March 22, 2012 which reveals the date was originally "August 7, 1961". Thus, indisputably the box has been tampered with – a criminal offense.

Moreover, the microfilm of the July 28 spool ends on August 1, 1961 without the notice of "end of roll" that other microfilm spools evidence. Simply stated, someone appears to have tampered with the documents material to the question of the location of Obama's birth and altered NARA

records to conceal that alteration. The records for August 2 through August 7, 1961 are missing.

Title 5 U.S.C. §552a(b) “Conditions of Disclosure” states: “No agency shall disclose any record which is contained in a system of records by any means of communication to any person, or to another agency, except pursuant to a written request by, or with the prior written consent of, the individual to whom the record pertains, unless disclosure of the record would be . . . (11) pursuant to the order of a court of competent jurisdiction.”

Here, the “relevance” under Rule 26(b)(1) of the I-9 arrival records related to the birth of Barack Hussein Obama, II, are manifest as such records will reveal the “evidence of the U.S. nationality” of Barack Hussein Obama, II. That “evidence” will address the seminal question of whether Barack Hussein Obama, II, is indeed a “natural born Citizen” eligible – under Article II, §1, clause 5 of the United States Constitution – to be President. Upon such determination of ineligibility, the Defendants will be legally barred from casting their Twelfth Amendment votes for Barack Hussein Obama, II.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests an order from this Court pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11) directing the National Archives Record Administration to produce and release the original I-9 arrival records described herein. Given the evidence of tampering, Plaintiff respectfully requests expedited resolution of this Motion.

**RULE 12.I(A) STATEMENT**

The undersigned has consulted with Defendants’ counsel who has indicated that he **does/does not** oppose the relief requested herein.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Postal Service first class mail this December 19, 2012, on Andrew J. Saindon, Assistant Attorney General, Equity Section, 441 Fourth Street, N.W., 6th Floor South, Washington, D.C. 20001, Telephone: (202) 724-6643, Facsimile: (202) 730-1470, E-mail: [andy.saindon@dc.gov](mailto:andy.saindon@dc.gov).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

**MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY**  
Plaintiff  
4000 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., #1518  
Washington, D.C. 20016  
(202) 478-0371

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Montgomery Blair Sibley



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

YVETTE ALEXANDER, DON R. DINAN AND  
WILLIAM LIGHTFOOT,

DEFENDANTS.

---

CASE No.:12-cv-1984

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY  
SECOND MOTION FOR ORDER TO RELEASE  
PRIVACY ACT-PROTECTED RECORDS AND  
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION BASED UPON  
PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF DESTRUCTION  
OF EVIDENCE RELATED TO OBAMA'S BIRTH**

THIS MATTER came on to be heard on Order on Plaintiff's Emergency Second Motion for Order to Release Privacy Act-Protected Records and Expedited Consideration Based Upon Prima Facie Evidence of Destruction of Evidence Related to Obama's Birth and the Court being advised in the premises, it is hereby:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is granted. By the authority vested in this Court by 5 U.S.C. §552a(b)(11), the National Archives Record Administration shall forthwith produce and release the original I-9 arrival records to Montgomery Blair Sibley all records in its possession relating to the arrival records in Honolulu, Hawaii for August 1 through August 10, 1961.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2012.


By: \_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge

Copies to:.

Montgomery Blair Sibley  
Andrew J. Saindon







RE 80-1 INS MEMORANDUM  
AUGUST 8 1961 - August 1961  
A 3573  
185

RE 80-1 INS MEMORANDUM  
AUGUST 8 1961 - August 1961  
A 3573  
184

ENCLOSURE

## **EXHIBIT 2**

No. 202

1. Nama murid :

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| <i>10001/12000</i> | L |
|                    | P |

2. Tempat dan tanggal lahir :

*Harauharau 4-2-41*

3. Bangsa/ a. Warga negara :

*Indonesia*

b. Keturunan asing :

c. Suku bangsa :

4. Agama :

*Islam*

5. Alamat murid :

*Desa. Dalam 8001/R2002*

6. Dari sekolah mana (dipindahkan) dan kelas berapa :

*Taman Kanak-kanak Al-Firdaus*

7. a. Diterima disekolah ini tgl :

*1-1-1941*

b. Ditempatkan di kelas :

*I*

8. a. Nama orang tua

$\frac{Ayah}{Ibu}$

*Ir. Soetoro M.P.A.*

b. Pekerjaan :

*Prof. Dinas Geografi dik. Top. D.D.*  
(nama dan data, harus diika ayah sudah meninggal)

c. Alamat :

*Desa. Dalam 81001/R2003*

9. a. Nama wali :

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|

(harus diisi, jika orang tua murid tak ada, sudah meninggal atau karena hal lain)

b. Pekerjaan :

c. Alamat :

10. Meninggalkan sekolah ini :

A. Belum tentu. Keluar dari kelas

tanggal

sebab *Pindah*

Kemana

B. Tidak, menerima ijazah tanggal

No.

C. Melanjutkan sekolah ke

II. Keterangan lain:

REGISTRATION or GRADEBOOK

NO. 203.

1. The name of the pupil: Barry Soetoro
2. The place and date of birth: Honolulu 4-8-1961
3. The nation
  - a. of Citizenship: Indonesia
  - b. The foreign descendants:
  - c. The ethnic group:
4. The religion: Islam
5. Address of the pupil: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
6. From which school (moved from) and what class: ???
7.
  - a. Date accepted: 1-1-1968 (January 1, 1968)
  - b. Grade: I (First grade)
8.
  - a. The name of the parents Mr./Ms.: L. Soetoro M A (Lolo Soetoro)
  - b. Occupation/Job: (???? Geography ????)  
(name of the mother will only be used if father is deceased)
  - c. Address: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
9. The name of the guardian:  
(??? was filled up, ??? parents of the pupil were not available, already ????)  
because another thing )
  - b. Occupation/Job:
  - c. The address: Menteng Dalam R001/R003
10. Left this school:
  - a. ?? was finished. Outside from the class:           The date:
  - b. ?? recieved ?? the date:           No.
  - c. ?? the school to:
11. Other information:

## **EXHIBIT 3**



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

**JUL 29 2010**

In reply refer to:

CA/PPT/L/LE – Case Control Number: 200807238

Christopher E. Strunk  
593 Vanderbilt Avenue, #281  
Brooklyn, NY 11238

Dear Mr. Strunk:

The following is in response to your request to the Department of State, dated November 22, 2008, requesting the release of material under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552).

We have completed a search for records responsive to your request. The search resulted in the retrieval of six documents that are responsive to your request. After careful review of these documents, we have determined that all six documents may be released in full.


We did not locate a 1965 passport application referenced in an application for amendment of passport that is included in the released documents. Many passport applications and other non-vital records from that period were destroyed during the 1980s in accordance with guidance from the General Services Administration.

Passport records typically consist of applications for United States passports and supporting evidence of United States citizenship. Passport records do not include evidence of travel such as entrance/exit stamps, visas, residence permits, etc., since this information is entered into the passport book after issuance.



This completes the processing of your request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonathan M. Rolbin', with a large, stylized initial 'J' and 'R'.

Jonathan M. Rolbin, Director  
Office of Legal Affairs and Law Enforcement Liaison  
Bureau of Consular Affairs  
Passport Services

Enclosures:  
As stated

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF STATE<br>FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<br>APPLICATION FOR<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RENEWAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT <input type="checkbox"/> EXTENSION<br>OF<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> CARD OF IDENTITY<br><input type="checkbox"/> REGISTRATION <input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFICATE OF IDENTITY<br>Document No. <u>F777788</u> Date Issued <u>July 19, 1968</u>   |  | POST <u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u><br><input type="checkbox"/> REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT FOR ACTION<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RENEWED (EXTENDED) TO <u>Jul. 18, 1970</u><br><input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED AS REQUESTED<br>\$ <u>5.00</u> FEE COLLECTED<br><input type="checkbox"/> NO FEE COLLECTED |
| (PLEASE PRINT NAME IN FULL)<br>I, <u>Stanley</u> ( <u>Ann</u> ) ( <u>Dunham</u> ) ( <u>Saetoro</u> ), a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for the service indicated above. (If amendment, set forth details on REVERSE.)   |  |   |
| DATE OF BIRTH (Month, day, year)   | PLACE OF BIRTH                               |   |
| <u>Nov. 29, 1942</u>   | <u>Wichita, Kansas</u>                       |   |
| NOW RESIDING AT<br><u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u>  |  |   |
| UNITED STATES RESIDENCE (Street address, city, county, state)  |  |   |
| IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY (Name in full, relationship, street address, city, state)<br><u>Stanley Armour Dunham, Bank of Hawaii, Honolulu</u>   |  |   |
| HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES?<br>IF THE ANSWER IS YES, EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY<br><br><u>NO</u>  |  |   |
| PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS  | IF RETURNING TO U. S. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING |   |
| I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES PERMANENTLY TO RESIDE WITHIN _____ YEARS <u>Indefinite</u> MONTHS  | PORT OF DEPARTURE                            |   |
| I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING PERIOD AND PURPOSE <u>INDEFINITE - MARRIED TO AN INDONESIAN CITIZEN</u>  | NAME OF SHIP OR AIRLINE                      |   |
|  | DATE OF DEPARTURE                            |   |
| I have not (and no other person included or to be included in the passport or documentation has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; voted in a political election in a foreign state or participated in an election or plebiscite to determine the sovereignty over foreign territory; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.<br>(If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person included in the passport or documentation, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.) |  |   |
| <u>Stanley Ann Dunham Saetoro</u><br>(To be signed by Applicant)   |  |   |
| Subscribed and Sworn to (affirmed) before me this <u>13th</u> day of <u>August</u> , 19 <u>68</u><br>(SEAL) <u>[Signature]</u><br>Vice Consul _____ of the United States at <u>Djakarta, Indonesia</u>   |  |   |
| (The Department will assume that the consular officer, forwarding the application for the Department's decision, is fully satisfied as to the applicant's identity unless a notation to the contrary is made.)   |  |   |

SAETORO (LAST NAME)  
 STANLEY (FIRST NAME)  
 ANN (MIDDLE NAME)  
 DUNHAM (MIDDLE NAME)

TO BE PRINTED IN FULL

| AMEND TO INCLUDE (EXCLUDE) (WIFE)(HUSBAND)              |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| NAME  | BIRTHPLACE   | BIRTHDATE  |
| SPOUSE WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED TO                        |  | PREVIOUS MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY<br><input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH |
| NUMBER OF MY SPOUSE'S PREVIOUS PASSPORT<br><b>1ND00</b> | DISPOSITION OF MY SPOUSE'S PREVIOUS PASSPORT<br><input type="checkbox"/> ATTACHED <input type="checkbox"/> CANCELED _____ (DATE) |  |

| AMEND TO INCLUDE (EXCLUDE) CHILDREN               |           |            |           |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|
| NAMES   | RESIDENCE | BIRTHPLACE | BIRTHDATE |
| <del>SARACK ABSEIN<br/>OBAMA<br/>(SARACKAH)</del> |           |            |           |
|   |           |            |           |
|   |           |            |           |

| AMEND TO READ IN MARRIED NAME  |               |            |
|--|---------------|------------|
| NAME   |               |            |
| DATE MARRIED   | PLACE MARRIED | MARRIED TO |
| CITIZENSHIP OF HUSBAND<br><input type="checkbox"/> U. S. CITIZEN <input type="checkbox"/> ALIEN-CITIZEN OF _____ |               |            |

OTHER AMENDMENT(S) (DESCRIBE IN DETAIL ACTION REQUESTED)

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE SUBMITTED TO DEPARTMENT BY CONSULAR OFFICER

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE SEEN AND RETURNED TO APPLICANT BY CONSULAR OFFICER

STATEMENT OF ACTION BY POST UPON DEPARTMENT'S AUTHORIZATION (To be executed only in connection with cases referred to Dept.)

THE  PASSPORT     RENEWED TO \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 CARD OF IDENTITY    WAS     AMENDED AS REQUESTED  
 CERTIFICATE     EXTENDED TO \_\_\_\_\_

AUTHORITY \_\_\_\_\_ (Consul of the United States of America)

(Photo required for inclusions)

STAPLE ONE PHOTO HERE  
DO NOT MAR FACE

The passport photos required must be approximately 2½ by 2¼ inches in size; be on thin unglazed paper, show full front view of applicant with a plain, light background; and have been taken within 2 years of date submitted. When dependents are included they should be shown in a group photograph. The consul will not accept photos that are not a good likeness. Color photographs are acceptable.

Do not staple second photo. Attach loosely by paper clip.

(STAPLE HERE)

(STAPLE HERE)

OPINION OF CONSULAR OFFICER

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Consul of the United States of America)

AVOID THE LAST MINUTE RUSH

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)

DON'T PUT IT OFF APPLY NOW

DEPARTMENT OF STATE APPLICATION FOR PASSPORT BY MAIL

Your previous passport issued within the past eight years, two signed photographs and the fee of \$10 MUST accompany this application.

(Passport Office Use Only) R 0 030097

SERIES C

JAN 14 '72

DEPARTMENT OF STATE HONOLULU, HAWAII

A (First name) (Middle name) (Last name) Stanley ANN DUNHAM SOETORO

MAIL PASSPORT TO: IN CARE OF (If applicable) Stanley DUNHAM WE STREET 1617 South Beretania CITY HONOLULU STATE Hawaii ZIP CODE 96814 PHONE NOS. Area Code: Home: 949-2317 Business: -

DATE OF BIRTH PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province, Country) Nov 29 42 Wichita, Kansas

HEIGHT COLOR OF HAIR COLOR OF EYES APPROXIMATE DATE OF DEPARTURE 5 FT. 5 1/2 IN. Brown Brown Jan 14, 1972

VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS OCCUPATION Teacher

Coogan 1/14/72

B MOST RECENT PASSPORT ISSUED WITHIN PAST 8 YEARS MUST BE ATTACHED No. F777288 Issue Date JULY 19, 1965

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

MY PERMANENT RESIDENCE (If same as mailing address, write "Same") DTL. TAMAN MATRAMAN BARAT 32 PAV. DIKARTA, INDONESIA

IF YOU WERE BORN ABROAD, WERE BOTH OF YOUR PARENTS U.S. CITIZENS AT THE TIME OF YOUR BIRTH? Yes No

IN THE EVENT OF ACCIDENT OR DEATH NOTIFY (Do not show name of a person who will accompany you when traveling) STANLEY A. DUNHAM FATHER 1617 S. BERETANIA HONOLULU

C PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS PURPOSE OF TRIP return home MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION Departure Return Sea Air Other DO YOU EXPECT TO TAKE ANOTHER TRIP ABROAD? Yes No IF SO, WITHIN 1 year 2 Years 3 Years

COUNTRIES TO BE VISITED INDONESIA

D WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or affidavits or other supporting documents are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and or 18 USC 1542. The alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions therein is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544.

I have not since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath, or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the Government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.



(If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement should be attached, signed and made a part of this application.)

DECLARATION

I declare under the penalties of 18 USC 1001 and 1542 (see WARNING, above) that the statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further declare that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; and that I take this obligation freely without mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

Jan 4, 1972 Stanley Ann Dunham Soetoro (Date) (Signature of applicant)

(Passport Office Use Only) JAN-4-72 120023

DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
 REQUEST BY UNITED STATES NATIONAL FOR AND REPORT OF  
 EXCEPTION TO SECTION 53.1, TITLE 22 OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

REQUEST

I have been informed that my passport is not valid and that a valid passport is required by law to enter the United States. I request that an exception be granted to me, as provided in Section 53.2(h), Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations. I understand that a fee of \$25 is required under Section 53.2(h) and I will remit such fee to the Passport Office, Department of State, Washington, D. C., 20524, within 30 days.

*Stanley Ann Soetoro*  
 (Signature)

REPORT - Pursuant to Section 215 of the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952

TO: Director, Passport Office  
 Department of State  
 Washington, D. C. 20524  
 Attn: PT/AC

SUBJECT

|  |  |
|--|--|
| NAME<br><b>STANLEY ANN SOETORO</b>   | DESCRIPTION<br>5'6"<br>Brown Brown<br>135 lb.  |
| HOME ADDRESS <b>Djalan Taman Matreman 22 Pav., Djakarta, Indonesia</b><br><b>(Honolulu, 1617 South Beretania, c/o Stanley Dunham)</b> <span style="float: right; color: red; font-size: 2em;">96814</span> |  |
| BIRTHDATE<br>Nov. 29, 1942   | NATURALIZATION DATE<br>N. A.   |
| BIRTHPLACE<br>Wichita, Kansas  | PASSPORT NO., DATE AND PLACE OF ISSUANCE<br>F 777788<br>07-19-65<br>Honolulu, Hawaii |

DEPARTURE FROM UNITED STATES

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| DATE AND PLACE OF DEPARTURE<br>October 1967, Honolulu, Hawaii | DESTINATION<br>Djakarta, Indonesia |
| FLIGHT NUMBER OR VESSEL<br>-                                  | NAME OF CARRIER<br>Japan Airlines  |

TRAVEL TO UNITED STATES

|  |   |
|--|---|
| DATE AND PLACE OF DEPARTURE FROM ABROAD<br>October 20, 1971, Djakarta, Indonesia | IDENTITY DOCUMENTS PRESENTED<br>Passport as shown above ✓ |
| FLIGHT NUMBER OR VESSEL<br>FAA 812   | NAME OF CARRIER<br>Pan American Airways                   |
| DATE AND PLACE OF ENTRY<br>October 21, 1971, Honolulu, Hawaii                    | DESTINATION<br>Honolulu, Hawaii                           |

ACTION TAKEN

Identity and citizenship established.  
 Exception granted under 22 CFR 53.2(h).

OCT 21 1971  
 (Inspector's Stamp)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| PLACE (Immigration and Naturalization Service)<br>HONOLULU, HAWAII | SIGNATURE (Immigration Officer)<br><i>Leonard Jones</i> |
|--|---|

Bill SENT - 11/7/71 ✓ *main record 5-6871*

DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT OF PASSPORT

(Passport Office Use Only)

Amend as shown in section:

- B  C  D  E  F  
 Add visa pages.

P3

INSTRUCTIONS: All requests for inclusion of persons must be sworn to (or affirmed) before an Agent of the Department of State or Clerk of Court. Photographs, which meet the requirements below, and evidence of citizenship must be submitted for all persons to be included by this amendment. If such persons have had, or been included in, a previous passport, it should be submitted instead of other documents, and Section G completed.

PASSPORT NO. OF APPLICANT: 727333 DATE ISSUED: July 19, 1965

BIRTH CERTIFICATE(S) SEEN

MAIL PASSPORT TO: STREET: 2234 University Ave.

CHILDREN'S (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S)

FILED SR CR CITY FILED SR CR CITY

CITY: Honolulu STATE: Hawaii 96822

MARRIAGE CERT. NAT'N. CERT. OTHER

S & R  S & R  OTHER

IN CARE OF (PLEASE PRINT NAME IN FULL) (First name) (Middle name) (Last name)

Stanley Ann Soetero, a citizen of the United States, do hereby request that my passport, which is enclosed, be amended as indicated below.

6-27-67

INCLUDE MY CHILD(REN), AS FOLLOWS: (Also complete Section H if child(ren) acquired citizenship by naturalization, and have not had a previous passport.)

(Photo requirements for inclusion)

| NAME IN FULL | PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State) | DATE OF BIRTH |
|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|
|              |                              |               |

STAPLE ONE PHOTO HERE  
DO NOT MAR FACE

Photos must be ONLY of persons to be included by this amendment. The two photos must be duplicates, approximately 2 1/2 by 2 1/2 inches in size; be on thin, unglazed paper with a plain, light background and have been taken within 2 years of date submitted. Photos should be front view, but not full-length, and may not be snapshot, Polaroid, acetate or film base prints. When more than 1 person is to be included, a group photo is required. Color photos are acceptable.

DO NOT STAPLE SECOND PHOTO  
ATTACH BY PAPER CLIP

INCLUDE MY (WIFE) (HUSBAND), AS FOLLOWS: (Also complete Section H if (wife) (husband) acquired citizenship by naturalization, and/or Section I if wife was previously married before March 3, 1931.)

| (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME | PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                      |                              |
| DATE OF BIRTH                        | DATE OF MARRIAGE             |
|                                      |                              |

EXCLUDE PERSONS, AS FOLLOWS:

- MY WIFE  TO APPLY FOR SEPARATE PASSPORT  
 MY HUSBAND  NOT TO ACCOMPANY  
 MY CHILDREN (Give name(s))

CHANGE TO READ IN MARRIED NAME, AS FOLLOWS:

MARRIED NAME: Stanley Ann Soetero  
 PLACE OF MARRIAGE (City, State): ~~Hawaii~~ Molokai, Hawaii DATE OF MARRIAGE: 3/15/65  
 HUSBAND'S NAME IN FULL: Lolo Soetero WHO IS:  A UNITED STATES CITIZEN  A CITIZEN OF Indonesia

CHANGE TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

(CHILDREN'S) (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) LAST U. S. PASSPORT

| NUMBER     | DATE ISSUED   |
|------------|---|
|            |   |
| IN NAME OF | <input type="checkbox"/> IS SUBMITTED HERewith <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER DISPOSITION (State) |
|            |   |

(Last name) Soetero  
 (First name) Stanley  
 (Middle name) Ann

**H** TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT REQUESTING INCLUSION IN THE PASSPORT OF A RELATIVE WHO ACQUIRED CITIZENSHIP THROUGH NATURALIZATION

|                                       |               |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| MY<br>THE U. S. ON (Month, day, year) | IMMIGRATED TO | ACQUIRED U. S. CITIZENSHIP<br>ON (Month, day, year) | THROUGH THE NATURALIZATION OF<br><input type="checkbox"/> SELF <input type="checkbox"/> PARENT <input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|

WHO WAS NATURALIZED BEFORE THE (Name of court)      LOCATED IN (City, State)

AS SHOWN BY THE ACCOMPANYING CERTIFICATE OF NATURALIZATION NO.

**I** TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHOSE WIFE WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED BEFORE MARCH 3, 1931, AND WHO IS TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT (If married more than twice, set forth facts in a supplemental statement)

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| HER MAIDEN NAME WAS             | DATE OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGE   |
| NAME OF FORMER HUSBAND          | PLACE OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGE  |
| FORMER HUSBAND'S PLACE OF BIRTH | MARRIAGE WAS TERMINATED BY      DATE<br><input type="checkbox"/> DEATH <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE |

**J** IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY  
NAME IN FULL      RELATIONSHIP      STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE

**K** I have not (and no other person included or to be included in the passport has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; voted in a political election in a foreign state or participated in an election or plebiscite to determine the sovereignty over foreign territory; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.

(If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person included or to be included in the passport, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)

I solemnly swear (affirm) that the statements herein made are true and that I have not previously asked to have these additional persons included in my passport; that they are not now in possession of valid passports, and that they have not made application for passports and been refused.

*Stanley Ann Lester*  
(Signature of Applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_

(Agent, Department of State or Clerk of Court)

|  |   |   |   |   |                    |
|--|---|---|---|---|--------------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF STATE<br>APPLICATION FOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASSPORT <input type="checkbox"/> REGISTRATION  |   |   |   | POST LOCATION: <u>Jakarta, Indonesia</u>  |                    |
| Complete ALL entries in all sections that apply to you. If information is unknown, write "Unknown". Do not leave blank spaces. Use additional sheets where space provided is not adequate.                               |   |   |   | PASSPORT ISSUED No. <u>22433100</u> REGISTRATION APPROVED <u>P4</u>   |                    |
| TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS  |   |   |   | Date <u>June 2, 1976</u> Expires <u>June 1, 1981</u>  |                    |
| (First name) (Middle name) (Last name)<br><u>STANLEY ANN SOETORO</u> a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for (a passport) (registration)   |   |   |   | CARD OF IDENTITY AND REG. No. _____ Date _____  |                    |
| DATE OF BIRTH<br>Month <u>11</u> Day <u>29</u> Year <u>42</u>  |   | PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state/province, country)<br><u>WICHITA, KANSAS, USA</u> |   | MY LAST PASSPORT WAS OBTAINED FROM (Date: if included in another's passport, state name of bearer)<br><u>HONOLULU</u> <u>JAN. 4. 1972</u>                           |                    |
| HEIGHT<br><u>5</u> Ft. <u>5 1/2</u> in.  | COLOR OF HAIR (Spell out)<br><u>BROWN</u> | COLOR OF EYES (Spell out)<br><u>BROWN</u>                                     | SOCIAL SECURITY NO.<br><u>535-40-8522</u>   | Location of Issuing Office: <u>HONOLULU</u> Date of Issuance: <u>JAN. 4. 1972</u>   |                    |
| VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS<br><u>NONE</u>  |   |   | OCCUPATION<br><u>GRAD. STUDENT</u>  | Number: <u>2030047</u> Beared: <u>Self</u>  |                    |
| NOW RESIDING AT <u>DTL. HADJI ROMLI 23 MENTENG DALAM, JAKARTA</u>  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cancelled and returned<br><input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned  |                    |
| PERMANENT RESIDENCE (Street address, city, state/province, country) (If same as above, state above)<br><u>1617 S. BERETANIA, HONOLULU, HAWAII</u>  |   |   |   | MY LAST REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES WAS APPROVED   |                    |
| IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR ACCIDENT NOTIFY (Name in full, relationship, address, city, state)<br><u>STANLEY DUNHAM (FATHER) 1617 S. BERETANIA # 1008 HONOLULU, HAWAII</u>  |   |   |   | Location of Registering Office: _____ Date of Registration: _____   |                    |
| HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No IF ANSWER IS "YES", EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY <u>21P 968 1/4</u> |   |   |   |   |                    |
| TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHO BECAME A CITIZEN THROUGH NATURALIZATION  |   |   |   |   |                    |
| I IMMIGRATED TO THE U.S. (Month, year)   |   | I RESIDED CONTINUOUSLY IN THE U.S. From (Year) To (Year)                      |   | NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned <input type="checkbox"/> Previously submitted |                    |
| PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)  |   | NATURALIZATION COURT  |   | DATE NATURALIZED  |                    |
| COMPLETE ONLY IF OTHERS ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AND SUBMIT GROUP PHOTOGRAPH   |   |   |   |   |                    |
| (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) FULL LEGAL NAME   |   |   | NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE BORN <input type="checkbox"/> NATURALIZED <input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned |   |                    |
| PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)  |   | NATURALIZATION COURT  |   | DATE NATURALIZED  |                    |
| (WIFE'S) (HUSBAND'S) PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State or Province, Country)   |   |   | DATE OF BIRTH (Mo., Day, Year)  |   |                    |
| NAME IN FULL OF CHILDREN INCLUDED  |   | PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state/province, country)                                |   | DATE OF BIRTH (Month, day, year)  |                    |
|  |   |   |   | RESIDED IN U.S. (From-To)   |                    |
|  |   |   |   |   |                    |
| EVIDENCE OF PRIOR DOCUMENTATION OF ABOVE-NAMED PERSONS TO BE INCLUDED (For completion by Consular Office)  |   |   |   |   |                    |
| NAMES  | PASSPORT NO.                              | DATE OF ISSUE   | CANCELED OR OTHER DISPOSITION   | DATE OF REGISTRATION OR BIRTH REPORT  | LOCATION OF OFFICE |
|  |   |   |   |   |                    |
| OTHER EVIDENCE OF U.S. CITIZENSHIP PRESENTED (State disposition)   |   |   |   |   |                    |



FATHER'S NAME **STANLEY DUNHAM** FATHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province or Country) **WICHITA, KANSAS**  U.S. CITIZEN  NOT U.S. CITIZEN

DATE NATURALIZED \_\_\_\_\_ PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state) \_\_\_\_\_

FATHER'S DATE OF BIRTH **MARCH 23, 1918**  FATHER DECEASED  FATHER RESIDING AT **HONOLULU, HI** FATHER RESIDED IN U.S. From **BIRTH** to **PRESENT**

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME **MADELYN PAYNE** MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State, Province or Country) **PERU, KANSAS**  U.S. CITIZEN  NOT U.S. CITIZEN

DATE NATURALIZED \_\_\_\_\_ PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state) \_\_\_\_\_

MOTHER'S DATE OF BIRTH **OCT. 26, 1922**  MOTHER DECEASED  MOTHER RESIDING AT **HONOLULU, HI** MOTHER RESIDED IN U.S. From **BIRTH** to **PRESENT**

I WAS NEVER MARRIED  I WAS LAST MARRIED ON (Date) **MARCH 15, 1965** **LOLO SOETORO** PRESENT FULL LEGAL NAME OF HUSBAND OR WIFE

HUSBAND'S OR WIFE'S PLACE OF BIRTH (City, state) **BANDUNG, INDONESIA** HUSBAND'S OR WIFE'S DATE OF BIRTH **JAN. 2, 1935**  HUSBAND OR WIFE IS U.S. CITIZEN  HUSBAND OR WIFE IS NOT U.S. CITIZEN

HUSBAND OR WIFE NOW RESIDING AT **DJAKARTA, INDONESIA**

MARRIAGE NOT TERMINATED  MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY  DEATH  DIVORCE ON \_\_\_\_\_

PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS

I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES WITHIN 1 MONTHS \_\_\_\_\_ YEARS TO  RESIDE  VISIT  INDEFINITE  I NEVER INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES

I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON **PLAN TO RETURN TO INDONESIA SEPT. FEB, 77 TO COMPLETE DISSERTATION RESEARCH** COMPLETE IF RETURNING TO U.S.

PORT OF DEPARTURE **DJAKARTA** DATE OF DEPARTURE **JUNE 16, 1976**

NAME OF SHIP OR AIRLINE **PAN AM**



WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or in affidavits or other supporting documents submitted herewith are punishable by fine and /or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. Alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions contained therein or of the passport regulations is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544.

I have not (and no other person included in the application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.

(If any of the above-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person to be included in the passport or registration, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)

I solemnly swear (or affirm) that the statements made on all the pages of this application are true and that the photograph attached is a likeness of me and of those persons to be included in the passport.

(To be signed at same time by husband/wife to be included in passport) \_\_\_\_\_ (To be signed by Applicant in presence of person administering oath) *S. Ann Dunham Soetoro*

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this 1st day of June, 1976

(Seal) Alfred Harding IV

Consul \_\_\_\_\_ of the United States at Jakarta, Indonesia

IDENTIFYING DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED (See 8 FAM 243, Procedures)

APPLICATION FOR  PASSPORT  REGISTRATION

POST LOCATION Jakarta, Indonesia

P 5

Write in ALL entries in all sections that apply to you. If information is unknown, write "Unknown." Do not leave blank spaces. Use additional sheets where space provided is not adequate. PRINT OR TYPE ENTRIES.

POST ACTION:  
 PASSPORT ISSUED No. 03037221 REGISTRATION APPROVED Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date 4/28/81 Expires \_\_\_\_\_  
 Expires 4/27/86 CARD OF IDENTITY AND REG. No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 \$3 Application fee collected Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 \$10 Fee collected  No Fee passport  \$5 fee collected (for card)  
 Official passport  48-page  96-page passport

TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS

(First name) (Middle name) (Last name)  
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM

I, \_\_\_\_\_ a citizen of the United States, do hereby apply for (a passport) (registration).

SEX (M/F) F BIRTHPLACE (City, State or Province, Country) WICHITA, KANSAS, U.S.A. BIRTH DATE Month Day Year Nov. 29 1942  
 HEIGHT 5 Ft 1/2 In. COLOR OF HAIR (Spell out) BROWN COLOR OF EYES (Spell out) BROWN SOCIAL SECURITY NO. (Not mandatory) 535-40-8522

APPLICANT'S EVIDENCE OF CITIZENSHIP  
 Birth Certificate  Passport  
 Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship  
 Date: 6/2/76  
 Bearer's Name: self  Submitted Herewith  
 No.: 22433100  Canceled & Returned  
 Place: Jakarta  Seen & Returned

NOW RESIDING AT Jalan Daksa I/14, Kebayoran Baru Jakarta Selatan, Indonesia

MY LAST REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES WAS APPROVED

PERMANENT RESIDENCE (Street Address, City, State, U.S. ZIP Code) (If same as above, so indicate) 1617 South Beretania, Apt. 1008, Hon., Hawaii Location of Registering Office \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Registration \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE EVENT OF ACCIDENT OR DEATH NOTIFY (Not mandatory) (Do not give name of a person who will accompany you when traveling)  
 Name in full: Stanley Dunham Relationship: Father  
 Address: 1617 South Beretania, Apt. 1008, Honolulu, Hawaii Phone No.: (808) 949-2317

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED A PASSPORT OR REGISTRATION AS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES?  Yes  No  
 IF ANSWER IS "YES," EXPLAIN WHEN AND WHY



FATHER'S NAME STANLEY DUNHAM BIRTHPLACE WICHITA, KANSAS, U.S.A. BIRTH DATE March 23 1918 U.S. CITIZEN  Yes  No  
 MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME MADelyn PAYNE BIRTHPLACE PERU, KANSAS, U.S.A. BIRTH DATE Oct. 26, '22 U.S. CITIZEN  Yes  No  
 I WAS LAST MARRIED ON March 5, '64 TO (Wife's/Husband's full legal/maiden name - complete when married, widowed or divorced) Lolo Soetoro, MAUI, HAWAII  
 I WAS NEVER MARRIED

WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S BIRTHPLACE Bandung, Indone- WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S BIRTH DATE Jan. 2, 1936 U.S. CITIZEN  Yes  No  
 MARRIAGE NOT TERMINATED  
 MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY  
 DEATH  
 DIVORCE ON Aug. 28, 1980

YOU OR ANYONE INCLUDED IN SECTION B OF THIS APPLICATION BEEN ISSUED OR INCLUDED IN A U.S. PASSPORT?  Yes  No  
 IF YES, SUBMIT PASSPORT. IF UNABLE TO SUBMIT MOST RECENT PASSPORT, STATE ITS DISPOSITION: Am submitting No.: 22433100 Issue Date: June 2, 1976

(PHOTO REQUIREMENTS FOR PERSONS TO BE INCLUDED)  
 Photos must be ONLY of persons to be included (other than passport bearer). When more than one person is to be included, a group photograph of the inclusions is required.  
 CONSULATE WILL STAPLE PHOTO OF INCLUSIONS HERE.  
 DO NOT IMPRESS SEAL ON PHOTOGRAPHS.

COMPLETE IF CHILDREN OR BROTHERS AND SISTERS UNDER AGE 13, AND/OR WIFE/HUSBAND, ARE TO BE INCLUDED AND SUBMIT PHOTO

(CONSULAR OFFICE USE ONLY)  
 WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S EVIDENCE

WIFE'S/HUSBAND'S FULL LEGAL NAME \_\_\_\_\_  
 BIRTHPLACE (City, State or Province, Country) \_\_\_\_\_ BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Yr.) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Submitted Herewith  
 Canceled & Returned  
 Seen & Returned

CHILD(REN)'S NAME(S) IN FULL \_\_\_\_\_ BIRTHPLACE(S) (City, State or Country) \_\_\_\_\_ BIRTH DATE(S) (Mo., Day, Yr.) \_\_\_\_\_ CHILD(REN)'S EVIDENCE  
 Submitted Herewith  
 Canceled & Returned  
 Seen & Returned

I have not (and no other person included in this application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, performed any of the acts listed in section 1 on the reverse of this application form (unless explanatory statement is attached). I solemnly swear (or affirm) that the statements made on all of the pages of this application are true and the photograph(s) attached is (are) a likeness of me and of those persons to be included in the passport.

(To be signed at same time by husband/wife to be included in passport) (SEAL) Stanley Dunham (To be signed by Applicant in presence of person administering oath)

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this 27 day of April 19 81.

Consul \_\_\_\_\_ of the United States at Jakarta, Indonesia (Signature of person taking application)

| TO BE COMPLETED BY AN APPLICANT WHO BECAME A CITIZEN THROUGH NATURALIZATION  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| I IMMIGRATED TO THE U.S. (Month, year)   | I RESIDED CONTINUOUSLY IN THE U.S. From (Year) To (Year)     | NATURALIZATION CERTIFICATE NO.<br><input type="checkbox"/> Submitted herewith<br><input type="checkbox"/> Seen and returned<br><input type="checkbox"/> Previously submitted   |   |
| PLACE NATURALIZED (City, state)  |  | NATURALIZATION COURT   | DATE NATURALIZED  |
| TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS  |  |  |   |
| OCCUPATION<br>PROGRAM OFFICER, FORD FOUNDATION   |  | VISIBLE DISTINGUISHING MARKS<br>none   |   |
| E WOMEN MUST COMPLETE FOLLOWING IF CHILDREN OF A PREVIOUS MARRIAGE ARE INCLUDED OR IF PREVIOUSLY MARRIED BEFORE MARCH 3, 1931  |  |  |   |
| I WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED ON TO (Full legal name)   |  | WHO WAS BORN AT (City, State, Country)   |   |
| ON (Date of birth)   | <input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND WAS U.S. CITIZEN     | PREVIOUS MARRIAGE TERMINATED BY <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCE  |   |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> FORMER HUSBAND WAS NOT U.S. CITIZEN | ON (Date)  |   |
| F COMPLETE IF APPLICANT OR ANY PERSON INCLUDED IN SECTION B WAS NOT BORN IN THE UNITED STATES AND CLAIMS CITIZENSHIP THROUGH PARENTS   |  |  |   |
| ENTERED THE U.S. (Month) (Year)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Applicant<br><input type="checkbox"/> Wife<br><input type="checkbox"/> Husband<br><input type="checkbox"/> Child   | IF FATHER NATURALIZED:                                       |  | IF KNOWN, FATHER'S RESIDENCE PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S.<br>From (Year) To (Year) |
|  | Date   | Certificate No.  |   |
|  | Before (Name of Court)                                       | Place (City, State)  |   |
| RESIDENCE/CONTINUOUS PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S. From (Year) To (Year)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Applicant<br><input type="checkbox"/> Wife<br><input type="checkbox"/> Husband<br><input type="checkbox"/> Child  | IF MOTHER NATURALIZED:                                       |  | IF KNOWN, MOTHER'S RESIDENCE PHYSICAL PRESENCE IN U.S.<br>From (Year) To (Year) |
|  | Date   | Certificate No.  |   |
|  | Before (Name of Court)                                       | Place (City, State)  |   |
| G PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS (Not Mandatory)  |  |  |   |
| I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO RESIDE ABROAD FOR THE FOLLOWING PERIOD AND PURPOSE<br><br>Two years contract with Ford Foundation from January 1981 - December 1982.   |  |  |   |
| I INTEND TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES PERMANENTLY TO RESIDE WITHIN _____ YEARS _____ MONTHS  |  | DATE OF DEPARTURE  |   |
| H PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT  |  |  |   |
| <p>The information solicited on this form is authorized by, but not limited to, those statutes codified in Titles 8, 18, and 22, United States Code, and all predecessor statutes whether or not codified, and all regulations issued pursuant to Executive Order 11295 of August 5, 1966. The primary purpose for soliciting the information is to establish citizenship, identity and entitlement to issuance of a United States Passport or related facility, and to properly administer and enforce the laws pertaining thereto.</p> <p>The information is made available as a routine use on a need-to-know basis to personnel of the Department of State and other government agencies having statutory or other lawful authority to maintain such information in the performance of their official duties; pursuant to a subpoena or court order; and, as set forth in Part 6a, Title 22, Code of Federal Regulations (See Federal Register Volume 40, pages 45755, 45756, 47419 and 47420).</p> <p>Failure to provide the information requested on this form may result in the denial of a United States Passport, related document or service to the individual seeking such passport, document or service.</p> <p>NOTE: The disclosure of your Social Security Number or of the identity and location of a person to be notified in the event of death or accident is entirely voluntary. However, failure to provide this information may prevent the Department of State from providing you with timely assistance or protection in the event you should encounter an emergency situation while outside the United States.</p>   |  |  |   |
| I ACTS OR CONDITIONS   |  |  |   |
| <p>(If any of the below-mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, or to any other person to be included in the passport, the portion which applies should be struck out, and a supplementary explanatory statement under oath (or affirmation) by the person to whom the portion is applicable should be attached and made a part of this application.)</p> <p>I have not (and no other person included in this application has), since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state; taken an oath or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state; entered or served in the armed forces of a foreign state; accepted or performed the duties of any office, post, or employment under the government of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof; made a formal renunciation of nationality either in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in a foreign state; ever sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state; or been convicted by a court or court martial of competent jurisdiction of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against, the United States, or conspiring to overthrow, put down or to destroy by force, the Government of the United States.</p> <p>WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or in affidavits or other supporting documents submitted therewith are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. Alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions contained therein or of the passport regulations is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1544. All statements and documents submitted are subject to verification.</p> |  |  |   |
| J (FOR USE OF OFFICE TAKING APPLICATION)   |  |  |   |
| APPLICANT'S IDENTIFYING DOCUMENT(S)<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship No.:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Passport Issue Date:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Driver's License Place of Issue:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify): Issued in Name of:  |  | IDENTIFYING DOCUMENT(S) OF WIFE/HUSBAND TO BE INCLUDED IN PASSPORT<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Naturalization or Citizenship No.:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Passport Issue Date:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Driver's License Place of Issue:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify): Issued in Name of: |   |

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
 APPLICATION FOR PASSPORT BY MAIL  
 IMPORTANT  
 READ INSTRUCTIONS ON BACK OF FORM  
 TYPE OR PRINT IN INK IN WHITE AREAS ONLY

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

NAME: STANLEY / ANN  
 FIRST/MIDDLE: ANN  
 LAST: DUNHAM

MAILING ADDRESS (In case of applicable, Street, City, State, ZIP Code):  
 1512 SPECKELS ST. HONOLULU, HI 96822

~~WILL CALL~~  
 4/11 2:30 pm  
 ST. HONOLULU, HI 96822

MR/MS/MR/MS: MR/MS 155268  
 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 535408582  
 DATE OF BIRTH: 11 29 42  
 PLACE OF BIRTH: WICHITA, KANSAS USA  
 SEX: Male  
 HEIGHT: 5'6"  
 COLOR OF HAIR: BROWN  
 COLOR OF EYES: BROWN  
 HOME PHONE: 8089484154  
 BUSINESS PHONE: [ ]  
 DEPARTURE DATE: ~~APRIL 6, 8~~  
 OCCUPATION: CONSULTANT  
 ISSUE DATE: 4 27 81  
 PASSPORT NUMBER: 230378A11

MOST RECENT PASSPORT ISSUED WITHIN PAST 8 YEARS MUST BE ATTACHED  
 \* 4/9/86 - ppt made to perm. address per her written request - attached  
 1512 SPECKELS ST APT 402 HONOLULU, HI

PROPOSED TRAVEL PLANS AND EMERGENCY ADDRESS (Not Mandatory)  
 LENGTH OF STAY: 1 WEEK  
 COUNTRIES TO BE VISITED: PHILIPPINES  
 PERSON TO NOTIFY IN CASE OF EMERGENCY (Not Traveling with you):  
 NAME IN FULL: STANLEY ANN MADELYN DUNHAM  
 ADDRESS: 1617 S. BERTANHA #1008  
 PHONE NUMBER: 8089492317  
 RELATIONSHIP: PARENTS



OATH AND SIGNATURE (If any of the below mentioned acts or conditions have been performed by or apply to the applicant, the portion which applies should be read out, and a supplementary explanatory statement should be attached, signed, and made a part of this application.)  
 I have not, since acquiring United States citizenship, been naturalized as a citizen of a foreign state, taken an oath, or made an affirmation or other formal declaration of allegiance to a foreign state, served in the armed forces of a foreign state, accepted a formal position of nationality other than in the United States or before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States as a foreign state, or been convicted by a court of martial or court martial of committing any act of treason against, or attempting by force to overthrow, or bearing arms against the United States or conspiring to overthrow, put down or destroy by force the Government of the United States.

WARNING: False statements made knowingly and willfully in passport applications or statements or other supporting documents are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under the provisions of 18 USC 1001 and/or 18 USC 1542. The alteration or mutilation of a passport issued pursuant to this application is punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under 18 USC 1543. The use of a passport in violation of the restrictions thereon as provided by law and/or endorsement under 18 USC 1544.

DECLARATION: I declare that the statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the attached photographs are a true likeness of me, and that I have not been issued or included in a passport issued subsequent to the one submitted herein.  
 Signature of Applicant (Must be signed by applicant): *Stanley Ann Dunham*  
 Date: March 27, 1986

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY - INCOMPLETE OR UNACCEPTABLE APPLICATIONS WILL DELAY THE ISSUANCE OF YOUR PASSPORT

FOR PASSPORT SERVICES USE ONLY  
 RECORD Type of Document(s) Number Date Filed Issue Court Fees Bearer's Name as Approved  
 Passport: same  
 Evidence of Name Change: [ ]  
 Bearer's Name: same  
 Signature: *Stanley Ann Dunham*  
 Date: 4/27/86  
 Place: Jakarta  
 Office Date: 4/27/86  
 Fee: 350K

My trip was delayed by 1 month  
Please mail my passport to

S. ANN DUNHAM  
1512 SPRECKELS ST.  
APT 402  
HONOLULU, HI 96822

Stanley Ann Dunham

PH 942-8454

RECEIVED

APR - 9 1986

Honolulu Passport Agency

## **EXHIBIT 4**

State of Arizona            )  
  ) ss.  
County of Maricopa        )

**AFFIDAVIT**

1. the undersigned, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the facts are true:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arizona. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto. I am the duly elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, and I have been a law enforcement officer and official, in both state and federal government, for 51 years.
2. In August of last year, a group of citizens from the Surprise Arizona Tea Party organization met with me in my office and presented a petition signed by approximately 250 residents of Maricopa County, asking if I would investigate the controversy surrounding President Barrack Obama's birth certificate authenticity and his eligibility to serve as the President of the United States.
3. This group expressed its concern that, up until that point, no law enforcement agency in the country had ever gone on record indicating that they had either looked into this or that they were willing to do so, citing lack of resources and jurisdictional challenges.
4. The Maricopa County Sheriff's Office is in a rather unique position. Under the Arizona Constitution and Arizona Revised Statutes, as the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, I have the authority to request the aid of the volunteer posse, located in the county, to assist me in the execution of my duties. Having organized a volunteer posse of approximately 3,000 members, I, as the Sheriff of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, can authorize an investigation go forward to answer these questions at virtually no expense to the tax payer.
5. The Cold Case posse agreed to undertake the investigation requested by the 250 citizens of Maricopa County. This posse consists of former police officers and attorneys who have worked investigating the controversy surrounding Barack Obama. The investigation mainly focused on the electronic document that was

presented as President Obama's long form birth certificate to the American people and to citizens of Maricopa County by the White House on April 27, 2011.

6. The investigation led to a closer examination of the procedures regarding the registration of births at the Hawaii Department of Health and various statements made by Hawaii government officials regarding the Obama birth controversy over the last five years.
7. Upon close examination of the evidence, it is my belief that forgery and fraud was likely committed in key identity documents including President Obama's long-form birth certificate, his Selective Service Registration card, and his Social Security number.
8. My investigators and I believe that President Obama's long-form birth certificate is a computer-generated document, was manufactured electronically, and that it did not originate in a paper format, as claimed by the White House. Most importantly, the "registrar's stamp" in the computer generated document released by the White House and posted on the White House website, may have been imported from another unknown source document. The effect of the stamp not being placed on the document pursuant to state and federal laws means that there is probable cause that the document is a forgery, and therefore, it cannot be used as a verification, legal or otherwise, of the date, place or circumstances of Barack Obama's birth.
9. The Cold Case Posse law enforcement investigation into Barack Obama's birth certificate and his eligibility to be president is on-going. The on-going nature of the investigation is due to additional information that has come to light since we held the press conference in March, 2012. As soon as that information has been properly verified by the Cold Case Posse, I will release that information to the public.


Executed this 12 day of June, 2012, in  
Maricopa County, Arizona.



Joseph M. Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff

Sworn to and subscribed before me this  
12<sup>th</sup> day of JUNE, 2012.

*Lynda Jenise Moreno*





## **EXHIBIT 5**

## AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arizona. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto. I am a former sworn law enforcement officer and criminal investigator. Since 2007 I have been duly appointed by the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, Joseph Arpaio, as the chief investigator of his Cold Case Posse.
2. Under the Arizona Constitution and Arizona Revised Statutes, the Sheriff has the authority to request assistance from a volunteer posse ("the Cold Case Posse"), a special five-member team of experienced investigators located in the county, to assist him in the execution of his duties. The individually-selected team deputized by the Sheriff includes former police detectives and attorneys who work voluntarily and at virtually no expense to the taxpayer whenever the Sheriff authorizes an investigation to address any issue as the Sheriff deems appropriate.
3. In August 2011, a group of citizens from the Surprise Arizona Tea Party organization called upon the Sheriff in his office and presented a petition signed by approximately 250 residents of Maricopa County, requesting the Sheriff's Department to investigate whether a document posted on the official website of the White House on 27 April 2011 and purporting on its face to be an electronic image of the "long-form" or original Hawaiian birth certificate of President Barack Hussein Obama was genuine.
4. If the image of the birth certificate were not genuine, the question might arise whether Mr Obama had been born within the jurisdiction of the United States and thereby complied with the requirement under Article II of the U.S. Constitution that the President be a "natural-born citizen".
5. The petitioners expressed their concern that, until that point, no law enforcement agency had ever gone on record as indicating that it had investigated or was willing to investigate whether President Obama was eligible to hold his office. The petitioners said that lack of resources and jurisdictional challenges had inhibited any such investigation elsewhere.
6. Sheriff Arpaio commissioned the Cold Case Posse to undertake the investigation requested by the petitioners. The principal focus of the investigation is the electronic document on the White House website that President Obama had presented as the image of his long-form birth

certificate to the American people and to citizens of Maricopa County at a White House press conference on April 27, 2011, when he had said: "We provided additional information today about the site of my birth. ... Yes, in fact, I was born in Hawaii, August 4, 1961, in Kapi'olani Hospital."

7. For 17 years from 1991 until the year before the Presidential election of 2008, the annually-revised biography written by Mr Obama and circulated by his literary agents had contained the words "Barack Obama, the first African-American President of the *Harvard Law Review*, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii."
8. The official *Parliamentary Debates* of the Kenyan National Assembly for 25 March 2010 records that Mr Orengo, the Minister for Lands, said: "If America ... did not see itself as a multiparty state or nation, how could a young man born here in Kenya, who is not even a native American, become the President of America?"
9. The investigation has closely examined the procedures for registration of births at the Hawaii Department of Health and various statements made by officials of the Hawaii government over the last five years in connection with the authenticity of Mr Obama's birth records. We have chronicled a series of inconsistent and misleading representations that various officials of the government of Hawaii have made since 2007 on the question what original birth records, if any, are held by the Hawaii Department of Health.
10. In February 2012, I reported to Sheriff Arpaio that there was probable cause to consider that the White House image of Mr Obama's birth certificate was a forgery, and specifically that it was not a true and accurate photographic image of a genuine birth record. I advised the Sheriff that the forgers had probably committed two crimes: first, fraudulently creating a forgery that the White House had characterized, knowingly or unknowingly, as an officially-produced governmental birth record; and secondly, fraudulently presenting to the residents of Maricopa County and to the American public at large a forgery that the White House had represented as "proof positive" of President Obama's authentic 1961 Hawaiian long-form birth certificate.
11. These conclusions were reinforced by input from numerous experts in the fields of typewriting, typesetting, computer-generated documentation, forensic document analysis and Adobe computer programs, as well as comparisons with numerous other birth records and expert reviews of Hawaii state law and of the regulations, policies and procedures of the Hawaii Department of Health.

12. The investigation further determined that the Hawaii Department of Health has engaged in what the Sheriff's investigators believe is a systematic effort to hide from law enforcement and the public whatever original 1961 birth records the Hawaii Department of Health may have in its possession. The Posse also accumulated evidence that the Hawaii government and its agencies had changed their policies and procedures in a manner calculated to hinder our law-enforcement investigation.
13. In furtherance of the investigation, which has now continued for more than a year, I have twice visited Hawaii within the last six months. On the first occasion, a Maricopa County Sheriff's Office Detective and I presented our credentials to the offices of the Hawaii Department of Health and requested to speak to Mr Alvin Onaka, the chief registrar of births, a simulacrum of whose signature-stamp had appeared on the electronic document on the White House website. We had hoped to ask Mr Onaka if he would verify the authenticity of the White House released document and to verify the legitimacy of the registrar's stamp bearing his signature. Additionally we hoped to ask him to allow us, for law-enforcement reasons, to inspect the original document and, in due course, to subject it to forensic examination.
14. However, when we presented our credentials at the front desk of the Hawaii Department of Health, much to our amazement we were informed that Mr Onaka does not speak to the public. We explained that we were there on official business. Nevertheless, we were not permitted access to Mr Onaka.
15. At our insistence we did have an opportunity to speak with Deputy Attorney General Jill Nagamine. During our meeting Ms. Nagamine refused to verify the authenticity of the PDF file released by the White House. As a matter of fact Ms. Nagamine would not provide us with any confirmation that the document was created by the Hawaii Department of Health.
16. Ms. Nagamine accused us of trying to get a verification of a birth record without legal authority to do so, even though the document has been offered for public view via the World Wide Web. She constantly evaded answering every question about the legitimacy of the document by hiding behind State statutes.
17. We also visited the Kapi'olani Hospital, which the document on the White House website identifies as the place of Mr Obama's birth. We had discovered as a result of our enquiries that the hospital, at the relevant time, had maintained a separate record-keeping system by which all births at the hospital were recorded. This document is stored in the hospital archives. These archives are accessible to the public by hospital

permission. We asked to see the hospital's birth records for 1961 but were less than politely refused. At no time did Kapi'olani Hospital ever confirm that Mr Obama was in fact born in the hospital. Nor did they confirm that they were in possession of his birth records.

18. Having regard to the elaborate non-cooperation we received from the State of Hawaii, and upon close examination of the evidence, it is my investigational opinion, shared by the Sheriff, that forgery and fraud have been committed in key identity records, including President Obama's long-form birth certificate; his computerized short-form birth abstract; his Selective Service Registration card.
19. The Cold Case Posse has also noted that Mr Obama's first Executive Order, issued on his first full day as President, was to seal all of his own past records from public scrutiny. Documentation that is not available for Mr Obama includes not only his original birth records but also his baptism records, his adoption records, his kindergarten records, his Punahou school records, his Occidental College records, his Columbia University records, his Columbia University thesis, his Harvard Law School records, his Harvard Law Review articles, his scholarly articles from the University of Chicago, his passports, his medical records, his files from his years as an Illinois State Senator, and his Illinois State Bar Association records.
20. The then Republican Governor, Linda Lingle, stated during an interview on New York's WABC radio in 2008 that in an attempt to quell the Birth Certificate issue she had the Birth Certificate inspected by the state's director of health, Chiyome Fukino.
21. Lingle is quoted "So I had my health director, who is a physician by background, go personally view the birth certificate in the birth records of the Department of Health, and we issued a news release at that time saying that the president was, in fact, born at Kapi'olani Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. And that's just a fact and yet people continue to call up and e-mail and want to make it an issue and I think it's again a horrible distraction for the country by those people who continue this."
22. On October 31, 2008, Dr. Chiyome Fukino released the following statement: "There have been numerous requests for Sen. Barack Hussein Obama's official birth certificate. State law (Hawai'i Revised Statutes §338-18) prohibits the release of a certified birth certificate to persons who do not have a tangible interest in the vital record. Therefore, I as Director of Health for the State of Hawai'i, along with the Registrar of Vital Statistics, who has statutory authority to oversee and maintain these type of vital records, have personally seen and verified that the Hawai'i State Department of Health has Sen. Obama's original birth certificate on record

in accordance with state policies and procedures. No state official, including Governor Linda Lingle, has ever instructed that this vital record be handled in a manner different from any other vital record in the possession of the State of Hawai'i."

23. Months later, in July 2009, she added another comment: "I, Dr. Chiyome Fukino, Director of the Hawaii State Department of Health, have seen the original vital records maintained on file by the Hawaii State Department of Health verifying Barack Hussein Obama was born in Hawaii and is a natural-born American. I have nothing further to add to this statement or my original statement issued in October 2008 over eight months ago."

Significantly, Fukino changes the wording from viewing Sen. Obama's "original birth certificate" to having "seen the original vital records maintained on file by the Hawaii State Department of Health, verifying Barack Hussein Obama was born in Hawaii and is a natural-born American".

24. The Governor of Hawaii, Mr Neil Abercrombie, has said that he was present when Mr. Obama was born. Later, however, he retracted that statement and acknowledged that he did not see Obama's parents with their new born son at any hospital, although he said he remembers seeing Obama as a child with his parents at social events. There is no evidence to support that claim. No doctor or nurse who attended his birth has come forward to say so.
25. Abercrombie told the Honolulu Star Advertiser he was searching within the Hawaii Department of Health to find definitive vital records that would prove Obama was born in Hawaii, because the continuing eligibility controversy could hurt the president's chances of re-election in 2012.
26. Abercrombie said the birth certificate issue would have "political implications" for the presidential election "that we simply cannot have."
27. Abercrombie did not report to the newspaper that he or the Hawaii Department of Health had found Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate. The governor only suggested his investigations to date had identified an unspecified listing or notation of Obama's birth that someone had made in the state archives.
28. Abercrombie did not say to the newspaper that he or the Hawaii Department of Health had found Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate. Nor did he say to the newspaper he had personally seen any birth record for Obama. The governor only suggested his investigations to date had identified an unspecified listing or notation of Obama's birth that someone had made in the state archives.

29. "It was actually written, I am told, this is what our investigation is showing, it actually exists in the archives, written down," Abercrombie said.
30. Conceivably, the yet-undisclosed birth record in the state archives that Abercrombie said had been discovered may have come from the grandparents registering Obama's birth, an event that would automatically have triggered both the newspaper birth announcements and availability of a Certification of Live Birth, even if no long-form birth certificate existed.
31. Our investigation has revealed that in 1961, as Hawaiian law then stood, Obama's grandparents, Stanley and Madelyn Dunham, could lawfully have made an in-person report of a Hawaiian birth even if the infant Barack Obama Jr. had been foreign-born.
32. The newspaper announcements of Mr Obama's birth do not prove he was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian. They might then have paid for the announcement themselves.
33. However, we have learned that it was not uncommon for local newspapers to publish birth announcement paid for by individuals reporting the birth in the local paper, even if the child was born elsewhere. If so, the registration of an out-of-country birth as Hawaiian would have been reported in the same way as the registration of an in-country birth. Neither of the two advertisements states that Obama was born in a particular hospital. Both give very limited information.
34. To date the purported undisclosed birth record in the state archives that Abercrombie has claimed to have discovered and has described as being "actually written" has never been made public. Being located in the state archives, this document should be available for inspection by the general public without restraint.
35. From Abercrombie's admission, it is legitimate to infer that this record, if it indeed exists, was not in the possession of the Hawaii Department of Health, which may have had no record of the in-country birth of Mr Obama either in hard copy form, such as long form birth certificate, preserved in its vault as described by Dr. Chiyome Fukino. If such a document had existed, Abercrombie would have had it within minutes of his request.

36. Mr Obama's long-form birth certificate would have been preserved in a hard-covered bound volume along with the other long form birth certificates of that period. This record would have been easily accessible to the Department of Health upon the Governor's request, had it existed.
37. Dr. Chiyome Fukino also gave an interview to CNN on April 26, 2011, in which she stated that she simply went into the vault and inspected Obama's original Birth Certificate. Inferentially, it should have been that easy for Governor Abercrombie to locate it as well.
38. This circumstance also suggests that the birth record of Mr Obama was not at that time recorded in the Department of Health's computerized database that has been in use since 2001.
39. In March 2012, Sheriff Arpaio held a Press Conference during which he and I presented an outline of those aspects of the investigation that would not compromise the safety of witnesses or the integrity and future course of the investigation. At that time, we had concluded that there was probable cause that forgery and fraud had been committed in respect of four documents: the long-form or original birth certificate for Mr Obama, which contained multiple errors and anomalies, many of them serious; the short-form computer-generated abstract of Mr Obama's birth record that the Democratic Party had published in 2007, which was printed using a form of words not current at the relevant date; the selective-service document for Mr Obama, which contained a two-digit year-stamp contrary to specifications written by the Department of Defence to the effect that the year of issue should be expressed as four digits on the stamp, and contrary to any other selective-service registration document that we have been able to examine; and we are aware that the social security number, which has a prefix that at the date of issue was unique to Connecticut even though Mr Obama has never resided in that State.
40. In an attempt to verify whether Mr Obama and his mother had arrived in the United States at or around the alleged date of his birth, we contacted the National Archives to obtain microfilms of the I-94 immigration landing records for the year 1961. All such records were and are available for the entire year 1961, except for those on the alleged date of Mr Obama's birth (August 4), three days before that date, and three days after that date. The Archivist and his staff did not tell us how the missing records had come to be lost, and offered no hope that they would ever come to light.
41. After three months of further investigation, the Sheriff held a second press conference to announce, with my support, that it was no longer a question of probable cause: it was now certain that the document on the White



House website was a forgery. The Sheriff also announced that the investigation would continue: and it has continued ever since.

42. The purpose of holding press conferences was and is to notify the public that an investigation is in progress, with the aim of obtaining additional information that might be helpful to the investigators in reaching the truth. As a result of both press conferences, additional material of this kind became available to us.
43. Our investigation concludes that President Obama's long-form birth certificate is a computer-generated document; that it was manufactured piecemeal and electronically; and that it did not originate as a copy of a true paper record from a bound volume, as claimed by the White House and by the Governor of Hawaii and by the director of the Health Department, cited in a press release issued April 27, 2011, by the Governor to coincide with the publication of the document on the White House website.
44. Most importantly, the "registrar's stamp" in the computer-generated document released by the White House and posted on the White House website may have been imported from another unknown source document. The fact that the stamp cannot have been placed on the document pursuant to state and federal laws is one of many indications that the document is a forgery and, therefore, that it cannot be relied upon as verification, legal or otherwise, of the date, place or circumstances of Mr Obama's birth.
45. The Registrar's date-stamp exhibited a similar grave anomaly, allowing it to be moved about electronically within the document – which would have been impossible if the document were the scanned and certified copy that official statements profess it to be. The Registrar's signature-stamp and date-stamp were computer-generated images that were imported into the document. They were not electronic images of actual rubber-stamp imprints inked by hand or machine on to a paper document. Accordingly, the document on the White House website is, at a minimum, misleading to the public in that it has no legal import and cannot be relied upon as a legal document carrying the full faith and credit of the State of Hawaii and verifying the date, place and other circumstances of Mr Obama's birth. A photograph of the Registrar's date-stamp is exhibited and marked "MZ2".
46. These and numerous other errors and anomalies observed after extensive forensic scrutiny of the electronic image downloaded from the White House website were inconsistent with features to be expected when a paper document is placed on the glass plate of a scanner so that it can be captured as an electro-photographic image, or when it is scanned and then

processed either to enhance the clarity of the image by optical character recognition or to reduce file-size by file-compression or optimization.

47. Furthering the investigation, I returned to Hawaii for a second time. I met Mr Duncan Sunahara, the brother of Virginia Sunahara, an infant born in Hawaii on August 4, 1961, the alleged date of Mr Obama's birth there. Ms Sunahara died the following day, August 5, after breathing difficulties. When I met Mr Sunahara he had recently applied to the Department of Health in Hawaii for a copy of his deceased sister's birth certificate. He told me the Department had gone to great lengths to deny him a copy of the original long-form birth certificate that a close relative is entitled by law to request and the Department is obliged by law to supply. The Cold Case Posse is compelled to consider the question why this little girl's 1961 long-form birth certificate was so disconcerting to the Hawaii Department of Health that it did not wish to issue a copy to Mr Sunahara upon request.
48. I obtained from Mr Sunahara a copy of proceedings in the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, State of Hawaii, in which the Deputy Attorney General, Ms Nagamine, appeared before Judge Rhonda Nishimura on March 8, 2012, to argue that Mr Sunahara was not entitled under Hawaiian statute law to see, still less obtain, a certified copy of his deceased sister's original 1961 long-form birth certificate.
49. During the proceedings, the Attorney General implied that Mr Sunahara's request arose from an underlying interest in obtaining evidence that might assist in determining whether the document on the White House website is indeed a forgery. Ms Nagamine said Mr Sunahara ought to be satisfied with a short-form extract of the birth record rather than a long-form printed image of the original copy in the bound volume for 1961 in the vaults of the Health Department.
50. Ms Nagamine also said that the entire volume of birth certificates inferentially containing not only Ms Sunahara's long-form original birth certificate but also those of twins born at about the same date had been removed to a special, secure location with very limited access. I do not know what purpose the Department of Health had in preserving these records at all, unless it was to show them upon request to family members and others – such as law enforcement – with a legitimate and statutory interest in seeing the documents.
51. Ms Nagamine said that accessing the original birth records was difficult and expensive. However, in Dr. Chiyo Fukino's interview with CNN she stated that she simply went into the vault and inspected Obama's original Birth Certificate. I am told by Mr Sunahara that he was willing to pay any reasonable fee to cover the cost. Our investigation indicates that the

Health Department's fee is not great: attorneys for Obama had paid \$10 for a certified copy and \$4 for a second certified copy. Inferentially, such small fees are an indication that the difficulty of consulting the archives and generating certified copies is not great. And I have come across further evidence that the difficulty of consulting the records is not very great: for they are normally kept in bound volumes on specially-designed shelves known to librarians as "stacks". A picture of Mr Onaka pulling out a book of birth records from 1972, just nine years after the year that is of interest to our investigation, shows how small the difficulty in consulting the records is likely to be. The photograph is marked "MZ1", annexed and signed as relative hereto.

52. The reason why law-enforcement investigators wish to examine the original long-form birth certificate of Ms Sunahara relates to the practice of the Health Department to number each birth certificate sequentially with the last two digits of the year followed by a five-digit number incremented sequentially by a date-stamp that advanced the counter by 1 after every stamping. At that time, approximately 48 births occurred every day in Hawaii, and were required to be registered. They were sequentially stamped in order of date of birth.
53. Photostat images of the long-form original birth certificates of twin daughters born to Eleanor Nordyke at Kapi'olani Hospital August 5, 1961, one day after the alleged birth of Mr Obama at the same hospital, have been drawn to the Cold Case Posse's attention. They had been published in the Honolulu Advertiser. As a result of examining these images, the Cold Case Posse has reason to suspect that the sequential number on the computer-generated short-form abstract that the Health Department released to the family is not the same as that which appears on the long-form original birth certificate that was issued for Ms Sunahara.
54. Examination of the birth certificates issued to the parents of the Nordyke twins shows that their registration numbers,, 61/10637 and 10638, preceded the number on Mr Obama's short-form and long-form certificates, which is shown as 61/10641, even though he was born a day earlier than they were. Ms Sunahara was born August 4, 1961, and her certificate was stamped by the Hawaii registrar August 8, but her number was 11080. The table summarizes the position:

| Name of child     | Date and time born | Registered | Certificate # |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|
| Barack Obama      | Aug 4 at 7:24 pm   | Aug 8      | 10641         |
| Virginia Sunahara | Aug 4 at 9:16 pm   | Aug 10     | 11080         |
| Susan Nordyke     | Aug 5 at 2:12 pm   | Aug 11     | 10637         |
| Gretchen Nordyke  | Aug 5 at 2:17 pm   | Aug 11     | 10638         |

55. Mr Obama's birth certificate was registered August 8, 1961. The Nordyke twins' birth certificate was registered August 11, 1961. Even if the sequential numbering had followed the date of registration rather than the date of birth, Mr Obama's certificate should have been automatically assigned a number lower, not higher, than the numbers allocated to the certificates of the Nordyke twins. And the number currently assigned to Ms Sunahara is entirely out of sequence.
56. One possible explanation for the out-of-sequence serial numbers might have been that several serialized piles of birth certificates were registered at different hospitals. However, Ms Verna K. Lee, an official responsible for the recording of births in Honolulu in 1961, when interviewed by a reporter for wnd.com, said that this was not the case. Ms Lee was the local registrar who apparently signed the document on the White House website (it is possible that the forgers lifted her signature from another birth certificate and inserted it electronically into the computerized compilation that is now on the White House website).
57. Ms. Lee was surprised that the numbers were out of sequence. Ms. Lee made recorded statements to a WND reporter during a phone interview. I have personally listened to those recordings. On the recorded conversation Ms. Lee said that all of the birth certificates received in a month were ordered chronologically by date and time of birth and numbered sequentially at the end of each month. The only exception – not relevant in the present case – was that birth certificates received from the islands and from one local out-station in Oahu were grouped separately, so as not to under-represent births outside Honolulu or unattended births occurring at home in the 50% statistical samples by which only even-numbered births were reported to the Federal Government as mandated by the U.S. Office of Vital Statistics.
58. Ms Lee has said that birth certificates from the hospitals in Oahu were sent directly to the central office of the Department of Health in Honolulu. The birth certificates were all numbered at the end of each month by one person. When Ms Lee was asked whether there might have been mistakes in numbering the birth certificates, she insisted that they were numbered correctly and in sequence. The long-form original certificates were inspected twice for accuracy by two different clerks and then signed by the registrar. They were kept together secured in a certain room until they were all numbered at the end of the month. They were not allowed to become out of order and they were not numbered incorrectly. (It should be noted that the Nordyke twins were born minutes apart and their respective certificate numbering was based not only on date but on time as well. This indicates the clerk scrutinized the documents prior to placing them in chronological order for proper numbering.) Based on Ms Lee's representation, I consider it highly unlikely that a birth certificate so far

out of sequence as that which now appears on Ms Sunahara's short-form birth abstract could have been accepted at a registrar's office managed by Verna K. Lee.

59. It is also possible that investigators are being misled into devoting attention to the number on Ms Sunahara's original long-form birth certificate, which may after all turn out to be different from that of Mr Obama and identical to that which appears on her short-form computer abstract. For that reason among others, investigators would like to inspect and, in due course, forensically to examine the volumes of long-form certificates for 1961, and specifically the long-form original birth certificates for Mr Obama, for Ms Sunahara, and for the Nordyke twins.
60. A possibility that the investigators are constrained to bear in mind, given the numerous other defects in the document on the White House website, is that the number on that document is not a genuine registration number assigned to his birth certificate in 1961, but was issued when the short-form document was generated during the 2008 presidential campaign. It is possible that the Health Department does not want the public to see the original 1961 birth records because forensic examination might establish that the forgers had made a mistake in assigning to the forged long-form document on the White House website a number that was out of sequence and that may (or may not) be identical with the number on the long-form original birth certificate of Ms Sunahara. This is one reason why the investigators have asked to see the original bound volumes from the stacks.
61. I am additionally concerned that the Hawaii Department of Health has not offered any testimony that the modern computerized data now used to generate the short-form abstracts have been safeguarded from numerical or other data manipulation. All that the Attorney General of Hawaii offered to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office as proof that Mr Obama was born in Hawaii was a computer-generated list of birth registrations that was contained in a ring-binder. Pages could easily be removed, added, or removed, altered and reinserted at will. There was no level of security other than closing the rings of the binder holding the pages together.
62. The investigators have obtained an affidavit from an individual who went to the Department of Health when some of the first questions were being raised about Mr Obama's birth certificate. That individual states that when he first went to the main office of the Hawaii Department of Health in Honolulu, Mr Obama's name did not appear in the computerized registration list in the ring binder, but when he went back approximately 14 days later to re-examine the same list he was surprised to see that Mr Obama's name now appeared on it.

63. Notwithstanding this affidavit, it is plausible that an original birth record for Mr Obama exists in Hawaii. Our investigation has discovered that at that time Hawaiian law contained a specific provision that permitted a Hawaiian parent of a child born anywhere in the world or any adult purporting to represent that parent, the right to register the child as Hawaiian-born. It is for this reason that two entries in the "Births" column of the local newspapers at the time do not constitute evidence that Mr Obama was born in Hawaii. They are merely evidence suggesting that a birth certificate was issued for him in Hawaii, and they tell us nothing about whether or not he was born there. In particular they do not – as the White House document purports to do – identify the hospital of birth.
64. If Mr Obama had not in fact been born in Hawaii, the long-form original birth certificate would not have stated that he had been born in a particular hospital at a particular time, and would not have borne the signatures of the attending physician and registrar. The newspaper entries would have been identical whether he had been born in Hawaii or elsewhere in the world; but the birth records would not have been identical.
65. The existence of this law permitting out-of-country births to be registered as though they were Hawaiian births is a further reason why the Sheriff wishes his forensic investigators to be given access to the original bound volumes of birth certificates for 1961, and to be permitted to carry out forensic scrutiny of the volumes and of certain individual certificates, including that of Mr Obama.
66. For these reasons, it is necessary for the investigators to bear in mind the possibility that the intention of the Hawaii Department of Health in refusing to allow Mr Sunahara to have a certified copy of the original birth certificate of his deceased sister is to conceal forgery and fraud within the Department itself.
67. The Cold Case Posse's law-enforcement investigation into Mr Obama's birth certificate continues, taking account of the additional information obtained both as a result of the Cold Case Posse's own enquiries and as a result of assistance from the public following the publication of some of our results by the Sheriff at the March and July 2012 press conferences.
68. The law-enforcement investigation by and on behalf of the Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, would be greatly assisted, and could be brought swiftly, inexpensively, and decisively to an end, if the Department of Health and the management of the Kapi'olani Hospital were willing to allow court-recognized forensic experts selected by the Sheriff of Maricopa County to inspect and forensically examine the volumes of long-form


original birth certificates for 1961 and the birth records kept by the Kapi'olani Hospital for that year. It should be noted that forensic experts are trained in the management and preservation of paper records, and would cause no damage to the records in the course of their forensic examination.

Executed this                                  day of                                  2012,  
in Maricopa County, Arizona.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Zullo

*Sworn to and subscribed before me*  
*this*                                  9<sup>th</sup> day of November                                  2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_




MZ 1



This is the photograph of Mr Onaka withdrawing a bound volume of long-form original birth certificates for 1972 from the stacks at the Department of Health in Hawaii, referred to in my affidavit in *Sunahara v. Hawaii*. The source of the photograph is an interview of Rasa Fournier with Mr Onaka, entitled *Keeping the Records Straight*, published at midweek.com, November 16, 2011.



MZ2



This is the image, referred to in my affidavit in *Sunahara v. Hawaii*, of the “long-form birth certificate” for Mr Obama, with the registrar’s signature-stamp and, separately, the registrar’s date-stamp moved from their original locations on the “certificate”, which are indicated by white ghost images towards the foot of the green security paper on to which the fabricated document had been electronically superimposed. That the registrar’s date stamp and the registrar’s text/signature stamp were both created by links to external objects imported into the Obama birth certificate was also confirmed by turning on the “Links” option in the “Window” menu in Adobe Illustrator. The inset image at top right is the list of links that appears when that option is activated. Evidence that the two registrar stamps are external objects imported into the Obama “birth document” can be seen in that the registrar’s date stamp and text/signature stamp can easily and separately be electronically moved, rotated at will, turned sideways or even upside-down, and repositioned anywhere on the document. None of several hundred software suites designed for the automated optical-character recognition, image enhancement, file compression, or optimization of an electro-photographic image of an original paper document that were studied and tested by expert consultants to the investigative team was capable of processing the electronic data representing the image in such a manner as to store the data representing either of the two stamps on a single “layer” so as to allow the stamps to be moved about at will.

  
Michael Zullo

11-9-12  
Date

## **EXHIBIT 6**

**Affidavit**

STATE OF FLORIDA )  
  )S.S.  
COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Felicito Papa, am over 18 years old and resident of 7579 Walden Road, Jacksonville, FL 32244 with FL DL #P100-245-45-082-0. I do not suffer from any mental impairment and can competently attest to the following under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am a professional web developer having graduated with a bachelor's degree in IT at ITT Technical Institute in Indianapolis, IN.
2. I have over ten years of experience of web designs and development and have often used software such as Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.
3. I downloaded from the official Whitehouse website, [www.whitehouse.gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov), April 27, 2011, the new birth certificate of Barack Obama II:  
[http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss\\_viewer/birth\\_certificate\\_long\\_form.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth_certificate_long_form.pdf)
4. I observed that the birth certificate pdf file could be opened with Adobe Illustrator and the software revealed that this document has many layers of images on it. This indicates that the document was not a true copy of the original birth certificate, but a recently created document using Adobe Illustrator.
5. I further observed that this document does not have an embossed seal normally affixed by civil registrars to attest to the authenticity of government issued documents.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

  
FELICITO PAPA

SUBSCRIBED TO AND SWORN TO before me on April 28, 2011.

  
NOTARY PUBLIC

GODFREY C WILLIS, JR.  
Notary Public, State of Florida  
My comm. exp. Jan. 24, 2014  
Comm. No. DD 955008

STATE OF HAWAII

## CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

FILE  
NUMBER 151

61 10611

|  |   |  |   |                                   |   |   |            |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------|
| 1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)   |   | 1b. Middle Name  |   | 1c. Last Name                     |   |   |            |
| BARACK   |   | HUSSEIN  |   | OBAMA, II                         |   |   |            |
| 2. Sex   | 3. This Birth   | 4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born  |   | 5a. Birth Date                    | Month   | Day   | Year       |
| Male   | Single <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Twin <input type="checkbox"/> Triplet <input type="checkbox"/> | 1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd <input type="checkbox"/> |   | August                            | 4   | 4   | 1961       |
| 5b. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location   |   |  |   |                                   |   |   | 5c. Island |
| Honolulu   |   |  |   |                                   |   |   | Oahu       |
| 6a. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address) |   |  |   |                                   | 6b. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district |   |            |
| Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital   |   |  |   |                                   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>             |   |            |
| 7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location                                  |   |  |   | 7b. Island                        | 7c. County and State or Foreign Country   |   |            |
| Honolulu   |   |  |   | Oahu                              | Honolulu, Hawaii  |   |            |
| 7d. Street Address   |   |  |   |                                   | 7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district      |   |            |
| 6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway   |   |  |   |                                   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>             |   |            |
| 7f. Mother's Mailing Address   |   |  |   |                                   | 7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?                                       |   |            |
|  |   |  |   |                                   | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>             |   |            |
| 8. Full Name of Father   |   |  | 9. Race of Father                                     |                                   | 10. Age of Father   |   |            |
| BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA   |   |  | African   |                                   | 25  |   |            |
| 11. Birthplace (Name, State or Foreign Country)  |   |  | 12a. Usual Occupation                                 |                                   | 12b. Kind of Business or Industry   |   |            |
| Kenya, East Africa   |   |  | Student   |                                   | University  |   |            |
| 13. Full Maiden Name of Mother   |   |  | 14. Race of Mother                                    |                                   | 15. Age of Mother   |   |            |
| STANLEY ANN DUNHAM   |   |  | Caucasian   |                                   | 18  |   |            |
| 16. Birthplace (Name, State or Foreign Country)  |   |  | 17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy |                                   | 17b. Date Last Worked   |   |            |
| Wichita, Kansas  |   |  | None  |                                   |   |   |            |
| I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. |   | 18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant  |   | 18b. Date of Signature            |   | Parent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>                             |            |
|  |   | <i>Barack Obama</i>  |   | 8-7-61                            |   |   |            |
| I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.           |   | 19a. Signature of Attendant  |   | 19b. Date of Signature            |   | M.D. <input type="checkbox"/> D.O. <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |            |
|  |   | <i>Walter A. ...</i>   |   | 8-8-61                            |   |   |            |
| 20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.  |   | 21. Signature of Local Registrar   |   | 22. Date Accepted by Reg. General |   |   |            |
| 8-5-61   |   | <i>Walter Lee</i>  |   | 8-5-1961                          |   |   |            |
| 23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration  |   |  |   |                                   |   |   |            |

THIS IS A TRUE COPY OF  
ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN  
THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

APR 25 2011

Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.  
STATE REGISTRAR



## **EXHIBIT 7**

RESELLERS OF  
PRODUCTION DOCUMENT SCANNERS  
WIDE-FORMAT SCANNERS  
CHECK SCANNERS  
WEB-BASED DOCUMENT IMAGING SOFTWARE  
SCANNING SOFTWARE

THE REPOSITORY™  
ARCHIVE INDEX SYSTEMS, INC.  
IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES TO EXPAND MAN'S KNOWLEDGE  
P.O. Box 40135  
BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON 98015  
(425) 643.1131; FAX (240) 384-7297  
For response to this letter: [diehold@comcast.net](mailto:diehold@comcast.net)

WEB PAGES  
[www.archiveindex.com](http://www.archiveindex.com)  
[www.wholesalecheckscanners.com](http://www.wholesalecheckscanners.com)

June 24, 2011

## Affidavit

I, Douglas B. Vogt, am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge in the following and attest under penalty of perjury that I have knowledge and expertise in documents, imaging, scanners and document imaging programs. Based on my knowledge and expertise the following is true and correct.

The reason I have issued this affidavit and notified the FBI and other government officials is because I am compelled to because of Federal Statute Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 1 Section 4: "Misprision of felony; Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both." Since I am the one who positively identified Obama's Certificate of Live Birth, presented to the American public on April 27, 2011, as a forgery it was my obligation to report it.

### My Credentials

I have a unique background that enables me to analyze this document in a competent, detailed, and extensive manner. I owned a typesetting company (Nova Typesetting) for eleven years, and thus have extensive knowledge and experience in type and form design. I have owned Archive Index Systems since 1993, a company that sells a wide selection of document scanners worldwide, and which also developed and sold document imaging software (TheRepository). Additionally, I have an extensive knowledge of how scanners function and their capabilities. I have also sold other document imaging programs, such as Laser Fiche, Liberty and Alchemy. I have sold and installed document imaging systems in city and county governments, and thus have extensive knowledge of municipal and county document imaging programs and procedures, including the design and implementation of such programs. Additionally, I have a good working knowledge of Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator. These factors will be crucial in understanding what has occurred with Obama's Certificate of Live Birth.

### What I Discovered about Obama's Certificate of Live Birth and why it is a Forgery.

What the Obama administration released is a PDF image that they are trying to pass off as a Certificate Live Birth Long Form printed on green security paper by the County Health Department in Hawaii. The form is a created forgery for the following reasons.

Figure 1. Tiff image of the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth dated August 8, 1961, presented on TV 4/27/2011.

Figure 2. Another Persons microfilmed Certificate of Live Birth dated August 11, 1961.

**1. Curved and non-curved type.** The image we are looking at was scanned in grayscale and some part in binary which cannot be on the same image. The reason I know this is because of the shadowing along the gutter (left-hand side) is produced by scanning in grayscale. It also means that the county employee, who did the original scanning of all the forms, did not take the individual pages out of the post binders. The result is that all the pages in that book display a parallax distorted image of the lines and type. They curve and drop down to the left. If you look at line 2 (see Figure 3) on the form that says *Sex* you will notice the letters drop down one pixel but the typed word *Male* does not. Also notice the line just below *Male* drops down 3 pixels.




Figure 3. Line 2 of the form. Baseline differences.

The second incident of this parallax problem is seen in line 6c *Name of Hospital or Institution* (see Figure 4). The word *Name* drops down 2 pixels, but the typed hospital name, *Kapiolani*, does not drop down at all, and again the line just below drops down 2 pixels, but not the name *Kapiolani*.






Figure 4. Line 6c at 500%. The typewriter name of the hospital does not drop down 2 pixels.

The conclusion you must come to is that the typed in form was superimposed over an existing original Certificate of Live Birth form. In fact, since I found some of the form headings scanned in as binary and grayscale, the form itself is a composite but the person who created it did not flatten the image of the blank form and save it as one file before they started placing the typewriter text on the composite form. The individual(s) who perpetrated this forgery could not evidently find a blank form in the clerks imaging database, so they were forced to clean up existing forms and overlay the typewriter type we see here. The forger was also looking for certificates with the correct stamped dates and that is why I think they used more than one original form. At first I wondered why the forger didn't just typeset the entire form from scratch and overlay the type and not have to worry about the parallax problem. Then I remembered that in the early 1960s there was no phototypesetting and this form was set in hot metal from a linotype machine. The type design I think is Times Roman but they could never replicate the exact design. They were stuck having to use existing forms that were scanned in using binary and grayscale.

**2. There is a white haloing around all the type on the form.** Figure 5 is an example of this. This effect should not appear on a scanned grayscale image. Figure 6 is a grayscale image scanned in at 240 dpi. You will notice that there is no haloing effect around the type and also the security pattern is seen through the type. Figure 7 is a color image where you can clearly see the security green color through the type and no haloing. Figure 8 shows a Black and White (binary) image of the same type. The important thing to remember is that you cannot have grayscale and binary on the same scan unless the image is a composite. That means that different components of the whole image are made up of smaller parts. Figure 9 is an enlarged version of Figure 6 showing what grayscale letters should look like compared to binary.




Figure 5. Obama's form




Figure 6. Grayscale. Figure 7. Color image.

URN. NO RECEIPT NECESSAR

SECURITY PACII  
Ventura & Sepulver  
15165 Ventura Bou  
Sherman Oaks, Ca




Figure 8. Binary image.

Figure 9. An enlarged version of Figure 6 showing grayscale type.

The next question would be: What would have caused the haloing effect? We know that all the original Certificates of Live Birth (COLB) were microfilmed because we can see the Nordyke Certificate (see Figure 2) was microfilmed. Then some time after 2004 the paper original copies, in post binder books, were scanned using a commercial document scanner with a flatbed, scanned as grayscale images. The forger was working with two types of images. He/she may have used images printed from the microfilmed copy and then scanned the printout in grayscale. At that point the forger would have to invert the image so as to have a white background, black type. Figure 10 is an example of an inverted image of Figure 2. The result would be like Figure 9 but a whiter background. The image I am working with in Figure 10 is only 94 DPI but the forger was working with much higher resolution ( $\geq 240$  dpi). At that point the forger converted the grayscale to a binary image and placed it onto the background form image. The problem was that there were still image values for the pixels around the placed type so when he/she placed the type image over the background and instructed the program to bring the type "forward" it blanked out the background image, hence the haloing effect around the type.




Figure 10, Inverted image of Figure 2.

**3. The Obama Certificate is loaded with both binary and grayscale letters** which is just another smoking gun that this form is a forgery. It appears the lines and some of the boxes were scanned using grayscale, but only some of the form headings were grayscale and sometimes it is only some letters. Figure 11 and Figure 4 give one example. You will notice that the *H* and, *al*, in Hospital, *I* in Institution, *If* and again the *h* and *l* in hospital were grayscale images, but the rest of the line is binary. The typewriter line below was scanned in as a binary image. I can also tell you for certainty

that the form type was scanned in at a lower resolution ( $\leq 200$  dpi). This is because of the size of the pixels on the letters were such that the openings on the *a* and *s* on the first line are not visible and filled in. This may also further indicate that forger took some of the type images from the microfilmed copies.




Figure 11. showing a mixture of grayscale and binary type on the same line.

Another example is found in form box 1a, his name *BARACK* for some reason the “R” is a grayscale image and the rest is binary (see Figure 13). That means the “R” was originally on the form and the rest was not until it was added.

The question again is: Why did the forger leave some grayscale type images on the form and not just erase the whole form? The answer is that he/she needed the grayscale images to re-establish the baseline of the type for the superimposed binary type. This also told me that the forger was an experienced graphic artist.



Figure 13. Another example of grayscale and binary on the same line.



Figure 14. The last “1” is grayscale, but the rest are binary.

Another example is the Certificate number itself (see Figure 14). The last “1” on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not. It also has a different baseline. This is just another example of a cut and paste job. It also means we do not know what the real Certificate number is if there even is one. There are other form boxes that display the same feature, boxes: 5b, 7e, 11, 13, 16, 18a.

**4. The Sequential Number is a fraud.** I would like you to refer back to Figures 1 and 2. You will notice that Barack Obama was supposed to have been born on Friday at 7:24 p.m. August 4, 1961 and the local registrar supposedly accepted it on Tuesday August 8, 1961 and hand stamped the Certificate number “61 10641.” Then notice that the other Certificate of Susan E. Nordyke was born on Saturday at 2:12 p.m. August 5, 1961 and another registrar date stamped it on August 11, but her Certificate number is “61 10637.” Susan Nordyke was a twin and her sister’s Certificate number is 61 10638. Keep in mind there would be only one Bates stamp machine in the office so the numbers would all be unique. There cannot be any duplicates so every Certificate has a unique serial number.

Obama's Certificate would have most likely been mailed on the following Monday, the 7<sup>th</sup> and received by the Clerk Tuesday the 8<sup>th</sup>. Susan Nordyke and her sister's Certificates looks like they were mailed sometime earlier that week and not accepted until the 11<sup>th</sup> but Susan has a Certificate four numbers less than Obama's. It is impossible to have Obama's Certificate number to be four numbers higher than a Certificate that came in three days later.

As stated in #3 the last "1" on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not (see Figure 14). You will also notice that the baseline of the last "1" is straight and level but the rest of the numbers are slanted. This is again irrefutable proof that the Certificate number is a composite of two numbers and hence a forgery. This forgery comes under a separate offense and carries with it 5-years in prison [see Appendix D: Title 19, Ch.47, Sec, 1028(d)(1)].

The facts I have shown you in #3 and 4 tell me several things about how this forgery was assembled. 1. Some person(s) in the Health Department, who had access to the document imaging program, searched the database for someone close to the actual birth date of Obama and found someone near the 4<sup>th</sup> of August, if in fact he was born on the 4<sup>th</sup> and we should not assume that at all. Obama may have chosen the 4<sup>th</sup> of August because they had a baby who died close to his date of birth. The clerk may have cross referenced the death database to find someone who had died and had a birth date close to Obama's. It has been reported that an infant girl named Virginia Sunahara was born on August 4, 1961 at Wahiawa Hospital in Wahiawa, Oahu, HI who died on August 5, 1961 at the Kapiolani Women and Children's Medical Center, due to complications. This happens to coincide with the date of birth and birthplace of the Nordyke twins. We could make two assumptions here. 1. Wahiawa Hospital customarily would have completed the COLB form and mailed it to the County Health Department; and 2. Kapiolani Medical Center would have filled out the death certificate. The other less likely scenario could be that her medical records were transferred to Kapiolani Hospital and they would produce the birth certificate and later the death certificate which was later included in the group of birth certificates that contained the Nordyke twins.

The Federal Government wanted the States to cross reference the birth and death databases so the database would have that information. The date stamps have two different colors and sizes (see #5 below) which indicates that both dates came from different Certificates. We can conclude from this that more than one person was involved in the Hawaii Department of Health in assembling the different components that were used: 1. Someone to conduct the database searches to find the right Certificates to create the fraudulent Certificate of Live Birth; and 2. Someone who signed or stamped the fraudulent certificate. I believe that after all the components were assembled they were then given to a graphic artist to actually assemble the whole thing and create the finished forgery. That graphic artist could be located anywhere. In short this was a multi-state conspiracy to defraud the United States.

**5. Two different colors in Form box 20, 22 and 17a Date Accepted by Reg. General.** What is very revealing about this box and date entry is there are two different colors on both lines where there should be no color at all. Both lines were scanned using binary mode, but I see two different colors (see Figure 15). What I think this shows us is that the person who put this fraud together was looking for a form that had the right date namely "August 8 19\_1." As you can see the only things that are printed in dark green (R=71, G=92, B=73) are "Date A" and "AUG -8 6." The rest of the type is in black. This tells me that the forger was working in color mode and what they copied from had a color value for some reason unless they put a color value on it.



Figure 15. Two different colors, dark green and black.

The same thing is found in form box 20 “Date Accepted by Local Reg.” Figure 16 again shows that the date has two different colors. The “AUG -8 196” is in dark green (R=87, G=111, B=87) and the “1” is in black. Yet again another irrefutable proof this form is a forgery. Form box 17a displays the same two color image in the word “None”. The “Non” is in dark green.



Figure 16. Another example of two colors on the same line.

**6. The official seal is not part of the Certificate of Live Birth and they used the wrong size impression of a seal.** The Hawaiian law (Section 11-1-2 Seal of the Department of Health) states:

- a) The official seal of the department of health shall be circular in shape, **two and one-fourth inches in diameter**. At the curve on the top portion there shall be the words “DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH” and at the curve on the bottom portion there shall be the words “STATE OF HAWAII.” At the curve on each side portion shall be a star. In the center of the seal shall be the Caduceus, a winged rod entwined with two serpents, which has long been recognized as a universal symbol of medicine. The Caduceus shall be encircled by an indentation, which shall separate it from the words “DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH” and “STATE OF HAWAII.”



Figure 17, Seal on Obamas Short Form




Figure 18: Nurdyke seal from 1966




Figure 19: Obama's COLB long form Apr.2011

(Figure 19 is courtesy of Kevin Powell; <http://www.pixelpatriot.blogspot.com>)

The first Certification of Live Birth Obama the candidate produced in June of 2008 was the “Short Form” of the COLB. It had the Department of Health’s seal embossed on it (see Figure 17) appearing on it about 1.8” from the bottom of the 11 inch paper. That told me the Health Department is using an electric embosser, which applies ample pressure to leave a clear visible embossment. Hand seal embossers have only 7/8” or less from the edge of the paper for a 1 3/4” seal. The Health Department seal does not appear obvious on the Obama COLB. A good embossment will distort the type and lines on a form and is clearly visible (see Figure 21). Even on the Nurdyke Certificate (see Figure 18) in spite of it being an inverted image from a microfilmed image, it is clearly seen. Figure

19 shows Obama's seal on the COLB presented on April 27, 2011, and is visible only because a color filter was used to see it, otherwise it completely disappears in the design of the security paper (see Figure 20).




Figure 20: Seal on Obama's COLB From April 27, 2011.




Figure 21: Hand stamped seal from an original COLB from 1962.

The official seal on the Obama COLB is a second or even third generation image from another form. The seal embossing did not distort the lines or type on the form and it most likely was never part of his Certificate. We cannot make out any of the type on the seal as well as the two stars and the Caduceus. The distorted background image of a seal can be created using Photoshop or Illustrator by applying it as a watermark. Yet another indication this Certificate of Live Birth is an obvious forgery.

If that wasn't enough I then investigated the size of the latent seal image on the Obama certificate and found it to measure only about 1 3/4" in diameter. The procedure I used to discover the seal measurements were as follows: With the Savannah Guthrie photo (see Figure A) of the document I was able to see the left and right hand sides of the document and knew that was 8 1/2" wide. I was then able to determine the scale of all the components on the form. I then measured the line length on the second line from the bottom. Box 20, 21 and 22 rest on. That length is 6.396" long, measured from the first bold vertical line on the left side of the form to the end of the line (see Figure 22). I then adjusted all the images I had for Certificates including non-Obama Certificates, which I had. What I found was that the alleged embossed seal on the Obama COLB were all 1 3/4" in diameter and that is not the legal seal as described by Hawaii state law, which should be 2 1/4". The administration has the wrong size seal on their certificate and that seal was supposed to be a first generation full size imprint.




Figure 22. The COLB Obama presented on 4/27/11 from the PDF file on the White House web site. The seal measured only 1-7/8" in diameter.

I then examined Certificates of other individuals that I found on the internet. Figure 23 is of a Certification short form of Patricia Decosta dated 2002 and it is also 1 3/4". As stated in the Hawaii state code, it must be 2.25" in diameter. The current Department of Health seal is not the same one they had in the 1960s. That one was 2 1/4" in diameter (see Figure 18) but the current seal is not legal—it's the wrong size and the type is not legible! You cannot make out any of the words, the stars or the Caduceus. I will be notifying the Department of Health of their gross error in the hope

they will fit it with a new legal seal. Some time after 1966 the original legal seal was “lost” or stolen because they do not wear out. Some bureaucrat ordered a replacement and was either ignorant of the law or too stupid to ask if there was a specific requirement for the seal. What mystifies me is that the Director of the Department of Health and the registrars did not spot the error and fix it. My conclusion is they just didn’t care about the law.



Figure 23. The Certification of Patricia Decosta dated 2002.

**7. The hand stamped certification from the current registrar is a forged stamped notice.**

The Department of Health has the right to produce a legal copy of the original Certificate of Live Birth for people who have the appropriate right to receive one. The Clerk in the office would search the document imaging database and retrieve the correct Certificate. The Clerk would then print out the tiff image on the green security paper. They would then take a rubber stamp that states the following: I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. Then below this notice would be the likeness of the State Registrar’s signature, in this case it was Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D. Then the clerk would stamp the date to the left of the certification. See Figure 24 for a Certificate done one month before the Obama’s April 25, 2011 Certificate. Please note that since it is a hand stamp the certificate stamp is skewed up on the right side.




Figure 24. Registrar stamp on a persons Certificate done March 2011.

Now let us look at Obama’s Certificate (see Figure 25) supposedly done on Aril 25, 2011. Notice the registrar’s rubber stamp has an error on the word “the” which reads “TXE,” but this error does not show up on the same rubber stamp used one month before. In Figure 26 you will see an enlargement of the word. You will notice that the “X” had been created by the graphic artist by filling in pixels so it appears like an “X” but it really is not. Also notice that the whole stamp is too straight on the form. The red lines drawn under two of the lines of type are aligned with the pixels. The stamp rises only two pixels over 3”. My conclusion is that the whole stamp was placed there by the graphic artist to look as straight as possible. The only problem is that no hand stamped notice like this would be placed that perfect on the page.




Figure 25. Obama's Registrar stamp with the errors on it,



Figure 26. Enlargement of the "TXE."

Other investigators have mentioned what looks like an italic "E" under the capital "A" in Alvin. In Figure 24 the same artifact does not appear. This artifact also does not show up on the Savannah Guthrie photo but does appear on all the other copies and PDFs the White House displayed. We have to assume either the artifact was already on the security image the forger used, and forgot to erase it, or it was placed there deliberately for some reason that we don't know yet.

**8. Forged signatures of the Mother and Registrar.** Forgery of a signature occurs in three ways. The old methods were someone would practice signing another's signature until they got good at it. Another was to simply trace the signature from a previously known signature. The new way is to find a signature and scan it into a computer. Then place that signature, or parts of a signature, onto the desired form or check. The signature of the mother, *Ann Dunham Obama* in Box 18a is made up of two images. The "Ann D" is in grayscale and the rest of her name is a binary image. The signature of the registrar *U"K"L Lee* is also made up of the same image types. The "K" really looks like "IL" and the *I* is a binary image and the rest of his name is grayscale. That means the *I* was added in another layer. Both errors can be seen included in Figure 27. Irrefutable proof the Obama Certificate is a forgery.

**9. Multiple layers in the PDF file from the White House.** I am not the first one to find this fact and they deserve the credit for discovering it. What they discovered is that when you open up the PDF file in Adobe Illustrator and you turn on layers, you see a long list of nine different layers that correspond to different sections of the form, including the signatures on the form. Figure 27 shows the layer that contains most of the typewriter and form text.

I discovered using just my Adobe Acrobat 8 Standard that I could also see the different components disappear when I enlarged the image to just 400% and used the "hand" tool to quickly move around the image. When I moved the image fast, the various type components would disappear from the form but the lines stayed just as I had concluded.

I also opened up the White House PDF file in WordPad so I could see the codes and headers in the file. There I discovered the evidence for the nine layers embedded in the code (see below). The big surprise I discovered was that the file was finished or created on April 27, 2011 at 12:09 pm and the copy I had downloaded from the White House web site was modified on April 28, 2011 at 9:58 am, the day after the news conference. The whole White House story that the President had his Seattle-based lawyer fly to Hawaii and pick up two signed and stamped paper Certificates of Live Birth and fly directly to Washington DC, is obviously not the document the public has been shown. In other words the whole story may not be true. I checked the cost for UPS to ship the documents next day and delivery by 8:30 am Tuesday and it was only \$84.00. I checked the cost for a lawyer to fly last minute from Seattle to Hawaii then to Washington DC it is thousands of dollars. Their story is just not believable.

The PDF file indicates the PDF "CreatorTool" was *Preview* which is an Apple product that is just like Adobe's Acrobat Standard, which is a viewer and print driver. It is not a photo and image design program. It is just the program that created the PDF file (as a print driver). The Preview program can



also read twenty-six different image and document types, that includes Adobe Illustrator and Photoshop.

Defenders of the Administration's argument that the layers were created by an OCR program (Optical Character Recognition) are also ridiculously wrong because the PDF file is not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed and additionally no text object was found within the PDF file I examined.

```
2 0 obj
<</Subtype/XML/Length 3759/Type/Metadata>>stream
<xap:CreateDate>2011-04-27T12:09:24Z</xap:CreateDate>
  <xap:CreatorTool>Preview</xap:CreatorTool>
  <xap:ModifyDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:ModifyDate>
  <xap:MetadataDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:MetadataDate>
```

The following are the header codes for the 9 layers embedded throughout the file.

```
13 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 299366/Filter/DCTDecode/BitsPerComponent 8/ColorSpace 9 0 R/Width 1652/Height 1276/Type/XObject>>stream
14 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 67980/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 1454/Height 1819/Type/XObject>>stream
15 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 5510/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 199/Height 778/Type/XObject>>stream
16 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 480/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 42/Height 274/Type/XObject>>stream
17 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 633/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 123/Height 228/Type/XObject>>stream
18 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 436/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 47/Height 216/Type/XObject>>stream
19 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 173/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 34/Height 70/Type/XObject>>stream
20 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 671/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 243/Height 217/Type/XObject>>stream
21 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 344/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 132/Height 142/Type/XObject>>stream
```

The discovery of nine layers in the PDF image didn't matter for my analysis 1 through 7 because I was able to export the image as a TIFF (18.35 MB) out of that PDF using my Adobe Standard software. So I was working from a flattened image and was able to find all that I did, in other words the layers were irrelevant to me but was just further proof that the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth is a forgery.

| STATE OF HAWAII   |                | CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH             |   | DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH |          |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
|   |                | FILE NUMBER 151                       |   | 61 1061              |          |
| 1. Child's First Name (Type or print)                       | 2. Middle Name | 3. Last Name                          |   |                      |          |
| BARACK  | MUSSEIN        | OBAMA, II                             |   |                      |          |
| 4. Sex  | 5. This Birth  | 6. If Twin or Triplet, The Child Born | 7a. Month   | 7b. Day              | 7c. Year |
| Male  | 1              | 1st                                   | August  | 4                    | 1961     |
| 8. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location             |                |                                       | 9. Island   |                      |          |
| Honolulu  |                |                                       | Oahu  |                      |          |
| 10. Hospital, Maternity & Gynecological Hospital            |                |                                       | 11. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits?                   |                      |          |
| Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital                |                |                                       | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |                      |          |
| 12. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location |                |                                       | 13. County at Date of Birth   |                      |          |
| Honolulu  |                |                                       | Honolulu, Hawaii  |                      |          |
| 14. Street Address  |                |                                       | 15. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits?                        |                      |          |
| 6085 Kalaaninole Highway                                    |                |                                       | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                      |          |
| 16. Mother's Mailing Address                                |                |                                       | 17. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?                           |                      |          |
|   |                |                                       | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                      |          |
| 18. Full Name of Father                                     |                |                                       | 19. Race of Father  |                      |          |
| BARACK MUSSEIN OBAMA  |                |                                       | African   |                      |          |
| 20. Age of Father   |                |                                       | 21. Kind of Business or Industry                                    |                      |          |
| 25 years, East Africa                                       |                |                                       | Student   |                      |          |
| 22. Full Maiden Name of Mother                              |                |                                       | 23. Race of Mother  |                      |          |
| TANLEY ANN DUNHAM   |                |                                       | Caucasian   |                      |          |
| 24. Age of Mother   |                |                                       | 25. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy                |                      |          |
| 18  |                |                                       | 8   |                      |          |
| 26. Ethnicity   |                |                                       | 27. Date of Signature   |                      |          |
| Kahiti  |                |                                       | 8-7-61  |                      |          |
| 28. Signature of Parent                                     |                |                                       | 29. Date of Signature   |                      |          |
| <i>Barack Obama</i>   |                |                                       | 8-8-61  |                      |          |
| 30. Date Accepted by Local Reg.                             |                |                                       | 31. Signature of Reg. General                                       |                      |          |
| 1   |                |                                       | 10/1  |                      |          |
| 32. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration               |                |                                       |   |                      |          |

Figure 27: The layer that contains most of the forms text and typewriter text.

I have received other White House PDF files from Graphic artists around the country. As a result I received a PDF Certificate that was put up on the White House web site no more than 10 minutes after it was uploaded. That PDF showed nine layers, no OCR (see Figure 28), Image file created at 7:50 am on the 27<sup>th</sup> (see Figure 29) and finally the PDF file created using *Preview* (the print driver) and modified on 4/27/11 at 12:09 p.m., which is similar to my file. My conclusion is that this shows the individuals in the White House were “fixing” or changing this forgery as late as 7:50 a.m., an hour before the pre-news conference.




Figure 28. Shows 9 layers and no OCR.




Figure 29. Created 7:50 AM




Figure 30. PDF modified 4/27/11 @ 12:09 am.

#### A Rebuttal to the Discovery of the Multi Layers Found in the PDF File.

To begin with the White House PDF was not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed on the image and therefore the following rebuttal and defense of Obama’s COLB is irrelevant and a very poor attempt at defending this blatant forgery.

The only rebuttal to the nine layers discovered in the PDF file released by the White House was a statement from Canadian graphic artists from Quebec by the name of Jean-Claude Tremblay on April 29. It was reported by Fox News and on their web site at:

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/>.

He tries to excuse the multi-layers as merely an artifact of an OCR (Optical Character Recognition) engine and then saved as a PDF. There are three major reasons he is wrong and I know from his statement he knows nothing about OCR engines and how they work and their file structure. First the White House PDF file is not a searchable PDF and no text object could be found in the PDF file. Second, the Obama PDF certificate was supposed to have come directly from the Health Departments office. As stated before, the records they have would have absolutely no reason to be OCRed and if they were asked to give the customer a PDF image it would be from their existing TIFF image stored in their document imaging program on the server. The program would have done no OCR processing at that time.

The third reason is the lack of OCR files in the PDF file. My qualifications on OCR programs are considerable. Our own document imaging program, TheRepository, has an OCR option from Expervision that is called TypeReader. We integrated TypeReader into our program but to do this we had to sign a non-disclosure statement with them and then we got their Took Kit and API. When an OCR program saves a file as a searchable PDF, the file contains three main files within it. The first file is an image file, usually a compressed Group4 TIFF. The second file is an ASCII text file and the last file is a matrix file that contains the X and Y coordinates of all the words in the document. The starting point for the image file and the matrix file is usually the upper left hand corner of the image measured in pixels. The text file and matrix files would never be seen as separate layers and there are certainly not nine layers. The three files would be in a PDF "wrapper" and that is all. All OCR programs work on the same principle.

**Conclusion**


The Certificate of Live Birth Obama presented on television on Aril 27, 2011 is a forgery.

In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Name of Notary: Herb Li

Title: CSM

I, Herb Li, a Notary Public of King County and the State of Washington aforesaid, hereby certify that Douglas B. Vogt personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and has been duly sworn deposes and say that the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true and correct.



Witness my hand and official seal this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011

[Signature]  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 02/11/2012

[Signature]  
Douglas B. Vogt

## **EXHIBIT 8**



# The American Typewriter

How a Young Computer Graphics Person Could Not Understand How to Use a Computer to Forge a Typewritten Document.

It's been some 30 years since we have used typewriters to produce documents. Computers have replaced the typewriter and given us great advantages in document preparation. There is no need to understand the old typewriter. Except when you need to forge a typewritten document.

A computer in the hands of a young person who can create a modern forgery is no match for the old style quirky mechanical typewriter. The forger who produced the Obama Hawaiian Long Form Health Department Birth Certificate may have thought that all typewriter typeface styles were alike. To get his letters he should have assumed that he needed only to match typewritten letters found in the old files of Hawaii birth certificates to scan ... copy and paste into his new document. Those old files should be all alike having been used to produce birth certificates in the 1961 era.

He must have understood that he needed to copy the old typewriter styles and would find them in the files.

But understanding scanners ... he also had to know that scanning a letter "t" one time and using it all over his document would be conviction assured. Because scan lines engage a letter differently every time it's done. So he scanned a bunch of old birth certificates and used a different "t" each time.

The mistake was that many of the letters in the old files were from different typewriter styles and that's something he did not realize ... resulting in many typewritten letters on his forgery that did not match each other.

I hope this helps to explain what might have happened with this document.

Paul Irej

| STATE OF HAWAII  |   | CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH  |   |   | DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH                    |   |           |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|-----------|
|  |   | FILE NUMBER <b>151</b>   |   |   | 61 10641                                |   |           |
| 1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)   |   | 1b. Middle Name  |   | 1c. Last Name   |   |   |           |
| BARACK   |   | HUSSEIN  |   | OBAMA, II   |   |   |           |
| 2. Sex   | 3. This Birth   | 4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born  |   | 5a. Birth Date  | Month                                   | Day   | Year      |
| Male   | Single <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Twin <input type="checkbox"/> Triplet <input type="checkbox"/> | 1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd <input type="checkbox"/> |   | August  | 4,                                      | 1961  | 7:24 P.M. |
| 6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location   |   |  |   |   |   | 6b. Island  |           |
| Honolulu   |   |  |   |   |   | Oahu  |           |
| 6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address) |   |  |   | 6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district |   |   |           |
| Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital   |   |  |   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>             |   |   |           |
| 7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location                                  |   |  | 7b. Island  |   | 7c. County and State or Foreign Country |   |           |
| Honolulu   |   |  | Oahu  |   | Honolulu, Hawaii                        |   |           |
| 7d. Street Address   |   |  |   | 7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district      |   |   |           |
| 6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway   |   |  |   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>             |   |   |           |
| 7f. Mother's Mailing Address   |   |  |   |   |   | 7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?                           |           |
|  |   |  |   |   |   | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |           |
| 8. Full Name of Father   |   |  | 9. Race of Father                                     |   |   |   |           |
| BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA   |   |  | African   |   |   |   |           |
| 10. Age of Father  | 11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)   |  | 12a. Usual Occupation                                 |   | 12b. Kind of Business or Industry       |   |           |
| 25   | Kenya, East Africa  |  | Student   |   | University                              |   |           |
| 13. Full Maiden Name of Mother   |   |  | 14. Race of Mother                                    |   |   |   |           |
| STANLEY ANN DUNHAM   |   |  | Caucasian   |   |   |   |           |
| 15. Age of Mother  | 16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)   |  | 17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy |   |   | 17b. Date Last Worked   |           |
| 18   | Wichita, Kansas   |  | None  |   |   |   |           |
| I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. |   | 18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant  |   |   |   | 18b. Date of Signature  |           |
|  |   | <i>Ann Dunham Obama</i>  |   |   |   | Parent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8-7-61                   |           |
| I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.           |   | 19a. Signature of Attendant  |   |   |   | 19b. Date of Signature  |           |
|  |   | <i>David A. Dunham</i>   |   |   |   | M.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8-8-61                     |           |
| 20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.  |   | 21. Signature of Local Registrar   |   |   | 22. Date Accepted by Reg. General       |   |           |
| AUG - 8 1961   |   | <i>U. Irey</i>   |   |   | AUG - 8 1961                            |   |           |
| 23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration  |   |  |   |   |   |   |           |

APR 25, 2011

I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

*Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.*  
STATE REGISTRAR


Presentation & Report by Paul Irey - Typography and Type Face Expert. Copy Courtesy of: ProtectOurLiberty.org

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II  
Male August 4, 1961 724 P  
Honolulu Oahu  
Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital  
Honolulu Oahu Honolulu, Hawaii  
6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway  
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA African  
25 Kenya, East Africa Student University  
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM Caucasian  
18 Wichita, Kansas Ncne

Every typewriter typed character is assigned a number in the order it is found in the document.

# EVIDENCE OF FORGERY

The two capital letters "A"s are from the word "BARACK" on the birth certificate found at section 8 of the form. There is only one letter between them. Why then is #144 significantly bigger than #146 if the same type-writer key struck both?




Notice the other differences seen in the same word from different locations on the birth certificate. All the letters look different. Why?



The two capital letters "R" are from the same word "BARACK" as above and the other word "BARACK" in section 1 of the form. Why then is #3 significantly shorter and wider than #145 if the same typewriter key struck both? Note also the enclosed area in #145 is smaller than the enclosed area in #3 even though #145 is taller.



The two lower case letters "s" from the word "Hospital" in section 6c and "University" in section 12b are shown to be different because of the width of the letters. The lower case "s" #88 is wider than the lower case "s" in #198 as shown with the green and purple color bars shown under the letters.




The two numbers "2" are from "7:24" in section 5b and section 10 of the form. Why then is #40 significantly wider than #168? Notice also the difference in height of #168. Can you imagine how these two typewritten letters were typed with the same typewriter?






The two lower case letters “a” from the word “Male” found in section 2 and the word “Kapiolani” found in section 6c. Notice that the first “a” #23 is not as wide as #56. Also note the differences of the shape of the enclosed areas and the serifs at the top left of both.



From Section 2  
Male  
22 23 24 25

From Section 6c  
Kapio  
55 56 57 58 59


The two lower case letters “i” from the word “University” in section 12b and “Kapiolani” in section 6c are shown to be different because of the dots over the letters. The dot in #199 is higher than that of #58 and shows more space over the letter. Also note the color bars indicating the difference in width between the letters.



From Section 12b  
ersity  
196 197 198 199 200 201

From Section 6c  
piola  
57 58 59 60 61

The two capital letters “S” are from “HUSSEIN” in section 8 and “STANLEY” in section 13 of the form. Why then is #151 significantly more narrow than #201? Notice also the serif differences indicated with the arrows showing that the serif on #151 is placed further back to the left on the “S” than as shown on #201.



From Section 8  
HUSSEI  
149 150 151 152 153 154

From Section 13  
STANLE  
201 202 203 204 205 206

The two lower case “n” letters are different in size. #62 found in section 6c is much shorter than #193 found in section 12b. This is a good place to insert a photo of a typewriter key to remind us that the impression is struck by an engraved letter that is steel and incapable of changing size.



Close up of a Typewriter Key flopped for clarity



From Section 6c  
iolani  
58 59 60 61 62 63

From Section 12b  
Univer  
192 193 194 195 196 197

Presentation & Report by Paul Irey - Typography and Type Face Expert. Copy courtesy of: ProtectOurLiberty.org

**If all the letters are from the same  
typewriter ... why don't  
they match? It appears that it  
was put together with letters from  
different sources  
and this means it's a forgery!**

\* \* \* \*

Paul Irey can be reached via email at: [paulewardirey \(at sign\) yahoo.com](mailto:paulewardirey@yahoo.com)

A full color high-res copy of this report can be viewed and downloaded at: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/59624694/>

## **EXHIBIT 9**

State of Texas            )  
                                  ) ss.  
County of Montgomery )

**AFFIDAVIT**

Jeffery Stephen Coffman, being duly sworn, on oath deposes and says:

1. I am a resident of Texas. The information contained in this affidavit is based on my personal knowledge.
2. I am a licensed Private Investigator (licensed A620963) in the State of Texas.
3. I am a retired Resident Agent in Charge/Special Agent from the Department of Homeland Security having retired after 20 years during June 2007.
4. I am a retired Chief Investigator with the United States Coast Guard Investigative Service (Reserve) having retired after 22 years during March 2010.
5. I was formerly an Investigator with the Office of the Attorney General with the State of Texas serving from August 2008 until I quit during September 2009.
6. On my own and not as an Investigator or Special Agent with any state or federal agency I looked into circumstances surrounding then U.S. Senator Barack Obama's Selective Service registration.
7. I have utilized the Selective Service's "Check A Registration" online function ([www.sss.gov](http://www.sss.gov)) numerous times to verify whether a required registration was properly completed.
8. On or about February 13, 2008, I sent an email to [information@sss.gov](mailto:information@sss.gov) asking why Senator Obama's registration didn't show up when queried on the Selective Service website ([www.sss.gov](http://www.sss.gov)).
9. On the same day (February 13, 2008) I received an email from [information@sss.gov](mailto:information@sss.gov) stating "Sir: There may be an error in his file or many other reasons why his registration cannot be confirmed on-line. However, I did confirm with our Data Management Center that he is, indeed, registered with the Selective Service System, in compliance with Federal law. Sincerely, Janice L. Hughes/SSS".
10. On September 7, 2008 I viewed a televised interview in which then Senator Obama stated that he registered for the Selective Service when he graduated from high school. I recalled that Senator Obama graduated from high school in 1979 and the Selective Service registration requirements were not reinstated until 1980.
11. I submitted a Freedom Of Information Act (FOIA) to the Selective Service System for Senator Obama's Selective Service registration. I received a copy of a Selective Service registration in the name of Barack Hussein Obama and a copy of a computer screen print out with a date of 09/09/08.
12. Reviewing these copies I noted several areas of concern. For example, I noted that on the registration copy the signature of the registrant was dated July 30, 1980, but the United States Postal cancellation stamp indicating date received was dated the previous day of July 29, 80. I also noted that the "No ID" block was checked indicating that the registrant did not present an ID when the registration




was said to have been presented to the postal clerk. The most outstanding aspect of the registration card was that the aforementioned U.S. Postal Cancellation Stamp had only a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year.

13. During my active military service with the US Army from 1973 to 1977, I served as a collateral duty as one of my unit's mail clerk. During that service I was familiar with US Postal regulations and procedures. It was my understanding that the US Postal Cancellation stamp was a four digit year and not a two digit year. I also knew that the day, month, and year of that model postal cancellation stamp are removable inserts that the postal clerk changes as appropriate. I have never seen a two digit insert for that model postal cancellation stamp.
14. Over several weeks, I researched the issue on the internet attempting to find any other incident where that model cancellation stamp had a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year. I was unable to find any other instance where that model postal cancellation stamp had a two digit year instead of the usual four digit year.
15. Using my training and experiences, I analyzed the image of the postal cancellation stamp on the copy of the registration. It is my conclusion that a four digit year insert ending in "08" was modified by cutting off the first two digits and reinserting the "08" upside down into the postal cancellation stamp to indicate a year of "80". The only four digit year ending in "08" I felt that would be reasonably available would be a "2008" year insert.
16. On the copy of the computer screen printout received under the FOIA I noticed that there was a line marked DLN and the number of "8089 708 0632". I also noted that on the copy of the Selective Service registration card there was a similar number in the upper right hand corner. This number was "0897080632". The number on the registration card appeared to be a "Bates" type print that automatically changes with each impression.
17. The differences between the DLN number on the computer screen printout and the registration card printout appears to be the addition of the digit "8". I know of no reason for the addition of the "8" in the DLN.
18. Based on my observations, research, experience and training, it is my belief that the Selective Service registration card I received under the Freedom Of Information Act request under the name of Barack Obama has been altered.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffery Stephen Coffman

Sworn to me and subscribed before me this

2<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TYLER G. WELLS  
Notary Public



## RIMS HISTORY INQUIRY SCREEN

PAGE 001 OF 002  
DATE: 09/09/08

SSS NO: 61 1125539 1 TRANS-CODE/TYPE: 110 /  
LAST NAME: OBAMA  
FIRST NAME: BARACK HUSSEIN  
CURRENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008  
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU HI 96826  
PERMANENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008  
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU 96826  
DATE OF BIRTH: 08/04/61  
SSAN: ██████████  
TELEPHONE: 808 000 0000  
DLN: 8089 708 0632 REASON:  
TRANSACTION DATE: 09/04/80 ID INDICATOR: 2  
LAST ACTION DATE: 09/04/80  
RELATED SSN: 00 0000000 0

PRESS: CLEAR ==&gt; END PF7 ==&gt; PAGE BACKWARD PF8 ==&gt; PAGE FORWARD



**SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM**  
**Registration Form**  
 READ PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT ON REVERSE  
 PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

0697050632

1 DATE OF BIRTH 08/04/61 SEX  MALE  FEMALE

4 PRINT FULL NAME  
 LAST OBAMA FIRST BARACK MIDDLE HUSSEIN

5 CURRENT MAILING ADDRESS  
 Number and Street 1617 S. BERETANIA APT. 1008 City HONOLULU State or Foreign Country HI Zip Code 96826

6 PERMANENT RESIDENCE  
 Number and Street SAME AS ABOVE City \_\_\_\_\_ State or Foreign Country \_\_\_\_\_ Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

7 CURRENT PHONE NUMBER  
 Area Code 808 Number 949 2317

8  Check here if we may give your name, address and telephone number to Armed Forces recruiters.

9 AFFIRM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE  
 Today's Date JULY 30, 1980 Signature of Registrant Barack H. Obama

Postal Date Stamp & Clerk Initials  
 ID  
 NO ID  
 OTHER

**EXHIBIT 10**



## AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA )  
  )S.S.  
COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Felicito Papa, am over 18 years old and resident of 7579 Walden Road, Jacksonville, FL 32244 with FL DL #P100-245-45-082-0. I do not suffer from any mental impairment and I competently attest to the following under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am a professional web developer having graduated with a bachelor's degree in IT from ITT Technical Institute in Indianapolis, IN. I have over ten years of experience of in web designs and development and I have often used software such as Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.
2. On April 15, 2010, the Whitehouse website, [www.whitehouse.gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov), released the 2009 Form 1040 of Income Tax Return of President Barack H. Obama:  
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/president-obama-2010-complete-return.pdf>.
3. I downloaded this 65-page pdf file on my computer. I observed that all information about the president's and the first lady's social security numbers were redacted. All blocks or spaces for social security numbers were blank, or "white-out."
4. I submit Exhibit A (attached herewith, page 43 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama. The space for his social security number is redacted or blank.
5. I submit top Exhibit B (attached herewith, page 49 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of First Lady Michelle Obama. The space for her social security number is redacted or blank.
6. Then through Adobe Illustrator software, I opened Exhibit A and B and found that these two pdf files have two layers each, not just one layer. When the top layer is turned off or dragged away, the social security numbers of both persons are revealed.
7. I submit Exhibit A1 (attached herewith) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama with his social security number revealed. The following information are revealed:

1. Barack Obama's SSN. ~~612-68-4425~~
2. Michelle Obama's SSN. ~~350-60-2302~~
3. An initial MLO on the side of Form 709
4. A 1/4 inch dark square with notation on it.
5. Preparer's SSN or PIN P00570974  
EIN 36-2700600  
Phone no. 312/372-0440

Form **709**

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

OMB No. 1545-0047

For gifts made during 1/1/10-12/31/10

**2009**

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

▶ See separate instructions.

Part 1 - General Information

|   |                                     |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 Donor's first name and middle initial<br><b>MICHELLE L.</b>   | 2 Donor's last name<br><b>OBAMA</b> | 3 Donor's social security number<br><del>XXXXXXXX-2302</del> |
| 4 Address (number, street and apartment number)<br><b>1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW</b>  |                                     | 5 Legal residence (domicile)<br><b>ILLINOIS</b>              |
| 6 City, state, and ZIP code<br><b>WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>  |                                     | 7 Citizenship (see instructions)<br><b>UNITED STATES</b>     |
| 8 If the donor died during the year, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death: _____ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>  |                                     |  |
| 9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here <input type="checkbox"/>   |                                     |  |
| 10 Enter the total number of copies filed on Schedule A. Count each person only once. <b>2</b>  |                                     |  |
| 11a Have you, the donor, previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |                                     |  |
| 11b If the answer to the "Yes" box has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |                                     |  |
| 12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts, including generation-skipping transfers, made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                                     |  |
| 13 Name of consenting spouse <b>BARACK H. OBAMA</b>   |                                     | 14 SSN <del>XXXXXXXX-4425</del>                              |
| 15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (see instructions) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |                                     |  |
| 16 If "No," check whether <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed/deceased, and give date ▶ _____  |                                     |  |
| 17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |                                     |  |
| 18 Consent of Spouse. I consent to the gifts and generation-skipping transfers made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.  |                                     |  |
| Consenting spouse's signature ▶   |                                     | Date ▶ <b>4/7/10</b>   |

Part 2 - Tax Computation

|   |    |          |
|---|----|----------|
| 1 Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11   | 1  | 0.       |
| 2 Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3  | 2  | 0.       |
| 3 Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2  | 3  | 0.       |
| 4 Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)  | 4  | 0.       |
| 5 Tax computed on amount on line 2 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)  | 5  | 0.       |
| 6 Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4  | 6  | 0.       |
| 7 Maximum unified credit (resident aliens; see instructions)  | 7  | 345,890. |
| 8 Enter the unified credit not tax allowable for gift prior periods (from Sch. B, line 1, col. D)   | 8  |          |
| 9 Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7  | 9  | 345,800. |
| 10 Enter 20% (20% of the amount allowed as a specific exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1975, and before January 1, 1977; see instructions) | 10 |          |
| 11 Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9  | 11 | 345,800. |
| 12 Unified credit. Enter the smaller of line 8 or line 11   | 12 | 0.       |
| 13 Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions)   | 13 |          |
| 14 Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13   | 14 |          |
| 15 Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 8. Do not enter less than zero   | 15 | 0.       |
| 16 Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule C, Part 3, col. H, Total)  | 16 |          |
| 17 Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16   | 17 | 0.       |
| 18 Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file   | 18 |          |
| 19 Total. Balance from line 17, enter balance due (see instructions)  | 19 | 0.       |
| 20 Total. If greater than line 19, enter amount to be refunded  | 20 |          |

Attach Check or money order here.

Sign Here  **Michelle Obama** 4/7/10  
Signature of donor Date

Paid Preparer's Use Only  
Preparer's name **WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC** Date **3/30/10**  
Firm's address **180 N LASALLE ST, STE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60601**  
Preparer's ID number **P00570974**  
Preparer's phone number **16-2700508**  
Preparer's fax number **312/372-0410**

For Disclosure, Privacy Act, and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 12 of the separate instructions for this form. 709

Form **709**

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

OMB No. 1545-0047

For gifts made during calendar year 2009

**2009**

See separate instructions

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1 Donor's first name and middle initial<br><b>BARACK H.</b>                           | 2 Donor's last name<br><b>OBAMA</b>                      | 3 Donor's social security number<br><del>001-68-4425</del> |
| 4 Address number, street, and apartment number<br><b>1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW</b> | 5 Legal residence ( domicile )<br><b>ILLINOIS</b>        |  |
| 6 City, state, and ZIP code<br><b>WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>                            | 7 Citizenship (see instructions)<br><b>UNITED STATES</b> |  |

Part 1 - General Information

|   |  |  |  |  |   |   |                            |  |  |   |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| 8   | 9  | 10   | 11a  | 11b  | 12  | 13  | 14                         | 15   | 16   | 17  |
| Was donor dead during the year? Check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death | Did you extend the time to file this Form 709? Check here <input type="checkbox"/> | Enter the total number of persons listed on Schedule A. Count each person only once <b>2</b> | Have you (as donor) previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If not, skip line 11b | If the answer to line 11b is "Yes," has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)? | Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A. | Name of consenting spouse<br><b>MICHELLE L. OBAMA</b> | 14 SSN <del>350-2362</del> | Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (See instructions.) | 15 Is "No," check whether <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed deceased, and give date | Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope. |
|   |  |  |  |  |   |   |                            |  |  |   |

8 Consent of Spouse: I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.

Consenting spouse's signature *Michelle Obama* Date **4-7-10**

|   |  |  |  |  |                                      |   |   |                                      |  |                                       |  |  |                                    |  |   |                                |  |   |   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1   | 2  | 3                                      | 4  | 5  | 6                                    | 7   | 8   | 9                                    | 10   | 11                                    | 12   | 13   | 14                                 | 15   | 16  | 17                             | 18   | 19  | 20  |
| Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11 | Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3 | Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2 | Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions) | Tax computed on amount on line 2 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions) | Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4 | Maximum unified credit (nonresident aliens; see instructions) | Enter the unified credit against tax allowable for all or part of credits (from Sch. B, line 1, col. C) | Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7 | Enter 20% (20% of the amount allowed as a special exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1976, and before January 1, 1977) (see instructions) | Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9 | Unified credit. Enter the smaller of line 6 or line 11 | Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions) | Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13 | Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 6. Do not enter less than zero | Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule D, Part 3, col. H, Total) | Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16 | Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file | If line 18 is less than line 17, enter balance due (see instructions) | If line 18 is greater than line 17, enter amount to be refunded |
|   |  |  |  |  |                                      |   |   |                                      |  |                                       |  |  |                                    |  |   |                                |  |   |   |

Sign Here  Signature of donor *Barack Obama* Date **4/7/10**

Prepared by *Wineberg Solheim Howell & Shain, PC* Date **3/30/10** Preparer's SSN **000570974**  
 130 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200 CHICAGO, IL 60601  
 Phone **312-372-0440**

LHA For Disclosure, Privacy Act, and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 12 of the separate instructions for this form. Form 709

Form **709**

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

OMB No. 1545-0047

For gifts made during calendar year 2009

**2009**

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

▶ See separate instructions.

|   |                                     |   |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 Donor's first name and middle initial<br><b>BARACK H.</b>                             | 2 Donor's last name<br><b>OBAMA</b> | 3 Donor's social security number                          |
| 4 Address, number, street, and apartment number:<br><b>1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW</b> |                                     | 5 Legal residence domicile:<br><b>ILLINOIS</b>            |
| 6 City, state, and ZIP code:<br><b>WASHINGTON, DC 20500</b>                             |                                     | 7 Citizenship (see instructions):<br><b>UNITED STATES</b> |

|  |        |    |
|--|--------|----|
| 5 If the donor died during the year, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter date of death _____   | Yes    | No |
| 9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here <input type="checkbox"/>  |        |    |
| 10 Enter the total number of gifts listed on Schedule A. Count each person only once. <b>2</b>   |        |    |
| 11a Have you, the donor, previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11b   |        | X  |
| b The answer to the "No" in 11a: Has your address changed since you last filed Form 709 (or 709-A)?  |        | X  |
| 12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties: Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers) made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A. |        | X  |
| 13 Name of consenting spouse: <b>MICHELLE L. OBAMA</b>   | 14 SSN |    |
| 15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (See instructions.)  |        | X  |
| 16 If "No," check whether: <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> divorced or <input type="checkbox"/> widowed/deceased, and give date ▶  |        |    |
| 17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.   |        | X  |
| 18 Consent of Spouse: I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.  |        |    |

Consenting spouse's signature ▶ *Michelle Obama* Date ▶ **4-7-10**

|  |    |          |
|--|----|----------|
| 1 Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11  | 1  | 0.       |
| 2 Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3   | 2  | 0.       |
| 3 Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2   | 3  | 0.       |
| 4 Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)   | 4  | 0.       |
| 5 Tax computed on amount on line 2 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)   | 5  | 0.       |
| 6 Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4   | 6  | 0.       |
| 7 Maximum unified credit (nonresident aliens, see instructions)  | 7  | 345,800. |
| 8 Enter the unified credit against tax allowable for all prior periods (from Sch. B, line 1, (b) (1))  | 8  |          |
| 9 Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7   | 9  | 345,800. |
| 10 Enter 20% (.20) of the amount allowed as a specific exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1976, and before January 1, 1977 (see instructions) | 10 |          |
| 11 Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9   | 11 | 345,800. |
| 12 Unified credit. Enter the amount of line 6 or line 11   | 12 | 0.       |
| 13 Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions)  | 13 |          |
| 14 Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13  | 14 |          |
| 15 Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 6. Do not enter less than zero  | 15 | 0.       |
| 16 Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule C, Part 3, col. H, Total)   | 16 |          |
| 17 Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16  | 17 | 0.       |
| 18 Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file  | 18 |          |
| 19 Enter gifts less than line 17. Enter balance due (see instructions)   | 19 | 0.       |
| 20 If "Yes," enter "Y." If "No," enter amount to be refunded   | 20 |          |

Sign Here  Signature of donor *Barack Obama* Date **4/7/10**

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer of your return?  Yes  No

Prepared by **WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC** Date **3/30/10**

Preparer's Use Only **180 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200 CHICAGO, IL 60601**

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

For gifts made during calendar year 2009

See separate instructions.

**2009**

Part 1 - General Information

1 Donor's first name and middle initial: **MICHELLE L.**

2 Donor's last name: **OBAMA**

3 Donor's social security number: \_\_\_\_\_

4 Address (number, street, and apartment number): **1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW**

5 Legal residence (domicile): **ILLINOIS**

6 City, state, and ZIP code: **WASHINGTON, DC 20500**

7 Citizenship (see instructions): **UNITED STATES**

8 If the donor died during the year, check here  and enter date of death: \_\_\_\_\_

9 If you extended the time to file this Form 709, check here  Yes  No

10 Enter the total number of gifts listed on Schedule B. Count each person only once. **2**

11 Have you or the donor previously filed a Form 709 (or 709-A) for any other year? If "No," skip line 11a.  Yes  No

12 Gifts by husband or wife to third parties. Do you consent to have the gifts (including generation-skipping transfers made by you and by your spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of you? (See instructions.) If the answer is "Yes," the following information must be furnished and your spouse must sign the consent shown below. If the answer is "No," skip lines 13-18 and go to Schedule A.

13 Name of consenting spouse: **BARACK H. OBAMA**


14 SSN: \_\_\_\_\_

15 Were you married to one another during the entire calendar year? (see instructions)  Yes  No

16 If "No," check whether:  married  divorced or  widowed/deceased, and give date: \_\_\_\_\_

17 Will a gift tax return for this year be filed by your spouse? If "Yes," mail both returns in the same envelope.  Yes  No

18 Consent of Spouse: I consent to have the gifts (and generation-skipping transfers) made by me and by my spouse to third parties during the calendar year considered as made one-half by each of us. We are both aware of the joint and several liability for tax created by the execution of this consent.


Consenting spouse's signature:  Date: **4/7/10**

Part 2 - Tax Computation

|    |   |    |          |
|----|---|----|----------|
| 1  | Enter the amount from Schedule A, Part 4, line 11   | 1  | 0.       |
| 2  | Enter the amount from Schedule B, line 3  | 2  | 0.       |
| 3  | Total taxable gifts. Add lines 1 and 2  | 3  | 0.       |
| 4  | Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)  | 4  | 0.       |
| 5  | Tax computed on amount on line 3 (see Table for Computing Gift Tax in separate instructions)  | 5  | 0.       |
| 6  | Balance. Subtract line 5 from line 4  | 6  | 0.       |
| 7  | Maximum unified credit (nonresident aliens; see instructions)   | 7  | 0.       |
| 8  | Enter the unified credit against tax allowable for all prior periods (from Sch. B, line 1, col. C)  | 8  | 345,890. |
| 9  | Balance. Subtract line 8 from line 7  | 9  | 345,800. |
| 10 | Enter 20% (20% of the amount allowed as a specific exemption for gifts made after September 8, 1978, and before January 1, 1997 (see instructions)) | 10 |          |
| 11 | Balance. Subtract line 10 from line 9   | 11 | 345,800. |
| 12 | Unified credit. Enter the smaller of line 6 or line 11  | 12 | 0.       |
| 13 | Credit for foreign gift taxes (see instructions)  | 13 | 0.       |
| 14 | Total credits. Add lines 12 and 13  | 14 |          |
| 15 | Balance. Subtract line 14 from line 11. Do not enter less than zero   | 15 | 0.       |
| 16 | Generation-skipping transfer taxes (from Schedule D, Part 3, column, Total)   | 16 | 0.       |
| 17 | Total tax. Add lines 15 and 16  | 17 | 0.       |
| 18 | Gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes prepaid with extension of time to file  | 18 | 0.       |
| 19 | If line 18 is less than line 17, enter balance due (see instructions)   | 19 | 0.       |
| 20 | If line 18 is greater than line 17, enter amount to be refunded   | 20 |          |

Sign Here

I have prepared this return, including any accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. I am not aware of any other tax liability that should be reported on this return.

Signature of donor:  Date: **4/7/10**

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown below (see instructions)?  Yes  No

Preparer's Use Only

Preparer's name: **WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC**

Address: **180 N LASALLE ST, STE 2200 CHICAGO, IL 60601**

Date: **3/30/10**

Attach check or money order here.

## **EXHIBIT 11**

Social Security Online

Business Services Online

www.socialsecurity.gov  
Navigation | Logout

BSO Main Menu | BSO Information | Contact Us | Keyboard



# Social Security Number Verification System (SSNVS)

[SSNVS Help](#)

## SSN Verification Results

Employer's EIN:  
Records Submitted: 1  
Failed: 1  
Verified Records: 0

The following table displays your submitted results. The first column indicates if the submitted record verified, failed or employee is deceased. The first five digits of the SSN will be masked for verified records and records with a verification results code of 2, 3, 4 or 6.

[Verify More SSNs](#)  
[What to do if an SSN fails to verify](#)  
[Field Office Locator](#)

- **Failed** - Data does not match Social Security Administration's records. Select [What to do if an SSN Fails to Verify](#) for more information.
- **Deceased** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records, and our records indicate that the person is deceased. For more information, please contact our general SSA information line at 1-800-772-1213 (TDD/TTY 1-800-325-0778) or your local Social Security field office. Select [Field Office Locator](#) to find the office nearest you.
- **Verified** - Data matches Social Security Administration's records.

| Results | SSN       | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name | Suffix | Date of Birth<br>MMDDYYYY | Gender<br>F/M | Verification Results |
|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Failed  | 999999999 | BARACK     | -           | OBAMA     | -      | 08041961                  | M             | <a href="#">1</a>    |

| Verification Results |                                 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Code                 | Description                     |
| 1                    | SSN not in file (never issued). |

Have a question? Call 1-800-772-6270 Mon. - Fri. 7AM to 7PM Eastern Time to speak with Employer Customer Service personnel. For TDD/TTY call 1-800-325-0778

## **EXHIBIT 12**



I, Linda Jordan am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge of the facts listed below and declare under penalty of perjury:

I used the government run E-Verify System to verify the employment eligibility for Barack H Obama (Obama) and it revealed a "Notice of Mismatch" between Obama's name, birth date and Social Security Number (SSN), compared to the information the Social Security Administration has on file.

I saw Obama's Selective Service Registration (SSR) form which was available on the web at [www.sss.gov](http://www.sss.gov) and copied the SSN Obama used on that form. I also read the reports of licensed investigators Neil Sankey, Susan Daniels and the opinion of retired senior deportation officer of the department of Homeland Security John Sampson, that the SSN Obama was using was fraudulent and/or never issued to him.

Between October 2008 and May 2011, I submitted several requests to agencies and people with the legal responsibility and authority to investigate the use of forged documents and election fraud, concerning Obama's birth records and SSN. (attachment A)

To date no one with the legal responsibility and authority has responded to any of my requests.

I read part of the testimony of Marianna LaCanfora before the Committee on Ways and Means Sub Committee on Social Security in the House of Representatives dated April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011. She explained that a SSN in conjunction with a proper identity document determine whether a person is authorized to work. LaCanfora said that the E-Verify system run by the government is a free, Internet-based system that allows employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility of their employees. The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 required all employers to verify the identity and employment eligibility of all new employees regardless of citizenship or national origin.

I considered myself to be one of the employers of the President of the United States.

On July 26, 2011, I tried to enroll in the E-Verify System but it required the employer to enter data from their employees I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form. I have been unable to locate one for Obama.

On August 17, 2011, I went back on the E-Verify website and saw that there was a "Self-Service" function that was more streamlined and easier to use when checking an employees eligibility. I entered the name Barack H Obama, birth date August 4, 1961 and SSN ~~012-345-4425~~. This data was entered correctly. The report I got back from the SSA included a "Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records". (attachment B)

Signed Linda Jordan  
Linda Jordan 4419 So. Dawson St. Seattle WA 98118 206.723.6471

In the city of Seattle Washington, County of King  
Seattle Washington King


Dated the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2011  
August 2011

Signature of the Notary B. J. Pritchett

Date Aug 17 2011



# Self Check™



## Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records

Print

Version Español

Bring this notice with you when you visit SSA.

**For SSA Field Office Staff: Do not use EV-STAR; See POMS RM 10250.000ff**

Obama Barack H

Name of the employee (Last Name, First Name, MI)

08/17/2011

Date of Mismatch

██████████-4425

Employee's Social Security Number (SSN)

2011229111431GY

Case Verification Number

### Reason for this Notice

**SSN does not match.** The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is valid, but the name and/or date of birth entered do not match SSA records.

**SSN is invalid.** The Social Security Number (SSN) entered in Self Check is not a valid number.

**SSA unable to confirm U.S. Citizenship.** Cannot confirm that the employee is eligible to work because the SSA records do not show that the SSN Holder is a U.S. Citizen.

✓ **SSA record does not verify, Other reason.** SSA found a discrepancy in the record.

**SSA unable to process data.** SSA found a discrepancy in other data in the record.

### Instructions

attachment B

8/17/2011 8:09 AM

## **EXHIBIT 13**

Affidavit of Albert Hendershot

I, Albert Hendershot am a professional debt collector, I am over 18 years old, I have personal knowledge of the facts provided herein and I will be able to competently testify in court of the facts as listed in this affidavit:

1. I personally performed a search with Merlin Information Systems and <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database which is routinely used by professional debt collectors
2. I found that both Barack Obama and Harry J. Bounel are listed as holders of the same Connecticut Social Security number ~~042-68~~-4425 and resided at the same address 5046 S. Greenwood Ave, Chicago , Illinois, Exhibit 1 attached herein is the true and correct copy of the printout from <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database. Exhibit 2 attached herein is the (FOIA) Freedom of Information Act request which was completed for numident ~~042-68~~-4425 with Harry Bounel as the name associated with said numident ~~042-68~~-4425. Exhibit 2 clearly states that the aforementioned numident belongs to Harry Bounel and not Barack H Obama as detailed in the response from the Social Security Administration dated November 2012.
3. Michelle Obama is listed as a relative of both Harrison (Harry) J. Bounel and Barack Obama.
4. <http://www.acxiom.com/identity-solutions> database shows that the last change in the record of Harry J. Bounel Social security number ~~042-68~~-4425 was performed by Michelle Obama in and around November 2009, who is listed as a relative of Harry J. Bounel.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that all of the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and informed belief.

Affiant further says naught.


Signed Albert Hendershot

Dated

Feb 4, 2013

Signature of the Notary Public

Luke Keim



People Search Results (2)

Searched: SSN: 042-68-XXXX  
Records: 1 of 2

Personal Information

BOUNEL HARRISONJ  
Aliases: HARRISONJ  
BOUNEL

Gender: U  
SSN: 042-68-XXXX  
SCORE

RELATIVES

BARACK H OBAMA  
Aliases: BARACK H  
OBAMA, BARACK H

OBAMA, BARACK  
OBAMA, BARACK

OBAMA, BARACK  
OBAMA, BARACK

DOB: 08/1961  
Gender: M  
SSN: 042-68-XXXX  
SCORE

RELATIVES

OBAMA, OBAMA BARACK  
Aliases: BARACK H  
OBAMA, BARACK H

WASHINGTON, DC 20001  
WASHINGTON, DC 20002

DOB: 08/1961  
Gender: M  
SSN: 042-68-XXXX  
SCORE

RELATIVES

CHICAGO, IL 60615  
CHICAGO, IL 60615

327 6TH ST NE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20002

11/2009 - 12/2006

11/2006 - 11/2006

11/2006 - 11/2006

11/2006 - 11/2006

09/2006 - 09/2006

09/2006 - 09/2006

10/1997 - 10/1997

Not Available

300 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

5490 S EAST VIEW PARK  
CHICAGO, IL 60615

Appt 1  
CHICAGO, IL 60615

7436 S ELDON AVE  
CHICAGO, IL 60649

388 BROADWAY  
Appt 81  
GOVERNELLE, MA 02146

Relatives Search Results (1)

Searched: BOUNEL HARRISONJ

Records: 1101 of 1

Personal Information

MICHELLE L OBAMA  
**Aliases:** BARACK H  
 OBAMA, MICHELLE E  
 OBAMA, MICHELLE L  
 ROBINSON, MICHELLE  
 OBAMA, MICHELLE  
 ROBINSON, ROBINSON  
 MICHELLE  
**DOB:** 01/1964  
**Gender:** F  
**SSN:** 15C-59-XXXX  
**Possible Relationship:**  
 Sister/Spouse  
 CS SCORE  
 RELATIVES

Cell Phone(s) Available

5046 S GREENWOOD AVE  
 CHICAGO, IL 60615  
 7436 S EUCLID AVE  
 CHICAGO, IL 60649  
 5450 S EAST VIEW PARK  
 Apt. 1  
 CHICAGO, IL 60615  
 5470 S EVERETT AVE  
 Apt. 1S  
 CHICAGO, IL 60615  
 5470 S EVERETT AVE  
 Apt. 1S  
 CHICAGO, IL 60615  
 180 N LA SALLE ST  
 Apt. 2200  
 CHICAGO, IL 60601  
 3550 S RHODES AVE  
 Apt. 1802  
 CHICAGO, IL 60653

07/2005 -  
 12/2010  
 09/2006  
 02/2004  
 09/1997

Not Available

Not Available

UNLISTED

Not Available

## **EXHIBIT14**



IN THE OFFICE OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
STATE OF GEORGIA

Farrar, Roth, Lax, Judy, MacLeran,  
Petitioner,

:  
:  
: Docket No.:  
: OSAH-1215136-60 MALIHI

v.  
Obama, Respondent.

SUBPOENA

TO: Mr. Barack Hussein Obama, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington DC 20500

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, to appear in court on behalf of  Petitioner

Respondent to be:

Sworn as a Witness

Produce the Document on the Attached List:

Any and all certified birth records, certified long form birth certificate, certified school/university registration records, certified immigration/naturalization records, certified passport records and redacted certified SS-5 applications under the names Barack (Barry) Soetoro, Barack (Barry) Soebarkah, Barack (Barry) Obama and any and all combinations of thereof and any other names used.

The court date, time and location are:

DATE: January 26

TIME: 9 am

LOCATION: Administrative Court, state of GA, Fulton County Justice Center Building, 161 Pryor Str., Courtroom G-40 Atlanta, GA30303, Hon Michael Malihi presiding

You are required to attend from day to day and from time to time until the hearing is completed or you have been released by the judge.

HEREIN FAIL NOT UNDER PENALTY OF LAW BY AUTHORITY OF THE ASSIGNED JUDGE.



Michael M. Malihi  
Deputy Chief Judge

**EXHIBIT 15**

**OFFICE OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
STATE OF GEORGIA**

DAVID FARRAR, LEAH LAX, CODY  
JUDY, THOMAS MALAREN, LAURIE  
ROTH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BARACK OBAMA,

Defendant.

:  
:  
:  
: Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-  
1215136-60-MALIHI

:  
: Counsel for Plaintiffs: Orly Taitz

:  
: Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski

---

DAVID P. WELDEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

BARACK OBAMA,

Defendant.

:  
:  
: Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-  
1215137-60-MALIHI

:  
: Counsel for Plaintiff: Van R. Irion

:  
: Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski

---

CARL SWENSSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

BARACK OBAMA,

Defendant.

:  
:  
: Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-  
1216218-60-MALIHI

:  
: Counsel for Plaintiff: J. Mark Hatfield

:  
: Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski

---

KEVIN RICHARD POWELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

BARACK OBAMA,

Defendant.

:  
:  
: Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-  
1216823-60-MALIHI

:  
: Counsel for Plaintiff: J. Mark Hatfield

:  
: Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski

## ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

On December 15, 2011, Defendant, President Barack Obama, moved for dismissal of Plaintiffs' challenge to his qualifications for office. The Court has jurisdiction to hear this contested case pursuant to Chapter 13 of Title 50, the "Georgia Administrative Procedure Act."

For the reasons indicated below, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED**.<sup>1</sup>

### I. Discussion

1.

The Georgia Election Code (the "Code") mandates that "[e]very candidate for federal and state office who is certified by the state executive committee of a political party or who files a notice of candidacy shall meet the constitutional and statutory qualifications for holding the office being sought." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-5(a).

2.

Both the Secretary of State and the electors of Georgia are granted the authority under the Code to challenge the qualifications of a candidate. The challenge procedures are defined in Code Section 21-2-5(b), which authorizes any elector who is eligible to vote for a candidate to challenge the qualifications of the candidate by filing a written complaint with the Secretary of State within two weeks after the deadline for qualifying. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-5(b).

3.

The Georgia law governing presidential preference primaries mandates that "[o]n a date set by the Secretary of State . . . the state executive committee of each party which is to conduct a presidential preference primary shall submit to the Secretary of State a list of the names of the candidates of such party to appear on the presidential preference primary ballot." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-193. On October 6, 2011, Secretary Kemp issued a notice to the chairman of each political

---

<sup>1</sup> Because Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is denied, in the interest of efficiency, the Court finds it unnecessary to wait for the Plaintiffs' responses before denying the motion.

party to notify them that the deadline for submitting the list of candidate names for the 2012 presidential preference primary was November 15, 2011. On November 1, 2011, the Executive Committee of the Democratic Party submitted President Barack Obama's name as the sole candidate for the Democratic Party. To be timely, complaints challenging a presidential candidate's qualifications in the presidential preference primary had to be filed no later than November 29, 2011. Plaintiffs, as electors eligible to vote for Defendant, timely filed challenges with the Secretary of State before the deadline of November 29, 2011.

4.

In the instant motion, Defendant contends that Georgia law does not give Plaintiffs authority to challenge a political party's nominee for president in a presidential preference primary because Code Section 21-2-5 does not apply to the presidential preference primary.

5.

Statutory provisions must be read as they are written, and this Court finds that the cases cited by Defendant are not controlling. When the Court construes a constitutional or statutory provision, the "first step . . . is to examine the plain statutory language." Morrison v. Claborn, 294 Ga. App. 508, 512 (2008). "Where the language of a statute is plain and unambiguous, judicial construction is not only unnecessary but forbidden. In the absence of words of limitation, words in a statute should be given their ordinary and everyday meaning." Six Flags Over Ga. v. Kull, 276 Ga. 210, 211 (2003) (citations and quotation marks omitted). Because there is no other "natural and reasonable construction" of the statutory language, this Court is "not authorized either to read into or to read out that which would add to or change its meaning." Blum v. Schrader, 281 Ga. 238, 240 (2006) (quotation marks omitted).

6.

Code Section 21-2-5(a) states that "*every candidate for federal and state office*" must meet the qualifications for holding that particular office, and this Court has seen no case law limiting this provision, nor found any language that contains an exception for the office of president or stating that the provision does not apply to the presidential preference primary. O.C.G.A. 21-2-5(a) (emphasis added). Although the word "candidate" is not explicitly defined in the Code, Section 21-2-193 states that the political party for the presidential preference

primary "shall submit to the Secretary of State a list of the names of the *candidates* of such party to appear on the presidential preference primary ballot." O.C.G.A. 21-2-193 (emphasis added). Accordingly, this Court finds that Defendant is a candidate for federal office.

7.

Code Sections 21-2-190 to 21-2-200 set out the procedures of the presidential preference primary and also provide no exception to the Section 21-2-5 qualification requirement. This Court finds no basis under Georgia law why the qualification requirements in Section 21-2-5 would not apply to a candidate for the office of the president in the presidential preference primary.

8.

Accordingly, this Court finds that Defendant is a candidate for federal office who has been certified by the state executive committee of a political party, and therefore must, under Code Section 21-2-5, meet the constitutional and statutory qualifications for holding the office being sought.

## II. Decision

Based on the foregoing, the motion to dismiss is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED**, this the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2012.

  
MICHAEL M. MALIHI, Judge

**EXHIBIT 16**





Plaintiffs counsel. Motion is vague and does not present any specific recognized justifiable reasons to quash the subpoena. Motion should be denied as one without merit and frivolous.

### **ARGUMENT**

1. Defendant starts by stating that the hearing would require him to interrupt his duties in order to appear for an administrative hearing in Fulton County GA. It is noteworthy, that this statement comes on the heels of his extended 17 day Hawaiian vacation, which cost U.S. taxpayers 4 million dollars. Mr. Obama has earned a dubious distinction as a Vacationer in Chief, Tourist in Chief, Partier in Chief and a Golfer in Chief due to his endless vacations, parties and rounds of golf. Considering all of the above, it is not too much to ask for Mr. Obama to show up once at a hearing and present his original identification records, which were not seen by anyone in the country yet.

2. Significance of the trial in Fulton county GA has repercussions on the nation as a whole as surely finding of this trial will affect decisions around the country, as other states will need to ascertain, whether to allow Mr. Obama on the ballot as an eligible candidate.

3. Defendant states that he "made document available to the general public by placing it on his web site". Since when is an image posted on line, on a website, represents a document, admissible in a court of law or anywhere else for that

matter? When U.S. citizens are applying for their passports or drivers licenses, do they tell the clerk at the counter to look on their website at something they drew two days ago and posted yesterday? One can post a laundry list on a website and call it a birth certificate. To get a job as a janitor at the White House or anywhere else for that matter you need to show actual documents. Unfortunately, in most states in the nation and in the federal government there is a loop hole, whereby individuals running for high offices such as Senator or President can get into such office without ever showing any documents. It is an honor system, it was presumed, that one would not dare to run for such a high office without possessing necessary documents. However, as was shown in exhibits provided with the First Amended Complaint, there is evidence of fraud and forgery in Mr. Obama's identification records, such as his alleged long form birth certificate and Social Security number, which necessitates the need for subpoena for a certified long form birth certificate to be produced by Mr. Obama as well as the original to be produced by the Director of Health of Hawaii Loretta Fuddy.

3. Motion to quash is vague and ambiguous. It is titled "Motion to Quash Subpoenas" plural, however in the body of the motion he talks about "a subpoena", singular. Mr. Obama does not represent any witnesses; his attorney does not represent any witnesses. No other party filed any motions to quash. If any of the witnesses do not want to appear at trial, it is up to them to file motions to quash. As

a matter of fact, recently retired Senior Deportation Officer with the Department of Homeland Security John Sampson and licensed investigator Susan Daniels, witnesses mentioned by Mr. Obama, stated that they want to testify to evidence of fraud in Mr. Obama's identification records, as they feel this is the most important matter of the national security. Plaintiffs' attorney has already spent thousands of dollars on airfare and hotel reservations in order to bring the witnesses to trial. Defendant did not provide any specific justification for quashing subpoenas served on other parties.

4. Mr. Obama was properly served with the subpoena in the state of Georgia, through his attorney Mr. Michael Jablonski, located at 260 Brighton road , N..E. Atlanta, GA 30309. Unless the city of Atlanta recently seceded from the state of Georgia, Mr. Obama was served in the state of Georgia, subpoena is procedurally valid and Mr. Obama needs to comply, appear at trial and produce the documents requested.

5. Mr. Obama availed himself to the jurisdiction of the state of Georgia and this court by virtue of submitting his candidacy for presidency. Being a candidate is time consuming. Mr. Obama recently embarked on a campaign bus tour across Mid West and he is flying around the country attending multiple fundraisers in order to build a cash war chest for the general election. Campaigning consists of not only meeting with large donors and seeking donations, but also of proving that

one is eligible for the position and has proper identification papers, particularly since no one saw the original documents and an alleged copy is deemed to be a forgery. It is reasonable to seek subpoena for Mr. Obama to appear at trial and provide identification papers. Most of the arguments in this motion are redundant and were brought in Defendant's motion to dismiss. It was denied. Defendant is under jurisdiction of the court and agreed to service of process in the state of Georgia through his attorney, who is located in Georgia. Service of subpoena was proper and valid.

6. Rules of the administrative court of GA state:

616-1-2-19

(1) Subpoenas may be issued which require the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of objects at depositions or hearings provided by these Rules...

616-1-2-19

(5) A subpoena may be quashed by Administrative law Judge if it appears that the subpoena is **unreasonable or oppressive or that the objects sought are irrelevant, immaterial or cumulative and unnecessary** to a party's preparation and presentation of its position or that **basic fairness dictates that subpoena should not be enforced...** (Emphasis added) **Ga. Comp. R and regulations 616-1-2-.19(5)**

Subpoena, served on Mr. Obama through his attorney is attached as Exhibit 1.

It requests any and all certified birth records, certified long form birth certificate, certified school/university registration records, certified immigration/naturalization records, certified passport records and redacted certified SS-5 application under the names Barack(Barry) Soetoro, Barrack (Barry) Soebarkah and Barack (Barry) Obama and any and all combination of thereof and any other names used.

First, let's look at the names. Plaintiffs provided the court with recently released passport records of Barack Obama's mother, Ann Dunham (Obama) Soetoro (Exhibit 2). In her passport records Barack Obama was listed under the last name Soebarkah. In south Asia it is common to create blended names. Apparently his mother and Indonesian step father blended his first name Barack and his step father's last name and created a blended last name, Soebarkah. In his school registration #203 from Assissi School in Jakarta Indonesia he is listed under the last name Soetoro. Nobody ever saw any identification documents of Barack Obama, and we do not even know if Barack Obama is his legal name. It is not unreasonable, irrelevant, immaterial, cumulative, unfair or oppressive to seek to see identification papers to ascertain, if there is a legal entity Barack Obama, to ascertain, whether a man, who seeks to be on the ballot is Barack Obama.

b. The issue of Obama's eligibility was never adjudicated on the merits. As eligibility questions were raised and polls were showing that some 70% of voters doubted his eligibility, in April of 2011 Mr. Obama posted on the internet, what he claimed to be a copy of his long form birth certificate. Affidavits by Felicito Papa (Exhibit 4), Douglas Vogt and Paul Irej attested to the fact, that according to their experience in the field of Adobe Illustrator, Typesetting and scanning, what was posted on the Internet, was not a copy of a document, but a forgery, created by cutting and pasting parts of different documents and filling in the blanks with computer graphics. Mr. Obama and White House counsel Kathy Ruemmler refused to present for examination the certified copy allegedly obtained in Hawaii. Director of Health of Hawaii refused to produce for examination the original document and nobody even knows whether the alleged 1961 original aged document even exists. If it existed, there was no reason to create a computer generated forgery. As such it is reasonable to seek from the defendant Mr. Obama to provide a valid certified copy of the long form birth certificate and to subpoena from the state of Hawaii the original document for examination. Moreover, Mr. Obama has posted his alleged birth certificate on mugs and T-shirts; he taunted the plaintiffs and their attorney. After he posted his alleged long form birth certificate on line, on mugs and T-shirts, he cannot claim privacy, department

of Health cannot claim privacy, it is not unreasonable, unfair, cumulative, oppressive, immaterial, or unnecessary to subpoena production of a certified copy of the long form birth certificate in lieu of the alleged copy made public and in light of the reports of the alleged copy being a forgery.

c. Considering the fact that in his Indonesian school records, Mr. Obama is listed as an Indonesian National, it is reasonable to subpoena his Immigration/Naturalization records and passport records. If indeed he came back from Indonesia as an Indonesian national and had to go through immigration/naturalization proceedings, he would be a naturalized citizen, not natural born and would not qualify for the position of the U.S. President, as the U.S. President is supposed to be Natural born. Similarly, it is reasonable to subpoena his school registration records, as those would reflect his legal name and citizenship. As such, immigration records, passport records and school registration records are not immaterial, unnecessary, cumulative, oppressive, unfair, unreasonable or irrelevant to be requested in the subpoena.

d. Lastly, as Mr. Obama published his tax records, he did not flatten the PDF file. His full Social Security number became available to the public, which was a Connecticut Social Security number, even though Mr. Obama was never a resident of CT and the number was never assigned to Barack Obama according to E-Verify and SSNVS. Individuals, who are natural born citizens,

have no problem obtaining a valid Social Security number from the state, where they reside. Lack of a valid Social Security number is evidence of identity fraud. As such, subpoena of a redacted SS-5 is not immaterial, irrelevant, oppressive, cumulative, unreasonable, irrelevant, unnecessary or unfair.

Based on all of the above, all the documents requested in the subpoena were properly requested and there are no grounds to quash the subpoena, seeking production of the above documents.

7. Most of the motion to quash contains irrelevant, impertinent, inflammatory and prejudicial material, which has nothing to do with the motion to quash the subpoena and was brought improperly, with the sole purpose of obfuscating the issues and prejudicing the court against the Plaintiffs and their attorney.

Defendant is bringing unrelated cases. It is, suffice to say, that not one single eligibility case was heard on the merits. Not one single judge around the nation ruled that Barack Obama is a natural born citizen. Not one single judge around the nation saw Obama's long form birth certificate, not one single judge ruled that Barack Obama has a valid long form birth certificate, not one single judge ruled that Barack Obama has a valid Social Security number. Not one single judge ruled that Barack Obama is indeed his legal name. Not one single judge ruled that the person residing in the White House is indeed



Barack Obama. All of the cases brought after the 2008 election were dismissed on technicality: due to lack of jurisdiction, lack of standing or court's desire to abstain from hearing the issue. Previous cases were adjudicated after the election and judges did not want to overturn the results of the election. Current case is different in that it is brought as a ballot challenge; it is being heard before the primary, before Mr. Obama's name is on the ballot. Defendant is bringing forward the fact that Mr. Obama was elected and confirmed in 2008. This is irrelevant. We are not in 2008. We are in 2012. Since 2008 a lot of information became available, which showed forgery and fraud in Mr. Obama's records.

An old axiom states, if you don't like the message, kill the messenger. The defense engaged in attacking the Plaintiffs' counsel. This motion is not about the Plaintiffs' counsel. This motion is about the subpoena. Were the documents properly requested in the subpoena? The defense was supposed to address each document requested and show with specificity, why there is legal basis to quash this particular document. Defendant failed to do so, as the documents requested were relevant, material, reasonable, necessary, not cumulative, not oppressive and do not violate the notion of fairness. Gratuitous attack on Plaintiffs' counsel, bringing unrelated cases and irrelevant matters in the motion to quash was unethical and sanctionable.

## CONCLUSION

The subpoena served on Defendant was proper, documents requested not irrelevant, immaterial, unreasonable, oppressive, and cumulative and did not violate the notion of fairness. As such the Motion to Quash Subpoena served on the Defendant should be denied.

Proof of service

I, Orly Taitz, ESQ attest that I served this Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena on the defendant on 01.19.2012 through his attorney at Michael.Jablonski@comcast.net

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

**EXHIBIT 17**

**OFFICE OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
STATE OF GEORGIA**

|                       |   |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| DAVID FARRAR,         | : |  |
| LEAH LAX,             | : |  |
| CODY JUDY,            | : |  |
| THOMAS MALAREN,       | : | Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-         |
| LAURIE ROTH,          | : | 1215136-60-MALIHI                        |
|                       | : |  |
| Plaintiffs,           | : | Counsel for Plaintiffs: Orly Taitz       |
|                       | : |  |
| v.                    | : | Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski |
|                       | : |  |
| BARACK OBAMA,         | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| Defendant.            | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| DAVID P. WELDEN,      | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| Plaintiff,            | : | Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-         |
|                       | : | 1215137-60-MALIHI                        |
|                       | : |  |
| v.                    | : | Counsel for Plaintiff: Van R. Irion      |
|                       | : |  |
| BARACK OBAMA,         | : | Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski |
|                       | : |  |
| Defendant.            | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| CARL SWENSSON,        | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| Plaintiff,            | : | Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-         |
|                       | : | 1216218-60-MALIHI                        |
|                       | : |  |
| v.                    | : | Counsel for Plaintiff: J. Mark Hatfield  |
|                       | : |  |
| BARACK OBAMA,         | : | Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski |
|                       | : |  |
| Defendant.            | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| KEVIN RICHARD POWELL, | : |  |
|                       | : |  |
| Plaintiff,            | : | Docket Number: OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-         |
|                       | : | 1216823-60-MALIHI                        |
|                       | : |  |
| v.                    | : | Counsel for Plaintiff: J. Mark Hatfield  |
|                       | : |  |
| BARACK OBAMA,         | : | Counsel for Defendant: Michael Jablonski |
|                       | : |  |
| Defendant.            | : |  |

## ORDER ON MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS


Defendant, President Barack Obama, a candidate seeking the Democratic nomination for the office of the President of the United States, has filed a motion to quash the subpoena compelling his attendance at the hearing on January 26, 2012.

In support of his motion, Defendant argues that "if enforced, [the subpoena] requires him to interrupt duties as President of the United States" to attend a hearing in Atlanta, Georgia. However, Defendant fails to provide any legal authority to support his motion to quash the subpoena to attend. Defendant's motion suggests that no President should be compelled to attend a Court hearing. This may be correct. But Defendant has failed to enlighten the Court with any legal authority. Specifically, Defendant has failed to cite to any legal authority evidencing why his attendance is "unreasonable or oppressive, or that the testimony... [is] irrelevant, immaterial, or cumulative and unnecessary to a party's preparation or presentation at the hearing, or that basic fairness dictates that the subpoena should not be enforced." Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 616-1-2-.19(5).

Defendant further alludes to a defect in service of the subpoena. However, the Court's rules provide for service of a subpoena upon a party, by serving the party's counsel of record. Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 616-1-2-.19(4). Thus, the argument regarding service is without merit.

Accordingly, Defendant's motion to quash is denied.

**SO ORDERED**, this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2012.



MICHAEL M. MALIHI, Judge

**EXHIBIT 18**



## The Office of Secretary of State

*Brian P. Kemp*  
SECRETARY OF STATE

January 25, 2012

**VIA REGULAR MAIL & EMAIL**

Michael Jablonski  
260 Brighton Road, NE  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
michael.jablonski@comcast.com

**RE: Georgia Presidential Preference Primary Hearings**

Dear Mr. Jablonski:

I received your letter expressing your concerns with the manner in which the Office of State Administrative Hearings ("OSAH") has handled the candidate challenges involving your client and advising me that you and your client will "suspend" participation in the administrative proceeding. While I regret that you do not feel that the proceedings are appropriate, my referral of this matter to an administrative law judge at OSAH was in keeping with Georgia law, and specifically O.C.G.A. § 21-2-5.

As you are aware, OSAH Rule 616-1-2-.17 cited in your letter only applies to parties to a hearing. As the referring agency, the Secretary of State's Office is not a party to the candidate challenge hearings scheduled for tomorrow. To the extent a request to withdraw the case referral is procedurally available, I do not believe such a request would be judicious given the hearing is set for tomorrow morning.

In following the procedures set forth in the Georgia Election Code, I expect the administrative law judge to report his findings to me after his full consideration of the evidence and law. Upon receipt of the report, I will fully and fairly review the entire record and initial decision of the administrative law judge. Anything you and your client place in the record in response to the challenge will be beneficial to my review of the initial decision; however, if you and your client choose to suspend your participation in the OSAH proceedings, please understand that you do so at your own peril.

I certainly appreciate you contacting me about your concerns, and thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Brian P. Kemp

cc: Hon. Michael Malihi (c/o Kim Beal - kbeal@osah.ga.gov)  
Van Irion, Esq. (van@libertylegalfoundation.org)  
Orly Taitz, Esq. (orly.taitz@gmail.com)

Michael Jablonski  
January 25, 2012  
Page | 2



Mark Hatfield, Esq. (mhatfield@wayxcable.com)  
Stefan Ritter, Esq. (sritter@law.ga.gov)  
Ann Brumbaugh, Esq. (abrumbaugh@law.ga.gov)



## **EXHIBIT 19**

**URGENT DEMAND FOR VERIFICATION**

On 12.12.2012 a legal action *Grinols, Odden, Judd, Noonan and MacLaren v Electoral College, President of the Senate, Congress, Barack Hussein Obama, aka Barack (Barry) Soetoro, aka Barack Barry Soebarkah, Governor of California and Secretary of State of California* was filed by several Presidential electors and minor presidential candidates. The case number is 12-cv-02997 Presiding Judge Morrison C. England Eastern District of California. U.S. Attorney Benjamin Wagner and Deputy U.S. Attorney Edward Olsen made an appearance as attorneys representing U.S. Congress, President of the Senate, Electoral College and Barack Obama. A motion for a TRO (temporary restraining order ) was filed by the plaintiffs to enjoin the certification of the electoral votes for Obama and enjoin taking of the oath of office by Obama pending adjudication on the merits of his legitimacy for the U.S. Presidency in light of the fact that he is using a Connecticut Social Security number 042-68-4425, which was never signed to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS. due to the fact that in his mother's passport he is listed under a different last name, Soebarkah, due to the fact that multiple experts and members of law enforcement found his Selective Service application and long form and short form birth certificates to be computer generated forgeries and a number of other reasons. U.S. attorneys Wagner and Olsen filed an opposition to the TRO. Their opposition means that each and every US congressman, U.S. Senator, each and every Elector; all 435 US representatives, all 100 Senators, all 538 Presidential Electors oppose adjudication on the merits of above issues and do not want to get answers to the questions essential to the U.S. National security prior to the inauguration. It came to the attention of the plaintiffs that a number of Defendants actually shared the concerns of the plaintiffs and did not know that the US attorneys represented them and opposed the motion for TRO. You are requested to fill out the questioner below and clarify whether you were aware of the facts and evidence provided with the complaint and that you authorized Wagner and Olsen to oppose the TRO.

| Questions  | Initial<br>yes | Initial<br>no   |
|--|----------------|---|
| 1. I was notified that U.S. Attorney Benjamin Wagner and Deputy Attorney General Edward Olsen(hereinafter Wagner and Olsen) are representing me in <i>Grinols et al v Electoral College et al 12-cv-02997</i> Eastern District of California, presiding Chief Judge Morrison C. England<br><br>(Attorney for Plaintiffs Orly Taitz, 29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100, Rancho Santa Margarita, Ca 92688 phone 949-683-5411, fax 949-766-7603 orly.taitz@gmail.com )   |                |  |
| 2. I authorized Wagner and Olsen to file an opposition to TRO, opposing a stay of certification and a stay of taking the oath of office by Obama pending adjudication on the merits of the evidence of forgery in the Selective Service Certificate, long form birth certificate and short form birth certificate of Barack Hussein Obama, aka Barack (Barry) Soetoro aka Barack (Barry) obama Soebarkah and evidence of fraudulent use by him of Connecticut Social Security number 042-68-4425 by Barack Hussein Obama |                |  |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 3. Wagner and Olsen forwarded to me a sworn affidavit of the retired Chief Investigator of the Special Investigations Unit of the U.S. Coast Guard and former special agent for the Department of Homeland Security Jeffrey Stephan Coffman, where Coffman states under the penalty of perjury that the alleged application for Selective Service by Barack Obama is an altered document.   | DAD |
| 4. I was given by Wagner and Olsen the TRO motion, where it is explained that a male who did not register with the Selective Service and who does not have a valid registration for the Selective Service (not an altered or forged one, but a valid one) is disqualified from holding any position within the Executive branch of the United States government pursuant to 5 USC § 3328.   | DAD |
| 5. I am aware that as part of their complaint plaintiffs provided 2009 tax returns for Barack Obama, which show him using a Connecticut Social Security number 042-68-4425, even though Obama was never a resident of Connecticut and according to E-verify and SSNVS this number was never assigned to Obama.  | DAD |
| 6. I have read sworn affidavits of Sheriff of Maricopa County Joseph Arpaio, Investigator Mike Zullo, Retired Senior Deportation officer John Sampson, licensed Investigator Susan Daniels attesting to evidence of forgery in Obama's birth certificate, Selective Service Certificate and Social Security card.   | DAD |
| 7. I viewed the video tape of the press conference by Maricopa County, AZ Sheriff Arpaio and Investigator Zullo, as well as sworn witness testimony of witnesses Susan Daniels, Linda Jordan, Douglas Vogt, Felicito Papa attesting to evidence of fraud and forgery in Obama's IDs.  | DAD |
| 8. I read the passport records of deceased Stanley Ann Dunham, the mother of Barack Obama, obtained under Freedom of Information Act, included with the complaint, showing Obama listed under the last name Soebarkah in his mother's passport records. I understand that one has to be sworn in under a correct legal name.  | DAD |
| 9. I read the sworn affidavit of the assistant clerk of the office of the Registrar of Hawaii Timothy Adams stating that it was a common knowledge in the office of the Registrar of Hawaii that there is no birth certificate for Obama in any hospital in Hawaii.   | DAD |
| 10. I reviewed the biography of Barack Obama submitted by Barack Obama to his publisher Acton-Dystel in 1991 and kept on the official web site of Acton Dystel, where Obama stated that he was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia. I understand that this biography was removed from the official web site of the publisher in 2007 when Obama decided to run for the U.S. President and needed to be a "natural born" U.S. Citizen. | DAD |

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| 11. I understand that Obama's alleged selective service certificate contains a two digit year stamp "80", while for over a hundred years all U.S. stamps have a four digit year, such as ""1980'  | [Signature] |
| 12. I understand that Obama's alleged copy of his long form birth certificate contains letters of different shapes and different sizes, which is impossible when the documents is created with a type writer.   | [Signature] |
| 13. I understand that not one single judge or jury or forensic document expert was allowed to see the original birth certificate for Obama, the original application for the Selective Service and the original application for the Connecticut Social Security number used by Barack Obama   | [Signature] |
| 14. I understand that in his School registration in Indonesia in 1967 Barack Obama is listed as a citizen of Indonesia. I understand that there is no record of him changing his citizenship after returning to the U.S. from Indonesia and relinquishing his Indonesian citizenship. I understand that even if Obama/Soetoro/Soebarkah were to change his citizenship upon his return from Indonesia, he would be naturalized and not natural born citizen as required for the position of the U.S. President according to article 2, section 1 of the U.S. Constitution                               | [Signature] |
| 15. I understand that in his school registration in Indonesia Barack Obama is listed under the last name of his step father Soetoro. I understand that there is no record of Obama ever changing his name from Soetoro to Obama   | [Signature] |
| 16. With full knowledge of above facts I authorized Wagner and Olsen to file an opposition to TRO. opposing a temporary stay of certification and a stay of taking the oath of office of the U.S. President by Barack Hussein Obama, aka Barack(Barry) Soetoro, aka Barack (Barry ) Obama Soebarkah pending adjudication on the merits of the evidence of forgery in his Selective Service Certificate, his long form birth certificate and short form birth certificate, evidence of fraudulent use of Connecticut Social Security number 042-68-4425 by Barack Hussein Obama and other related issues | [Signature] |

Signed:

[Signature]

US Representative/state

\_\_\_\_\_

US Senator/state

\_\_\_\_\_

Presidential elector/state

PRESIDENTIAL ELECTOR - STATE OF ARIZONA

Case 2:12-cv-02997-MCE-DAD Document 56-1 Filed 01/19/13 Page 6 of 12

President of the Senate Joseph Biden

---

Dated *JANUARY 9, 2013*

Please, forward the signed form to the Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Orly Taitz 29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100, Rancho Santa Margarita, Ca 92688 phone 949-683-5411, fax 949-766-7603 orly.taitz@gmail.com at your earliest convenience. Due to the great importance to the National security answers are requested to be sent immediately by fax or e-mail and followed up by sending a certified copy by mail

**EXHIBIT20**

JOHN McCAIN

ARIZONA

Case 2:12-cv-02997-MCE-DAD Document 56-1 Filed 01/19/13 Page 10 of 12

241 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0303

(202) 224-2235

2201 EAST CAMERON ROAD

SUITE 115

PHOENIX, AZ 85016

(602) 952-2410

122 NORTH CONGRESS STREET

SUITE 108

PRESCOTT, AZ 86301

(928) 445-0833

407 WEST CONGRESS STREET

SUITE 103

TUCSON, AZ 85701

(520) 670-6334

TELEPHONE FOR HEARING IMPAIRED

(602) 952-0170

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES  
COMMITTEE ON HEALTH,  
EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS  
COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY  
AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

## United States Senate

January 3, 2013

Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq.  
29839 Santa Margarita Pkwy, Ste 100  
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

Dear Orly:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your information.

Unfortunately, your situation appears to involve litigation or may require litigation under the judicial system. Members of Congress are precluded from inquiring into matters pending before the courts by provisions of the Constitution that mandate a separation of powers between the Judicial, Executive, and Legislative branches. I feel that my involvement in your present situation may be viewed as an interference in the judicial process.

Orly, I am sorry that I cannot be of assistance at this time and your correspondence is being returned to you.

Sincerely,



John McCain  
United States Senator

JM/xmk

## **EXHIBIT21**



## Newsmax

### Obama's Intelligence Adviser Involved in Security Breach

Monday, January 12, 2009 01:46 PM

Obama's top terrorism and intelligence adviser, John O. Brennan, heads a firm that was cited in March for breaching sensitive files in the State Department's passport office, according to a State Department Inspector General's report released this past July.

The security breach, first reported by the Washington Times and later confirmed by State Department spokesman Sean McCormack, involved a contract employee of Brennan's firm, The Analysis Corp., which has earned millions of dollars providing intelligence-related consulting services to federal agencies and private companies.

During a State Department briefing on March 21, 2008, McCormack confirmed that the contractor had accessed the passport files of presidential candidates Barack Obama, Hillary Rodham Clinton, and John McCain, and that the inspector general had launched an investigation.

Sources who tracked the investigation tell Newsmax that the main target of the breach was the Obama passport file, and that the contractor accessed the file in order to "cauterize" the records of potentially embarrassing information.

"They looked at the McCain and Clinton files as well to create confusion," one knowledgeable source told Newsmax. "But this was basically an attempt to cauterize the Obama file."

At the time of the breach, Brennan was working as an unpaid adviser to the Obama campaign.

"This individual's actions were taken without the knowledge or direction of anyone at The Analysis Corp. and are wholly inconsistent with our professional and ethical standards," Brennan's company said in a statement sent to reporters after the passport breach was made public.

The passport files include "personally identifiable information such as the applicant's name, gender, social security number, date and place of birth, and passport number," according to the inspector general report.

The files may contain additional information including "original copies of the associated documents," the report added. Such documents include birth certificates, naturalization certificates, or oaths of allegiance for U.S.-born persons who adopted the citizenship of a foreign country as minors.

The Obama campaign acknowledged at its "Fight the Smears" Web site that Obama was a foreign national until the age of 18, by virtue of his father's British then Kenyan citizenship.

"Since Sen. Obama has neither renounced his U.S. citizenship nor sworn an oath of allegiance to Kenya, his Kenyan citizenship automatically expired on Aug. 4, 1982," the Web site stated.

"Fight the Smears" attempted to debunk rumors that Obama was not a U.S. citizen by producing a 2007 computer-generated copy of his certification of live birth.

"The truth is, Barack Obama was born in the state of Hawaii in 1961, a native citizen of the United States of America," the Web site states.

However, "native citizen" is a colloquialism, not a legal term. It is not the same as "natural-born citizen," the requirement to be president set out in Article 2, Section 1 of the Constitution.

Chief Justice John Roberts has scheduled a Supreme Court conference on Jan. 23 on *Lightfoot v. Bowen*, one of several cases alleging that Obama is not a "natural born" citizen because of his birthright British citizenship.

The State Department chalked up the passport file snooping discovered in March 2008 to "imprudent curiosity" by contract employees hired to help process passport applications.

Asked by reporters during a campaign stop in Portland, Ore., to comment on the breach, Obama said that attempts to "tap into people's personal records" were "a problem not just for me but for how our government functions.

"I expect a full and thorough investigation. It should be done in conjunction with those congressional committees that have oversight so it's not simply an internal matter," he added.

Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice phoned Obama and personally apologized for the breach. "I told him that I myself would be very disturbed if I learned that somebody had looked into my passport file," Rice told reporters. She phoned Clinton and McCain and offered similar apologies.

Following the breach, State Department managers met with Senate Foreign Relations Committee Chairman Joseph Biden, whose committee has oversight over the Foreign Service and the passport office. Biden will be sworn in as Obama's vice president on Jan. 20.

The State Department Office of Inspector General (OIG) issued a 104-page report on the breach last July. Although it is stamped "Sensitive but Unclassified," the report was heavily redacted in the version released to the public, with page after page blacked out entirely.

The problems posed by the breach were so serious that the inspector general recommended that the State Department conduct "vulnerability and risk assessments of all passport systems" to identify security weaknesses and suggest "a timetable for implementing corrective actions."

Acting Assistant secretary for administration William H. Moser sent a six-page reply concurring with the recommendation, all but one paragraph of which was blacked out because of the sensitivity of the information it contained.

Had Brennan been appointed CIA director, as rumored in the Obama campaign shortly after the election, senators also would have questioned him about an article he wrote in an obscure foreign policy magazine over the summer.

The article, entitled "The Conundrum of Iran: Strengthening Moderates without Acquiescing to Belligerence," appeared in the July issue of "The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science."

Among other recommendations, it argued that the next U.S. administration should grant political legitimacy to the terrorist organizations Hezbollah and Hamas, and should exercise "strategic patience" with Iran rather than engaging in "bellicose" rhetoric and coercive diplomacy.

© 2013 Newsmax. All rights reserved.

This is WND printer-friendly version of the article which follows.  
To view this item online, visit <http://www.wnd.com/2013/01/did-cia-pick-sanitize-obamas-passport-records/>

---

WND EXCLUSIVE

## DID CIA PICK SANITIZE OBAMA'S PASSPORT RECORDS?

Employee breached files when eligibility issue during 2008 campaign

Published: 01/08/2013 at 8:04 PM



by **JEROME R. CORSI** [Email](#) | [Archive](#)

Jerome R. Corsi, a Harvard Ph.D., is a WND senior staff reporter. He has authored many Times best-sellers "The Obama Nation" and "Unfit for Command." Corsi's latest book is "Certificate?"

---

*EDITOR'S NOTE: John Brennan's involvement in the breach of Obama's passport records was previously reported in the introduction to Jerome R. Corsi's "Where's the Birth Certificate? The Case That Barack Obama is Not Eligible To Be President."*

NEW YORK – John Brennan, the Obama counter-terrorism adviser nominated this week to head the CIA, played a controversial role in what many suspect was an effort to sanitize Obama's passport records.

On March 21, 2008, amid Obama's first presidential campaign, two unnamed contract employees for the State Department were fired and a third was disciplined for breaching the passport file of Democratic presidential candidate and then-Sen. Barack Obama.

Breaking the story, [the Washington Times on March 20, 2008](#), noted that all three had used their authorized computer network access to look up and read Obama's records within the State Department consular affairs section that "possesses and stores passport information."

*TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS FANTASTIC DEAL!! Purchase the hardcover of "Where's the Birth Certificate?" and receive the updated e-book version of "Where's the REAL Birth Certificate?" ABSOLUTELY FREE!*

Contacted by the newspaper, State Department spokesman Sean McCormack attributed the violations to non-political motivations, stressing that the three individuals involved "did not appear to be seeking information on behalf of any political candidate or party."

"As far as we can tell, in each of the three cases, it was imprudent curiosity," McCormack told the Washington Times.

The spokesman did not disclose exactly how the State Department came to that conclusion.

By the next day, the story had changed.

[The New York Times reported March 21, 2008](#), that the security breach had involved unauthorized searches of the passport records not just of Sen. Obama but also of then-presidential contenders Sens. John McCain and Hillary Clinton.

Again, the New York Times attributed the breaches to "garden-variety snooping by idle employees" that was "not politically motivated."

Like the Washington Times, the New York Times gave no explanation to back up its assertion that the breaches were attributable to non-political malfeasance.

Still, the New York Times report indicated then-Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice had spent Friday morning calling all three presidential candidates and that she had told Obama that she was sorry for the violation.

"I told him that I myself would be very disturbed if I learned that somebody had looked into my passport file," Rice said.

The newspaper quoted Obama as saying he appreciated the apology but that he expected the passport situation "to be investigated diligently and openly."

According to the New York Times report, Obama's tone of concern was obvious.

"One of the things that the American people count on in their interactions with any level of government is that if they have to disclose personal information, that is going to stay personal and stay private," Obama told reporters. "And when you have not just one, but a series of attempts to tap into people's personal records, that's a problem, not just for me, but for how our government is functioning."

The New York Times noted that the files examined likely contained sensitive personal information, including Social Security numbers, addresses and dates of birth, as well as passport applications and other biographical information that would pertain to U.S. citizenship. Only at the end of the article did the New York Times note that State Department spokesman McCormack had emphasized the most egregious violation appeared to have been made against Obama.

Obama was the only one of the three presidential candidates involved who had his passport file breached on three separate occasions. The first occurred Jan. 9, 2008, followed by separate violations Feb. 21 and March 14, 2008. Moreover, all three of the offending employees had breached Obama's files, while each of the passport files of McCain and Clinton had been breached only once.

### **The Brennan connection**

The New York Times noted the two offending State Department contract employees who were fired had worked for Stanley Inc., a company based in Arlington, Va., while the reprimanded worker continued to be employed by the Analysis Corporation of McLean, Va.

The newspaper gave no background on either corporation, other than to note that Stanley Inc. did "computer work for the government."

At that time, Stanley Inc. was a 3,500-person technology firm that had just won a \$570-million contract to provide computer-related passport services to the State Department.

Analysis Corporation was headed by Brennan, a former CIA agent who was then serving as an adviser on intelligence and foreign policy to Sen. Obama's presidential campaign.

After Obama's inauguration, Brennan joined the White House as assistant to the president and deputy national security adviser for homeland security and counter-terrorism.

By [March 22, 2008](#), the Washington Times reported that the State Department investigation had focused on the contract worker for the Analysis Corporation, because he was the only one of the three involved in breaching the passport records of both Obama and McCain, the two presidential candidates whose eligibility as "natural born" citizens under Article 2, Section 1 of the Constitution were in question.

Keeping with the theme that the motive for the passport breach was attributable to mischief, the three State Department contract employees received relatively light penalties for their offenses – two were fired and one was reprimanded.

Although at the time the State Department promised a full-scale investigation, the public was kept in the dark.

In July 2008, the State Department's Office of Inspector General issued a 104-page investigative report on the passport breach incidents, stamped "Sensitive But Unclassified." The report was so heavily redacted, it was virtually useless to the public. Scores of passages were blacked out entirely, including one sequence of 29 consecutive pages that were each obliterated by a solid black box that made it impossible even to determine paragraph structures.

Investigative reporter [Kenneth Timmerman](#) said a well-placed but unnamed source told him that the real point of the passport breach incidents was to cauterize the Obama file, removing from it any information that could prove damaging to his eligibility to be president.

According to the theory, the breaches of McCain's and Clinton's files were done for misdirection purposes, to create confusion and to suggest the motives of the perpetrators were attributable entirely to innocent curiosity.

### **Another thief enters the case**

Within a few days, a new witness surfaced unexpectedly, providing evidence that breaching passport files was an offense being perpetrated by State Department officials on a massive and everyday basis.

The case centered on Lieutenant Quarles Harris Jr., age 24. Harris, who spelled his name differently than the officer rank, was a petty drug dealer and identity-theft criminal who never served in the military or in any police or fire department.

On March 25, 2008, at approximately 9:30 p.m., Officer William A. Smith Jr. of the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department's Narcotics Special Investigation Division stopped an oncoming vehicle that had tinted windows he believed were in violation of the D.C. Tinted Window Act of 1994.

After stopping the vehicle, Smith found the driver, Harris, and his female passenger had been smoking marijuana. Harris had stuffed in his jacket pocket a large clear zip-lock bag containing 13 smaller clear zip-lock bags, each filled with marijuana.

The [affidavit of criminal complaint](#) filed by Smith with the U.S. District Court specified that in the search of the vehicle, the officers found 19 different credit cards with names different from Harris and his female passenger. Also discovered were eight State Department passport applications, also in names different from Harris and his female passenger.

The officers further discovered four of the names on the passport applications matched the names on the credit cards. A check with American Express while Harris was still on the scene of the traffic stop indicated that some of the American Express cards in his possession, but not in his name, had recently been used and that American Express had placed a "fraud alert" on the cards.

Smith brought Harris to the D.C. Metropolitan Police Sixth District, where agents of the U.S. Secret Service, the State Department and the U.S. Postal Service questioned him. Harris' involvement in passport application theft clearly made him no ordinary petty criminal.

According to the criminal complaint filed by Smith, Harris admitted under questioning that he obtained the passport information from an unnamed co-conspirator working at the State Department. The complaint said the passport applications were used to obtain credit cards in the names of the passport applicants.

Another unnamed co-conspirator working at the U.S. Postal Service intercepted the issued credit cards before they were delivered to the residences of the persons named on the cards.

What was clear from Harris' statements was that breaching passport records at the State Department had developed into major criminal activity conducted on a continuing basis by State Department employees with access to the State Department's Passport Information Electronic Records System, commonly known by the acronym PIERS.

What also was clear was that Harris had information related to the State Department employees who had breached Obama's passport records and that he was cooperating with government officials.

Despite the objection of the prosecutors, the judge at his arraignment released Harris the next day on personal recognizance. He was ordered to return to court for a hearing in June 2008.

### **Key witness murdered**

However, Harris did not live to attend the court hearing.

On April 18, 2008, he was found murdered in Washington, D.C., by a single bullet to the head in what appeared to be a drive-by shooting.

The [Washington Times reported April 19, 2008](#), that a "key witness in a federal probe into passport information stolen from the State Department was fatally shot in front of a District church" at close range, around 11 p.m., in the 2800 block of 12th Street NE, according to the Metropolitan Police Department.

Harris, who the Washington Times described as "cooperating with federal investigators," was found slumped dead at the steering wheel of his car in front of the Judah House Praise Baptist Church in the northeast section of D.C., according to Commander Michael Anzallo, the head of the Metropolitan Police Department's Criminal Investigations Division.

A police officer patrolling the neighborhood at the time of Harris' death heard gunshots and ran to the scene, only to find Harris dead inside his car. The Metropolitan Police admitted a "shot spotter" device had been used to locate Harris in the shooting, although police officials declined to say whether his death was a direct result of his cooperation with federal investigators.

There is no evidence that today links Harris' crimes or murder with the breach of Obama's passport records by State Department contract employees.

At first glance, Harris could be dismissed as a foot-soldier selling marijuana and peddling credit cards fraudulently obtained via passport-related identity theft.

Yet there is more to the story than petty criminal activity. Obviously, Harris got himself in way over his head when he decided to work with the State Department officials accessing PIERS to obtain passport records without authorization.

Equally obvious was that by being willing to cooperate with police, Harris risked becoming a threat to his accomplices and co-conspirators within the State Department.

ABC news affiliate WJLA-TV in Washington, D.C., reported Cleopatria Harris, the mother of Lieutenant Quarles Harris, believed her son was murdered to keep him from cooperating with the federal investigation into the passport-record breach. She told the TV station her son was in court three days before his murder.

"He felt like he was going to do jail time. He was willing to do jail time," she said, indicating that she believed news reports that her son had been arrested and was cooperating with the police were the reason he was killed. "Yes I do, think it had a hell of a lot to do with it. [The story] made my son appear to be a snitch."

Similarly, the [Washington Post reported](#) Cleopatria Harris was "absolutely sure" her son was killed because of his involvement in the passport-credit card scam.

Harris' mother refused to believe her son's murder was an act of violence unrelated to the passport scheme. Instead, she contended he was killed because he was an important witness regarding a State Department breach of passport records.

To date, the D.C. Metropolitan Police have no suspects in the still unsolved murder of Lieutenant Quarles Harris Jr. Nor has the State Department ever revealed publicly what was discovered in the breach of Obama's passport records. The three individuals involved in the breach have never come forward in public to tell what they found.

### **Obama discloses trip to Pakistan**

But this is not the end of the story.

Two weeks after the report that Obama's passport records had been breached, candidate Obama made the surprising disclosure at a private fundraiser April 7, 2008, that he had traveled to Pakistan during his college years.

Jake Tapper, then senior White House correspondent for ABC News, commented that Obama's disclosure that he had taken a college trip to Pakistan was "news to most of us." Tapper said "it was odd we hadn't heard about it before, given all the talk of Pakistan during this campaign."

Tapper reported that, according to the Obama campaign, Obama visited Pakistan in 1981, the year he transferred from Occidental College to Columbia University, and that he had visited his mother and sister Maya in Indonesia on the same trip.

Why was Obama disclosing now, for the first time, that he had traveled to Pakistan with his roommates from Occidental College?

Did Obama use an Indonesian passport to travel to Indonesia and Pakistan in 1981, and was he concerned the breach of his passport records might end up disclosing such information, if true?

The attempt to preempt such a disclosure might explain the timing of Obama's decision to suddenly reveal, at least to the friends assembled for the fundraiser, the previously undisclosed trip to Indonesia and Pakistan.

"I traveled to Pakistan when I was in college," Obama is heard saying on the poor-quality audiotape that survives from the San Francisco fundraiser. "I knew what Sunni and Shia was [sic] before I joined the Senate Foreign Relations Committee."

© Copyright 1997-2013. All Rights Reserved. WND.com.



## EXHIBIT 22

1 LAWRENCE G. BROWN #144569  
Acting United States Attorney  
2 YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL #66194  
Assistant U. S. Attorney  
3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, California 95814  
4 Telephone: (916) 554-2760  
5 Attorneys for Nonparty Witness  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT  
6 OF JUSTICE

ORLY TAITZ #223433  
26302 La Paz  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691  
Telephone: (949) 683-5411  
GARY G. KREEP #066482  
932 "D" St., Ste. 2  
Ramona, CA 92065  
Telephone: (760) 787-9907  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

10 ALAN KEYES, Ambassador, et al.,  
11 Plaintiffs,  
12 v.  
13 DEBRA BOWEN, California Secretary  
14 of State, et al.,  
15 Defendants.

NO. 34-2008-80000096-CU-WM-GDS  
**STIPULATION CONVERTING  
SUBPOENA INTO TOUHY  
DEMAND**

17 Plaintiffs and the nonparty witness United States Department of Justice ("USDOJ")  
18 hereby recite as follows:

19 1. On February 10, 2009, plaintiffs served a Deposition Subpoena for Production of  
20 Business Records, issued January 19, 2009, upon USDOJ.

21 2. By letter dated February 18, 2009, counsel for USDOJ proposed that plaintiffs and  
22 USDOJ treat plaintiffs' subpoena as an informational demand under the administrative  
23 procedure set out in 28 C.F.R. Part 16 (USDOJ's regulation under *United States ex rel. Touhy*  
24 *v. Ragen* (1951) 340 U.S. 462, or "Touhy Regulation") instead of the subpoena.

25 3. Plaintiffs now are following the administrative procedure of the Touhy Regulation  
26 by formulating an affidavit of the scope of their document request and the relevancy of the  
27 requested documents, to be submitted to the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of  
28 California for decision.

1 Accordingly, plaintiffs and USDOJ hereby stipulate that they will treat the subpoena  
2 issued January 19, 2009, and directed to USDOJ, as an informational demand under the  
3 Touhy Regulation instead of treating it as a subpoena.

4 Dated: February 25, 2009

LAW OFFICE OF DR. ORLY TAITZ  
LAW OFFICE OF GARY G. KREEP

6  
7 By: 

DR. ORLY TAITZ  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

9  
10 Dated: February 18, 2009

LAWRENCE G. BROWN  
Acting United States Attorney

11  
12  
13 By: 

YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL  
Assistant U. S. Attorney  
Attorneys for nonparty USDOJ

1  
2  
3 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

4 The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United  
5 States Attorney for the Eastern District of California and is a person of such age and  
6 discretion to be competent to serve papers.

7 That on **March 5, 2009**, she served a copy of:

8 **STIPULATION CONVERTING SUBPOENA INTO TOUHY DEMAND**

9 by placing said copy in an envelope addressed to the persons hereinafter named, at the places  
10 and addresses shown below, which are the last known addresses, and mailing said envelope  
11 and contents in the U.S. Mail in Sacramento, California. Where so indicated, the paper was  
12 served additionally by facsimile transmission.

13 Addressees:

14 Orly Taitz, Esquire  
15 26302 La Paz, Ste. 211  
16 Mission Viejo, CA 92691  
17 *Additionally by facsimile to 949-586-2082*

18 Gary G. Kreep, Esquire  
19 932 D St., Ste. 2  
20 Ramona, CA 92065  
21 *Additionally by facsimile to 760-788-6414*

22 Peter A. Krause, Esquire  
23 Office of the Attorney General  
24 1300 I St., Ste. 125  
25 Sacramento, CA 95814  
26 *Additionally by facsimile to 916-324-8835*

27 Michael J. Strumwasser, Esquire  
28 Aimee Dudovitz, Esquire  
Strumwasser & Woocher LLP  
10940 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 2000  
Los Angeles, CA 90024  
*Additionally by facsimile to 310-319-0156*

Robert F. Bauer, Esquire  
607 Fourteenth St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
*Additionally by facsimile to 202-434-1690*

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000



PAMELA BEAUVAIS

Your payment is complete, and your request has been sent to the court. Click [here](#) to print this receipt.

Transaction ID: 09-49736-123

Payment Date: 02/27/2013

Amount: \$450.00

Fee Type: New Case With Fee Agency/Immigration

**You can click the *Close Window* button and log out of CM/ECF.**

Close Window