

**IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES**

NOONAN, JUDD, MACLERAN, TAITZ V BOWEN

**APPLICATION FOR STAY/PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS
TO STAY CERTIFICATION OF THE RESULTS OF 2012 CALIFORNIA
GENERAL ELECTION FOR THE U.S. PRESIDENT AND FOR THE U.S.
SENATE**

SUBMITTED TO CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN ROBERTS

DR ORLY TAITZ ESQ
COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS
29839 SANTA MARGARITA STE 100
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688
PH. 949-683-5411 FAX 949-766-7603
ORLY.TAITZ@GMAIL.COM

Questions for the court

1. Can a foreign national be allowed to usurp the position of the U.S. President and Commander in Chief by virtue of fraud and by using forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number
2. Do judges and bureaucrats commit high treason, when they cover up forgery and fraud in IDs of a foreign national Barack Hussein Obama usurping the U.S. Presidency?
3. Does usurpation of the U.S. presidency by a foreign national with forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number means occupation and suspension of the U.S. laws and deprivation of civil rights of the U.S. citizens? If U.S. laws are suspended, which laws are we following?
4. Are US citizens required to follow any U.S. laws, such as paying taxes and risking lives in the military, when the U.S. presidency is usurped by a foreign national with forged IDs and a stolen SSN and high ranking officials and judges are committing treason by covering it up?

5. How do the U.S. citizens know that high ranked judges of the Supreme Court of CA and the Supreme Court of the U.S. actually made any rulings on their cases, or even read one single word of the pleadings, when the clerks and court employees routinely lose documents, manipulate the dockets, misrepresent the rules of the court and type the names of the judges on the rulings, while there are no actual signatures of judges anywhere?

6. Are U.S. citizens justified in revolting against the regime, where the position of the U.S. President and commander in chief is usurped by a foreign national using forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number and corrupt and treasonous governmental officials are committing treason and covering it up?

AFFIRMATION OF JURISDICTION

Applicants respectfully submit the petition to this Honorable court pursuant to 28 USC §1257 after the judgment of the case in the Supreme Court of California in Noonan et al v Bowen S207078 was entered on November 6, 2012.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Case at hand was filed in the Supreme Court of California under the original jurisdiction.

PARTIES

Edward Noonan, Hereinafter "Noonan", winner of the California American Independent Party Primary for the U.S. President. Edward Noonan's Certificate of nomination as the American Independent party candidate for the U.S. President is attached as exhibit 1. Noonan resides at 1213 11th Ave, Olivehurst, CA 95961.

Keith Judd, Hereinafter "Judd" -Democratic Party candidate for the U.S. President, a runner up in the Democratic party primary in West Virginia, received 40% of the vote, more than any other Democratic party challenger to Obama, would be a Democratic party nominee, if it is found that Obama was not eligible due to elections fraud and use of forged IDs.

Thomas Gregory MacLeran, (Hereinafter "MacLeran") Candidate for the US President, registered with the FEC, would be affected if it is found by the court that Obama as not a legitimate candidate for the U.S. President due to elections fraud committed by him and due to his use of forged IDs. In case a stay is issued and a new election is scheduled, MacLeran's rights will be affected.

Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ, Hereinafter "Taitz", Candidate for the U.S. Senate in the state of California in the primary election

Deborah Bowen-Secretary of State of California

LEGAL BASIS

1. CAN A FOREIGN NATIONAL BE ALLOWED TO USURP THE POSITION OF THE U.S. PRESIDENT AND COMMANDER IN CHIEF BY VIRTUE OF FRAUD AND BY USING FORGED IDS AND A STOLEN SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

Plaintiffs assert that the Supreme court of California erred and abused its' judicial discretion in denying Plaintiff's application for STAY of certification of elections due to massive fraud. Supreme Court of California responded with one word "denied" without any explanation, why did they deny the application, why did they de fact commit treason against the United States of America by covering up the fact that Barack Hussein

Obama is using a forged birth certificate, forged Selective Service certificate and a fraudulently obtained Social security number. Supreme Court of California never provided any explanation, why did they cover up one and a half million invalid voter registrations in California

The subject matter of the case is as follows:

Plaintiffs are seeking an emergency stay of the certification of the upcoming November 6, 2012 election for the U.S. President and for the U.S. Senate for two reasons:

1. Plaintiffs have uncovered one and a half million invalid voter registrations in the state of California
- 2 Evidence shows that one of the leading candidates for the U.S. Presidency, Barack Obama, is not qualified for office, as he is a citizen of Indonesia and is using a forged birth certificate, forged Selective Service Certificate and a Social Security number which was never assigned to him as a proof of his identity and eligibility to the U.S. Presidency.
3. Supreme Court of California has original jurisdiction in Mandamus pursuant to article 6 section 10 of the California constitution, and will exercise that jurisdiction in appropriate cases when the issues presented are of great public importance and must be resolved promptly” (County of Noonan v Bowen application for stay of the certification of ca election due to Fraud and Forgery 6

Sacramento v Hickman (1967)66 Cal 2d 841, 845 (59 Cal Rptr. 609. 428 P. 2d 593), Mooney v Pickett (1971)4Cal 3d 669 675 (94 Cal Pptr.279.483 P2d 1231). If this criteria is satisfied, the existence of a alternative appellate remedy will not preclude this court's original jurisdiction. (Cal civil writs (cont Ed Bar 1970)5.39p91; see action v Henderson 91957) 150 Cal App. 2d1 7 (309 P 2d m 4811).

4. The issue of one and a half million invalid voter registrations in the voter rolls of California represent an issue of great public importance. According to California elections statute 2150 in order for a voter registration to be valid, a perspective voter had to provide information in some eight areas, such as name, address, birth date, state, where he was born, evidence of prior voter registration and so on. Plaintiff Taitz requested from the Secretary of State Bowen an official DVD of voter rolls. She forwarded this DVD to several computer analysts. Plaintiffs are attaching as an exhibit verified affidavit of a Computer engineer David Yun, who analyzed the voter rolls and found over one and a half million invalid voter registrations in California, in violation of elections statute 2150. Mr. Yun found 756,213 records without a birth place, 685, 739 records where instead of a required name of the state of birth, U. S. or U.S.A was entered, 141,861 possibly duplicate records, 130,019 records

with birth date over 100, 757 records without a birth date, 898 records without a first name. (Exhibit 11,12) Additionally, Exhibit 19 shows e-mails from Orange County and Los Angeles County, where employees of the Registrar's office admit to falsification of voter data by entering date 1900, when there is no date or entering USA or US when the name of the state of birth is missing. Moreover, it was reported that the number of registered voters in California went up by over a million since the last Presidential election in 2008 and most of the increase came from on line registration. The problem with this, is that nobody checks voter IDs, when one registers on line, Secretary of State Bowen issued directives, where attendants at precincts are not allowed to check identifications at the precincts, can lead to even more voter fraud, whereby the one and a half million invalid voter registrations might be only a tip of the iceberg. At any rate, one and a half million invalid voter registrations are more than three times the margin of victory between Taitz and Elizabeth Emken, who advanced into the general election over Taitz. For this reason alone there has to be a stay and purging out of the voter rolls all of the invalid records in order to ascertain validity of election results.

5. An issue of legitimacy to the U.S. Presidency of Barack Obama, citizen of Indonesia usurping the U.S. Presidency with the aid of forged IDs and a

stolen Social Security number, which was never assigned to him, is the number one issue in the nation in terms of its' importance.

6. According to Article 1, section 2 of the U.S. Constitution U.S. President is supposed to be a natural born U.S. citizen
7. Millions of U.S. citizens wrote to their elected officials and Secretaries of State seeking confirmation of Obama's eligibility.

On April 27, 2011 just 4 days prior to scheduled hearing in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals of a challenge Keyes, Barnett et al v Obama 09-56827 and 10-55084, brought by under signed counsel, Obama released, what he claimed a copy of his original birth certificate. Within hours there was a mountain of evidence to show that the alleged copy of the birth certificate was a computer generated forgery, not a document created with a typewriter in 1961. Evidence will be discussed later.

8. In the last four years hundreds of desperate U.S. citizens filed legal actions in state and federal courts challenging Obama's legitimacy for the U.S. Presidency. As of now there was a systemic and egregious denial of 7th amendment right to a jury trial on this issue of paramount importance to the nation. There was a systemic jury nullification. Judges presiding in Obama's eligibility challenges routinely dismissed the cases based on technicalities. As of today **not one single judge** in the nation of 314

million people saw any original Identification papers for Obama. Obama's original birth certificate is sealed, original application for Selective Service was never found and supposedly destroyed, his mother's passport records prior to 1965 are claimed to be destroyed, immigration records for August 1961 are missing from National Archives, his college records that could show citizenship in application and registration are sealed, Student Clearing House shows him only 9 months in Columbia instead of claimed 2 years, E-verify and SSNVS show that he is using in his tax returns a Connecticut Social Security number xxx-xx-2225 which was never assigned to him.

9. After four years and hundreds of legal actions not one single judge or jury in the nation has seen the original application to the Social Security by Obama and ruled that he has a valid Social security number, not one single judge or jury saw an original birth certificate for Obama and ruled it to be genuine. The level of lawlessness and corruption in the highest echelons of power in relation to Obama's forged IDs far exceeded the Watergate by far.

10. Evidence of fraud and forgery in Obama's records is as follows:

11. Barack Hussein Obama (Hereinafter Obama) never provided any valid documentary evidence of his natural born status, which is required for one

to be a candidate for the U.S. Presidency according to the Article 2, section 1 of the U.S. Constitution.

12. Obama placed his candidacy on the ballot claiming to be a natural-born citizen based on forged identification papers. Exhibit 8 **Affidavit of Ronald J. Polland PhD states "With my experience and specialization in digital and film imaging, my findings are conclusive, as outlined in exhibit "1", that the PDF image submitted to the public by its posting on the White House website is a fabricated forgery created with the intention to defraud and disenfranchise the American People into believing that Barack Obama was a legal U.S. citizen and a fully qualified candidate for President."**

13. A natural born citizen would be expected to have valid U.S. identification papers, such as a valid long form birth certificate and a valid Social Security number, lawfully obtained by presenting a valid birth certificate to the Social Security Administration and which can be verified through official U.S. Social Security verification services, such as E-Verify and SSNVS.

14. The most glaring evidence of Obama's lack of natural born status and legitimacy for the US Presidency, is Obama's lack of most basic valid identification papers, such as a valid Social Security Number ("SSN") and

his use of a fraudulently obtained Social Security Number from the state of Connecticut, a state where he never resided, and which was never assigned to him according in part to SSN verification systems “E-Verify” and SSNVS. **(Exhibit 7, 10 to Affidavit of elections challenge-affidavit of Linda Jordan and printout from E-Verify and SSNVS, showing that Connecticut Social Security used by Obama, was never assigned to him)**

15. Reports from licensed investigator Susan Daniels (“Daniels”) show that for most of his life Obama used a Connecticut Social Security Number xxx-xx-4425 issued in 1977, even though he was never a resident of the State of Connecticut. In 1977 Social Security numbers were assigned according to the state where the Social Security applications were submitted. The first three digits of the Social Security number assigned prior to 2011 signified a state, where an individual applied for his SSN and where it was issued. Obama is using a SSN starting with 042, which signifies the state of CT. In 1977 Obama was nowhere near Connecticut, but rather a young student at the Punahoa school in Hawaii, where he resided. **(Exhibit 15, Sworn Affidavit of Susan Daniels, attesting to the fact that Obama is fraudulently using a Connecticut Social Security number, which was never assigned to him)**

16. Additionally, according to the review performed by licensed investigators Sankey and Daniels, and as publicly available, national databases revealed another birth date associated with this number, a birth date of 1890. In or around 1976-77, due to changes in the Social Security Administration, many elderly individuals who never had Social Security numbers before, had to apply for their Social Security numbers for the first time in order to obtain Social Security Benefits. It appears that the number in question was assigned to an elderly individual in Connecticut around March of 1977. The death of this elderly individual was never reported, and from around 1980 this number was fraudulently assumed by Barack Obama. (*See Exhibit 15 attached hereto, Affidavit of Susan Daniels.*)

17. Senior Deportation Officer from the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”), Mr. John Sampson (“Sampson”) provided an affidavit attesting to the fact that indeed, according to national databases, Obama is using a Connecticut SSN even though there is no reasonable justification or explanation for such use by one who resided in Hawaii in and around the time the Social Security number in question was issued. (*See Declaration of elections challenge, Exhibit 9, Affidavit of senior Deportation officer John Sampson, attesting to fraud in Obama's SSN*)

18. In 2010 Obama posted online on WhiteHouse.gov his 2009 tax returns.

He originally did not “flatten” the PDF file thereof, so all the layers of modification of the file became visible to the public. One of the pages contained Obama’s full SSN xxx-xx-4425. Taitz received an affidavit from Adobe Illustrator program expert Mr. Felicito Papa (“Papa”) attesting to the fact that the tax returns initially posted by Obama contained the full Connecticut SSN xxx-xx-4425. While the file was later “flattened” and the SSN can no longer be seen, thousands of U.S. Citizens and individuals around the world were able to obtain the original file with the full SSN. **(See Affidavit of Elections Challenge Exhibit 6 attached hereto, Affidavit of Felicito Papa.)**

19. Taitz received an affidavit from a witness Linda Jordan (Hereinafter “Jordan”), who ran an E-verify check for the aforementioned Social Security number, which was posted by Obama on line as his number. **According to E-Verify, there is no match between Obama’s name and the SSN he used on his tax returns and Selective Service application.** **(See Affidavit of elections challenge, Exhibit 7 attached hereto, Affidavit from Linda Jordan).** Obama’s close associate, William Ayers, in his book *Fugitive Days*, admitted to creating over a hundred fraudulent Social Security Numbers using names of deceased infants who did not get

their Social Security numbers before their deaths. As he states in *Fugitive Days*, “After the Baltimore fiasco, stealing ID was forbidden. Instead we began to build ID sets around documents as flimsy as a fishing license or a laminated card available in a Times Square novelty shop called “Official ID.” We soon figured out that the deepest and most foolproof ID had a government-issued Social Security card at its heart, and the best source of those were dead-baby birth certificates. I spent impious days over the next several months tramping through rural cemeteries in Iowa and Wisconsin, Illinois and North Dakota, searching for those sad little markers of people born between 1940 and 1950 who had died between 1945 and 1955. The numbers were surprising: two in one graveyard, a cluster of fourteen in another. Those poor souls had typically been issued birth certificates—available to us at any county courthouse for a couple of bucks and a simple form with information I could copy from the death announcement at the archive of the local paper—but they had never applied for a Social Security card. Collecting those birth certificates became a small industry, and within a year we had over a hundred. For years I was a paper-made Joseph Brown, and then an Anthony Lee, remarkably durable identities. My on-paper official residences: a transient hotel in San Francisco and a warehouse in New York.” *William Ayers, Fugitive Days*. Association and

close friendship with Ayers is an additional indication and circumstantial evidence of Social Security fraud by Obama, and his lack of valid identification documents to prove not only natural born status, but any status for that matter. Additionally, two of Obama's relatives, his aunt and uncle, who came from Kenya and are residing in the U.S. illegally, were able to obtain illegally Social Security numbers, which they are using to get housing and employment, therefore there is a pattern of Obama's close associates and family member either manufacturing fraudulent Social Security cards and /or using fraudulent Social Security cards.

20. For nearly three years after his inauguration Obama refused to provide to the public his long form birth certificate. On April 27, 2011, when Obama posted his alleged long form birth certificate online, just as with his tax returns, he originally did not flatten the file, which means that anyone with an Adobe Illustrator program on his computer could see layers of alterations in this alleged "birth certificate" which looked like a complete fraud and hoax. Multiple long form birth certificates from 1961 are available. In those years green safety paper was not available and was not used. Other birth certificates, as one for Susan Nordyke, born the next day on August 5, 1961, in the same hospital, and signed by the registrar on August 11, 1961, show white paper with yellow aging stains, clear borders, raised seal and a lower serial number.

(Exhibit 20) Obama's alleged birth certificate is on a safety paper, which was not used in 1961, does not have a clear paper, no raised seal, and the serial number is higher than the numbers issued later by the same Registrar. *See Exhibit 21.* In July of 2012 Sheriff Joe Arpaio of Maricopa County, Arizona released results of his 6 months investigation. Arpaio released a sworn affidavit, attesting to the fact that Obama's alleged birth certificate posted by Obama on line represents a computer generated forgery, additionally he found Obama's Selective service certificate and Social security card to be forged. (See Affidavit of elections challenge Exhibit 18 Affidavit of Sheriff Joseph Arpaio attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate, Selective service certificate and Social Security number). Similarly, investigator Michael Zullo of Maricopa county, AZ provided a 16 page affidavit (Exhibit 2A) where he attests to forgery in Obama's IDs and systematic obstruction of Justice and evasion of law enforcement by corrupt governmental officials who are complicit in this cover up.

21. According to the affidavit from Adobe Illustrator expert Papa (**Affidavit of elections challenge Exhibit 5 affidavit of Felicito Papa attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate**), the released image digital file showed layers of alteration of the alleged birth certificate. It showed a signature of Obama's mother, Stanley Ann D. Soetoro (her married

name by her second husband), where it looks as though “Soetoro” was erased, whiten out and computer graphics used to add “unham Obama” and a signature “Stanley Ann Dunham Obama” was created by pasting and filling the blanks with computer graphics.

22. An affidavit from an elections clerk in Honolulu, Hawaii Tim Adams, who checked in both Honolulu hospitals and there are no birth records for Barack Obama in either of them. (**Election challenge Exhibit 4 affidavit of Timothy Adams**)

23. Taitz received an affidavit from scanning machines expert Douglas Vogt. (“Vogt”) (*See affidavit of Elections challenge Exhibit 2 hereto Affidavit of Douglas Vogt.*) Vogt attests to further evidence of forgery, such as different colors of ink used. Some of the document shows as “gray scale” scanning, some as black and white scanning, and some as color scanning. It shows different types of letters and variations in kerning, meaning some letters are encroaching into the space of other letters which is possible only with computer graphics, not with a typewriter used in 1961. Numerous other parameters lead to the same conclusion, that the document in question is not a copy of a 1961 typewritten document, but a computer-generated forgery, created by cutting and pasting bits and pieces from different documents and filling in the blanks with computer graphics.

24. Affidavit of Chris Strunk (*Exhibit 14*) shows that in Obama's mothers passport records received by Strunk in response to his FOIA request submitted to the Department of State, Obama is listed under the name **Barack Obama Soebarkah**. There is no evidence of Obama ever legally changing his name.

25. Affidavit and an attached article of typesetting expert Paul Ireby (Elections challenge exhibit 3) provide additional evidence of forgery in Obama's alleged birth certificate, as different parts of the document in question are typed using different fonts and sizes of letters and are cut and pasted from different documents. Ireby, who has 57 years of experience in typesetting, typing, printing and over 20 years of experience in computer graphics, is stating that the alleged birth certificate is a computer generated forgery and that a document created by typing with a typewriter cannot have different spacing between letters, kerning, different shapes and sizes of letters and a white halo around letters and lines.

26. Exhibit 16 Cover page and page 31 of the transcript of March 25th 2010 session of the assembly of Kenya contain part of the speech of minister of Lands of Kenya, James Orengo. In his speech Orengo clearly states that Obama was born in Kenya and not a native U.S. citizen.

27. **Exhibit 17 represents Obama's biography, which he submitted to his literary agent Acton Dystel, which was published in 1991 and was posted on the agency website until 2007, states "Barack Obama, first African-American President of the Harvard Law review, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii."** In 2007, when Obama started to run for the U.s. Presidency and decided that he needs to be born in the U.S. and needs to be a natural born U.S. citizen, the biography was scrubbed from the official web site of Acton Dystel, but was found in archives and on Wayback machine.

28. Additionally, in his school records in Indonesia Obama is listed under the name Soetoro and citizenship Indonesian (Affidavit of Elections challenge *Exhibit 13 Obama's registration in Assissi school in Jakarta Indonesia, showing him using his stepfather's last name Soetoro and citizenship Indonesian*). Exhibit 11 represents a DVD of the sworn testimony of witnesses Papa, Jordan, Sampson, Vogt, Strunk, Daniels, Taitz attesting to fraud and forgery in Obama's identification records during trial *Farrar et al v Obama OSAH-SECTSTATE-CE-1215136-60-MALIHI* in the administrative court of Georgia.

29. All of the above evidence showed Obama to be using forged Identification papers and a social security number, which was never assigned Noonan v Bowen application for stay of the certification of ca election due to Fraud and Forgery 20

to him. Aforementioned document show Obama's citizenship to be Indonesian. There are no valid identification papers to show Obama to be a natural born U.S. citizen.

30. Obama is running for the U.S. Presidency in 2012 election committing fraud, claiming to be a natural born U.S. citizen, and using forged and fraudulently obtained IDs as a basis for his natural born U.S. citizen status.

31. Based on the above presented undeniable evidence candidate Obama lacks the constitutional requirements to become the U.S. President due to the fact that Obama is not a natural-born citizen of United States and was placed on the ballot by virtue of fraud, and his use of forged and fraudulently obtained identification documents.

32. Secretary of State of California is the state official, who has the ministerial duty of certifying results of elections and votes.

33. Supreme Court of California per Article 4, Section 10 of the California constitution can issue a Writ of Mandamus/ Stay directing the chief state elections official to stay the certification of elections pending resolution of legal controversy.

34. Equitable remedy is warranted as economic remedy is not sufficient.

Plaintiffs are candidates for the U.S. Senate and U.S. President and loss of Noonan v Bowen application for stay of the certification of ca election due to Fraud and Forgery 21

election cannot be cured with economic damages. Plaintiffs are stating that they lost the primary election due to one and a half million invalid voter registrations in the State of California. They are improperly prevented from competing in the general election. Additionally, they are alleging that Candidate Obama is improperly allowed to compete in the general election, even though he is a foreign national, he is using a last name, which is not legally his and he is using forged/ fraudulently obtained identification papers as a basis of his identity. Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer an undue hardship.

35. Secretary of State will not suffer any hardship if the Petition for a Writ of Mandamus/ stay is granted.

36. In balancing the hardships, the hardship suffered by the Plaintiffs outweighs the non-existent hardship to the defendant.

37. Granting Petition for Writ of Mandamus/ Stay is in Public Policy, as it will ensure integrity of elections and will protect the public from the elections fraud.

38. Precedent of *McCarthy v Briscoe* 429 US 1317, 97 S Ct 10; 50 L Ed 2d 49; 1976 U.S. Lexis 4129 states that a stay can be granted by a single justice to either add or remove a candidate.

Noonan v Bowen application for stay of the certification of ca election due to Fraud and Forgery 22

39. Recent precedent of Miller v Campbell 3:10-cv-252 RRB U.S. District provided similar relief

"Therefore, for the reasons articulated above and by Defendants

in their Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Federal Question Jurisdiction or in the Alternative to Abstain at Docket 17, which Plaintiff responded to at Docket 20, this matter is hereby **STAYED** so that the parties may bring this dispute before the appropriate State tribunal. The Court shall retain jurisdiction pursuant to Pullman and will remain available to review any constitutional issues that may exist once the State remedies have been exhausted. In order to ensure that these serious State law issues are resolved prior to certification of the election, the Court hereby conditionally **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motion to enjoin certification of the election. If an action is filed in State Court on or before **November 22, 2010**, the results of this election shall not be certified until the legal issues raised therein have been fully and finally resolved." *id*

Additionally, allowing Mr. Obama to be certified would violate Caledonia Elector Code Section 1203, which states "Anyone who files or submits for filing a nomination paper or declaration of candidacy that it or any part of it has been made falsely is punishable by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) or by imprisonment in the state for 16 months or two or three years or by both fine

and imprisonment" and Caledonia Elections Code Section 18500 that states, "Any person who commits fraud and person who aids or abets fraud or attempts to aid or abet fraud, in connection with any vote cast to be cast or attempted to be cast is guilty of a felony, punishable by imprisonment for 16 months or two or years".

Based on all of the above Barack Obama is indeed foreign national, a citizen of Indonesia and possibly still a citizen of Kenya, who got into the White House by virtue of fraud and use of forged IDs. Supreme court of California erred in denying this application for stay of the elections. As Article 2, section 1 of the U.S. Constitution requires only a Natural Born Citizen to be allowed to take the position of the U.S. President, Obama was never legitimate for the position and the Supreme Court erred.

2. Do judges and bureaucrats commit high treason when they cover up forgery and fraud in IDs of a foreign national Barack Hussein Obama usurping the U.S. Presidency?

As outlined in question 1, Obama is a foreign national using forged U.S. IDs. The question is, whether U.S. judges covering this up commit high treason against the

United States of America, against the people of the United States of America and the U.S. Constitution?

18 USC §2381 Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the United States or elsewhere, is guilty of treason and shall suffer death, or shall be imprisoned not less than five years and fined under this title but not less than \$10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.

Judges of the Supreme Court of California received evidence that Obama is listed as a citizen of Indonesia in his school records in Indonesia. Exhibits submitted to the Supreme Court showed him using all forged IDs to usurp the U.S. presidency. So, did judges aiding and abetting him commit treason by "giving aid and comfort" to the enemy? Judges covering up Obama's forged IDs might claim that he is not an enemy. However, how do they know that? They know he is committing fraud, they know that he owes allegiance somewhere else, but they do not know where, to whom, what is the end goal?

Multiple facts point to the fact that Obama is indeed acting against the U.S.:

a. Obama incurred an unprecedented amount of debt, nearly 6 trillion in 4 years. This equals the amount of debt incurred in some 200 years. This represents economic destruction of this nation. Destruction of the economic base of the country represents an act of war.

b. for the first time in the U.S. history U.S. currency was devalued. Targeted destruction and devaluation of the currency represents an act of war. As a matter of fact, targeted collapse of the Soviet Ruble was one of the main reasons for collapse of the Soviet Union.

c. Obama is destroying the energy base of the nation. While throwing some 90 billion on bogus green energy ventures which collapsed or are near collapse, Obama closed millions of acres of Federal lands to oil and gas exploration. He dissipated the Gulf of Mexico region with originally de jure and later de facto

moratorium on off shore oil drilling. Destroying the energy base of the nation is an act of war.

d. Obama pushed for severe cuts for the U.S. military. Dismantling of the military is an act of war.

These and many other examples show that a foreign national with forged ID engaged in the acts of war. Plaintiffs believe that judges who aided and abetted Obama in cover up of his forged IDs indeed "gave aid and comfort to the enemy" in the meaning of 18 US Code §2381. This court is requested to opine, whether indeed judges who covered up Obama's forged IDs not only erred and abused their judicial discretion, but also engaged in treason.

3. Does usurpation of the U.S. presidency by a foreign national with forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number means occupation and suspension of the U.S. laws and deprivation of Civil rights of the U.S. citizens? If U.S. laws are suspended, which laws are we following?

One of the most important civil rights of the U.S. citizens is the First Amendment right for redress of grievances available to the states through the 14th Amendment. The difference between a real redress of grievances and a an illusion of a redress of grievances, a sham, is whether the judges perform an honest service.

18 U.S. Code §1346 For the purposes of this chapter, the term "scheme or artifice to defraud" includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.

When judges of the Supreme Court of California and some other jurisdictions covered up Obama's usurpation of the U.S. Presidency with forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number, they deprived the plaintiffs of their intangible right of honest service. Not only the rights of the plaintiffs were deprived, but also the rights of 314 million American citizens were deprived.

As Obama got in the position of the U.S. President based on fraud and forgery, that deprives the plaintiffs and each and every American citizen of their "intangible right of honest service" of the U.S. President per 18 USC §1346, as they do not have a legitimate U.S. President. Moreover, as Obama is the citizen of Indonesia, he owes allegiance to Indonesia, it leaves in limbo the question of which laws U.S. citizens are supposed to follow? This court is requested to opine which laws are U.S. citizens obligated to follow, are they obligated to follow the U.S. laws or laws of Indonesia, where Usurper Obama is a citizen, or whether they are obligated to follow the laws of Kenya, where Obama was a citizen since age two and where he might be still a citizen?

4. Are US citizens required to follow any U.S. laws, such as paying taxes and risking lives in the military, when the U.S. Presidency is usurped by a foreign national with forged IDs and a stolen SSN and high ranking officials and judges are committing treason by covering it up?

U.S. Constitution represents a contract and the Supreme Law of the land. According to this contract free citizens and Sovereign States agreed to give up some of their freedoms and give some of their financial worth to the Federation and the Federal Government, provided that the Federal government adheres to the Constitution. Part of this agreement is the requirement for an individual at the helm of the Federal government to be a natural born U.S. citizen. All other laws in the U.S. code stem from the U.S. Constitution. If the Federal government breached the contract by allowing the violation of Article 2 and allowing the usurpation of the U.S. Presidency, it appears that it would excuse non-performance by the other party to the U.S. contract, specifically by the states and citizens. This court is requested to opine, whether the U.S. citizens are still required to adhere to the Constitution and the code stemming from it, if it was violated by the Federal government by allowing the usurpation of the U.S. Presidency and by the other branches of the government, specifically Congress and judiciary, who cover up Obama's forged IDs and the usurpation of the U.S. Presidency pursuant to some yet to be determined consideration?

5. How do the U.S. citizens know that high ranked judges of the Supreme Court of CA and the Supreme Court of the U.S. actually made any rulings on their cases, or even read one single word of the pleadings, when the clerks and court employees

routinely lose documents, manipulate the dockets, misrepresent the rules of the court and type the names of the judges on the rulings, while there are no actual signatures of judges anywhere?

Attached herein in exhibit is the latest correspondence with the Supreme Court, which shows that documents submitted to the court disappear, names of the judges are simply printed next to orders, there are no signatures of judges and no evidence that judges indeed issued those orders or ever read one single word of pleadings or documents submitted to them. In the Supreme court the situation is identical.

This court is requested to provide an answer, how in this environment the U.S. citizens can be sure that the judges indeed issued the orders in their cases or that the judges ever read a word of pleadings?

6. Are U.S. citizens justified in revolting against the regime, where the position of the U.S. President and Commander in Chief is usurped by a foreign national using forged IDs and a stolen Social Security number and corrupt and treasonous governmental officials are committing treason and covering it up?

This court is requested to opine, what remedy is left for the U.S. citizens, if the U.S. Presidency is usurped by a foreign national with forged IDs and high ranking judges and officials are committing treason by covering it up? What other remedy aside from revolt and revolution do the U.S. citizens have left to end the usurpation

of the US Presidency and end the corruption and treason committed by the high ranked officials and judges, who cover it up?

Two figures known in the World History to either hide or falsify their birth records, were Joseph Stalin and Adolph Hitler. Their rule that started with deprivation of civil and constitutional rights progressed to deprivation of economic rights and finally deprivation of right to life. Are U.S. citizens required to just wait patiently for further deprivations of rights?

Petitioners herein pray for this court not to commit treason and not to be complicit to criminal cover up of the usurpation of the U.S Presidency by a foreign national, citizen of Indonesia and possibly still citizen of Kenya, Barack Hussein Obama, who is using a name not legally his, forged IDs and a stolen Connecticut Social security number xxx-xx-4425, which was not assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiffs respectfully pray for:

1. Stay of certification of results of the California election for the U.S. Senate pending resolution of primary election results and of one and a half million invalid voter registrations.

2. Stay of certification of presidential election in California and certification of any and all votes for candidate Obama pending resolution of the issue of his legitimacy for the U.S. Presidency in light of his Indonesian citizenship, due to the fact that according to his mother's passport records his last name is Soebarkah and he is seeking to become a U.S. President under a name that is not legally his and due to his use of forged IDs, specifically a forged birth certificate, forged Selective Service Certificate and a fraudulently obtained Connecticut Social Security number as proof of his identity.

3. Cost, attorneys fees and any other relief court finds just and proper.

I hereby certify that foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and informed consent.

Respectfully Submitted

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

12.10. 2012

Certificate of Service

I, Orly Taitz, attest that a true and correct copy of the above pleadings was served on the Solicitor General, Attorney General of California and attorney general of the United States by the First Class Mail

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Certification of word count

I, Orly Taitz, attest that the word count 6,635 words and does not exceed 14,000 words

/s/ Orly Taitz