

Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ
29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688
ph 949-683-5411 fax 949-766-7687
orly.taitz@gmail.com

BEFORE THE PANEL FOR MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**MOTION TO COORDINATE AND CONSOLIDATE CASES UNDER § 28
USC § 1407(C)(II)**

Plaintiff Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ is moving for consolidation of three cases, currently in three Federal courts

Judd et al v Obama et al 12-1507 Central District of CA

Taitz v Sebelius,12-3251 et al Northern District of TX

and Taitz v Democratic Party of Mississippi, Secretary of State of MS et al 12-280 Southern District of MS

Judd v Obama and Taitz v Democratic Party of MS are elections challenges. Taitz v Sebelius is a challenge to a provision in the Affordable Care Act(ACA), which

grants exemptions to Health Care insurance payments to members of some religions, while penalizing and taxing members of other religions.

At the core of all three actions is one main nucleus of facts and most of defendants are the same defendants. On September 20, 2012 attorneys for the Defendants filed responsive pleadings in Taitz v Sebelius, where they conceded to the commonality of facts between Taitz v Sebelius and Taitz v Democratic party of MS. Attorney for the Secretary of State of MS, Mr. Matheny sent an e-mail to the plaintiff, specifically noticing and conceding to the commonality of facts between these cases. Defendants did not respond in Judd v Obama yet. As such Plaintiff does not expect an objection to consolidation. additionally, one of two counselors for the Democratic Party of MS is a CA attorney, who actually appeared in court by phone from CA. Plaintiff Taitz is a CA licensed attorney and can represent all the plaintiffs in CA.

The common fact is that Barack Hussein Obama, who is currently a candidate in 2012 Presidential election and who signed ACA into law is committing identity fraud, elections fraud, Social Security fraud and Selective Service fraud. (exhibits 1-17). Plaintiff contends that citizen of Indonesia Obama got on the ballot using forged and fraudulently obtained IDs and needs to be removed from the ballot. Additionally, one of the reasons individual mandate of ACA is not valid is due to the fact that it was signed into law by Obama, who was never eligible hold the

position of the US President. Forged and fraudulently obtained IDs used by Obama include Connecticut Social security number 042-68-4425, which Obama used as recently as in 2010 in his tax returns, and which was never assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS, forged Selective Service certificate and a forged Birth Certificate. Taitz contends that a number of high ranking officials of the Federal government and the Government of Hawaii acted in concert with Obama and formed a racketeering scheme to defraud 214 million Americans and put in the position of the US President and Commander in Chief a foreign national with forged IDs. All three causes of action contain similar RICO allegations.

Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ

29839 Santa Margarita, ste 100

Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

ph 949-683-5411 fax 949-766-7687

orly.taitz@gmail.com

BEFORE THE PANEL FOR MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COORDINATE AND
CONSOLIDATE CASES UNDER § 28 USC § 1407(C)(II)**

Plaintiff Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ is moving for consolidation of three cases, currently in three Federal courts

Judd et al v Obama et al 12-1507 Central District of CA

Taitz v Sebelius,12-3251 et al Northern District of TX

and Taitz v Democratic Party of Mississippi, Secretary of State of MS et al 12-280 Southern District of MS

Judd v Obama and Taitz v Democratic Party of MS are elections challenges. Taitz v Sebelius is a challenge to a provision in the Affordable Care Act(ACA), which grants exemptions to Health Care insurance payments to members of some religions, while penalizing and taxing members of other religions.

At the core of all three actions is one main nucleus of facts and most of defendants are the same defendants. On September 20, 2012 attorneys for the Defendants filed responsive pleadings in Taitz v Sebelius, where they conceded to the commonality of facts between Taitz v Sebelius and Taitz v Democratic party of MS. Attorney for the Secretary of State of MS, Mr. Matheny sent an e-mail to the plaintiff, specifically noticing and conceding to the commonality of facts between these cases. Defendants did not respond in Judd v Obama yet. As such Plaintiff does not expect an objection to consolidation. additionally, one of two counselors for the Democratic Party of MS is a CA attorney, who actually appeared in court by phone from CA. Plaintiff Taitz is a CA licensed attorney and can represent all the plaintiffs in CA.

The common fact is that Barack Hussein Obama, (Hereinafter "Obama") who is currently a candidate in 2012 Presidential election and who signed ACA into law is committing identity fraud, elections fraud, Social Security fraud and Selective Service fraud. (exhibits 1-17). Plaintiff contents that citizen of Indonesia Obama got on the ballot using forged and fraudulently obtained IDs and needs to be

removed from the ballot. Additionally, one of the reasons individual mandate of ACA is not valid is due to the fact that it was signed into law by Obama, who was never eligible to hold the position of the US President. Forged and fraudulently obtained IDs used by Obama include Connecticut Social security number xxx-xx-4425, which Obama used as recently as in 2010 in his tax returns, and which was never assigned to him according to E-Verify and SSNVS, forged Selective Service certificate and a forged Birth Certificate. Taitz contends that a number of high ranking officials of the Federal government and the Government of Hawaii acted in concert with Obama and formed a racketeering scheme to defraud 214 million Americans and put in the position of the US President and Commander in Chief a foreign national with forged IDs. All three causes of action contain similar allegations of RICO and violation of civil rights which are listed below and in attached exhibits.

FRAUD BY OBAMA

Paragraphs 1-23 represent facts related to fraud committed by Obama and are relevant to other plaintiffs and causes of action and incorporated by reference in all further paragraphs as if fully stated.

1. Barack Hussein Obama (Hereinafter Obama) never provided any valid documentary evidence of his natural born status, which is required for one to be a candidate for the U.S. Presidency according to the Article 2, section 1 of the U.S. Constitution.

2. Obama placed his candidacy on the ballot claiming to be a natural-born citizen based on forged identification papers.

3. A natural born citizen would be expected to have valid U.S. identification papers, such as a valid long form birth certificate and a valid Social Security number, lawfully obtained by presenting a valid birth certificate to the Social Security Administration and which can be verified through official U.S. Social Security verification services, such as E-Verify and SSNVS.

4. The most glaring evidence of Obama's lack of natural born status and legitimacy for the US Presidency, is Obama's lack of most basic valid identification papers, such as a valid Social Security Number ("SSN") and his use of a fraudulently obtained Social Security Number from the state of Connecticut, a state where he never resided, and which was never assigned to him according in part to SSN verification systems "E-Verify" and SSNVS. (Exhibit 7, 10 to Affidavit of elections challenge-affidavit of Linda Jordan and printout from E-Verify and

SSNVS, showing that Connecticut Social Security used by Obama, was never assigned to him)

4. Reports from licensed investigator Susan Daniels (“Daniels”) show that for most of his life Obama used a Connecticut Social Security Number xxx-xx-4425 issued in 1977, even though he was never a resident of the State of Connecticut. In 1977 Social Security numbers were assigned according to the state where the Social Security applications were submitted. The first three digits of the Social Security number assigned prior to 2011 signified a state, where an individual applied for his SSN and where it was issued. Obama is using a SSN starting with 042, which signifies the state of CT. In 1977 Obama was nowhere near Connecticut, but rather a young student at the Punahoa school in Hawaii, where he resided. (Exhibit 15, Sworn Affidavit of Susan Daniels, attesting to the fact that Obama is fraudulently using a Connecticut Social Security number, which was never assigned to him)

5. Additionally, according to the review performed by licensed investigators Sankey and Daniels, and as publicly available, national databases revealed another birth date associated with this number, a birth date of 1890. In or around 1976-77, due to changes in the Social Security Administration, many elderly individuals who never had Social Security numbers before, had to apply for

their Social Security numbers for the first time in order to obtain Social Security Benefits. It appears that the number in question was assigned to an elderly individual in Connecticut around March of 1977. The death of this elderly individual was never reported, and from around 1980 this number was fraudulently assumed by Barack Obama. (*See Exhibit 15 attached hereto, Affidavit of Susan Daniels.*)

4. Senior Deportation Officer from the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”), Mr. John Sampson (“Sampson”) provided an affidavit attesting to the fact that indeed, according to national databases, Obama is using a Connecticut SSN even though there is no reasonable justification or explanation for such use by one who resided in Hawaii in and around the time the Social Security number in question was issued. (See Declaration of elections challenge, Exhibit 9, Affidavit of senior Deportation officer John Sampson, attesting to fraud in Obama's SSN)

5. In 2010 Obama posted online on WhiteHouse.gov his 2009 tax returns. He originally did not “flatten” the PDF file thereof, so all the layers of modification of the file became visible to the public. One of the pages contained Obama’s full SSN xxx-xx-4425. Taitz received an affidavit from Adobe Illustrator program expert Mr. Felicito Papa (“Papa”) attesting to the fact that the tax returns

initially posted by Obama contained the full Connecticut SSN xxx-xx-4425. While the file was later “flattened” and the SSN can no longer be seen, thousands of U.S. Citizens and individuals around the world were able to obtain the original file with the full SSN. (See Affidavit of Elections Challenge Exhibit 6 attached hereto, Affidavit of Felicito Papa.)

7. Taitz received an affidavit from a witness Linda Jordan (Hereinafter “Jordan”), who ran an E-verify check for the aforementioned Social Security number, which was posted by Obama on line as his number. According to E-Verify, there is no match between Obama’s name and the SSN he used on his tax returns and Selective Service application. (See Affidavit of elections challenge, Exhibit 7 attached hereto, Affidavit from Linda Jordan). Obama’s close associate, William Ayers, in his book *Fugitive Days*, admitted to creating over a hundred fraudulent Social Security Numbers using names of deceased infants who did not get their Social Security numbers before their deaths. As he states in *Fugitive Days*, “After the Baltimore fiasco, stealing ID was forbidden. Instead we began to build ID sets around documents as flimsy as a fishing license or a laminated card available in a Times Square novelty shop called “Official ID.” We soon figured out that the deepest and most foolproof ID had a government-issued Social Security card at its heart, and the best source of those were dead-baby birth certificates. I spent impious days over the next several months tramping through rural cemeteries

in Iowa and Wisconsin, Illinois and North Dakota, searching for those sad little markers of people born between 1940 and 1950 who had died between 1945 and 1955. The numbers were surprising: two in one graveyard, a cluster of fourteen in another. Those poor souls had typically been issued birth certificates—available to us at any county courthouse for a couple of bucks and a simple form with information I could copy from the death announcement at the archive of the local paper—but they had never applied for a Social Security card. Collecting those birth certificates became a small industry, and within a year we had over a hundred. For years I was a paper-made Joseph Brown, and then an Anthony Lee, remarkably durable identities. My on-paper official residences: a transient hotel in San Francisco and a warehouse in New York.” *William Ayers, Fugitive Days*. Association and close friendship with Ayers is an additional indication and circumstantial evidence of Social Security fraud by Obama, and his lack of valid identification documents to prove not only natural born status, but any status for that matter. Additionally, two of Obama’s relatives, his aunt and uncle, who came from Kenya and are residing in the U.S. illegally, were able to obtain illegally Social Security numbers, which they are using to get housing and employment, therefore there is a pattern of Obama’s close associates and family member either manufacturing fraudulent Social Security cards and /or using fraudulent Social Security cards.

8. For nearly three years after his inauguration Obama refused to provide to the public his long form birth certificate. On April 27, 2011, when Obama posted his alleged long form birth certificate online, just as with his tax returns, he originally did not "flatten" the PDF file, which means that anyone with an Adobe Illustrator program on his computer could see layers of alterations in this alleged "birth certificate" which looked like a complete fraud and hoax. Multiple long form birth certificates from 1961 are available. In those years green safety paper was not available and was not used. Other birth certificates, as one for Susan Nordyke, born the next day on August 5, 1961, in the same hospital, and signed by the registrar on August 11, 1961, show white paper with yellow aging stains, clear borders, raised seal and a lower serial number. (Exhibit 20) Obama's alleged birth certificate is on a safety paper, which was not used in 1961, does not have a clear paper, nor raised seal, and the serial number is higher than the numbers issued later by the same Registrar. See Exhibit 21. In July of 2012 Sheriff Joe Arpaio of Maricopa County, Arizona released results of his 6 months investigation. Arpaio released a sworn affidavit, attesting to the fact that Obama's alleged birth certificate posted by Obama on line represents a computer generated forgery, additionally he found Obama's Selective service certificate and Social security card to be forged. (*See Affidavit of elections challenge Exhibit 1 Affidavit of Sheriff*

Joseph Arpaio attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate, Selective service certificate and Social Security number)

12. According to the affidavit from Adobe Illustrator expert Papa (Affidavit of elections challenge *Exhibit 3 affidavit of Felicito Papa attesting to forgery in Obama's birth certificate*), the released image digital file showed layers of alteration of the alleged birth certificate. It showed a signature of Obama's mother, Stanley Ann D. Soetoro (her married name by her second husband), where it looks as though "Soetoro" was erased, whiten out and computer graphics used to add "unham Obama" and a signature "Stanley Ann Dunham Obama" was created by pasting and filling the blanks with computer graphics.

12. An affidavit from an elections clerk in Honolulu, Hawaii Tim Adams, who checked in both Honolulu hospitals and there are no birth records for Barack Obama in either of them. (Election challenge *Exhibit 4 affidavit of Timothy Adams*)

13. Taitz received an affidavit from scanning machines expert Douglas Vogt. ("Vogt") (*See affidavit of Elections challenge Exhibit 2 hereto Affidavit of Douglas Vogt.*) Vogt attests to further evidence of forgery, such as different colors of ink used. Some of the document shows as "gray scale" scanning, some as black and white scanning, and some as color scanning. It shows different types of letters and variations in kerning, meaning some letters are encroaching into the space of

other letters which is possible only with computer graphics, not with a typewriter used in 1961. Numerous other parameters lead to the same conclusion, that the document in question is not a copy of a 1961 typewritten document, but a computer-generated forgery, created by cutting and pasting bits and pieces from different documents and filling in the blanks with computer graphics.

16. Affidavit of Chris Strunk (*Exhibit 14*) shows that in Obama's mothers passport records received by Strunk in response to his FOIA request submitted to the Department of State, Obama is listed under the name Barack Obama Soebarkah. There is no evidence of Obama ever legally changing his name.

17. Affidavit and an attached article of typesetting expert Paul Irely (exhibit 5) provide additional evidence of forgery in Obama's alleged birth certificate, as different parts of the document in question are typed using different fonts and sizes of letters and are cut and pasted from different documents.

18. Exhibit 16 Cover page and page 31 of the transcript of March 25th 2010 session of the assembly of Kenya contain part of the speech of minister of Lands of Kenya, James Orengo. In his speech Orengo clearly states that Obama was born in Kenya and not a native U.S. citizen.

19. Exhibit 17 represents Obama's biography, which he submitted to his literary agent Acton Dystel, which was published in 1991 and was posted on the agency

website until 2007, states "Barack Obama, first African-American President of the Harvard Law review, was born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii." In 2007, when Obama started to run for the U.s. Presidency and decided that he needs to be born in the U.S. and needs to be a natural born U.S. citizen, the biography was scrubbed from the official web site of Acton Dystel, but was found in archives and on Wayback machine.

20. Additionally, in his school records in Indonesia Obama is listed under the name Soetoro and citizenship Indonesian (Affidavit of Elections challenge *Exhibit 13 Obama's registration in Assissi school in Jakarta Indonesia, showing him using his stepfather's last name Soetoro and citizenship Indonesian*).

21. All of the above evidence showed Obama to be using forged Identification papers and a Social Security number, which was never assigned to him. Aforementioned document show Obama's citizenship to be Indonesian. There are no valid identification papers to show Obama to be a natural born U.S. citizen.

22. Obama is running for the U.S. Presidency in 2012 election committing fraud, claiming to be a natural born U.S. citizen, and using forged and fraudulently obtained IDs as a basis for his natural born U.S. citizen status.

23. Based on the above presented undeniable evidence candidate Obama lacks the constitutional requirements to become the U.S. President due to the fact that Obama is not a natural-born citizen of United States and was placed on the ballot by virtue of fraud, and his use of forged and fraudulently obtained identification documents.

24. Plaintiff **Keith Judd** was a Democratic Party candidate, who ran for the U.S. President in the State of West Virginia and gained 40% of the vote. Judd lost his election in the state of West Virginia to Obama. Judd contends that if not for fraud committed by Obama and not for Obama using forged IDs as a basis for his legitimacy, Obama would not have won this election and Judd would be the winner of the West Virginia primary.

25. Keith Judd suffered damages of a lost election and associated financial damages.

26. Keith Judd's damages were the actual and proximate result of fraud committed by Obama.

Other plaintiffs suffered damages of violation of their Constitutional and First Amendment right for free political speech and redress of grievances. Their constitutional rights were violated by Obama and other defendants (excluding defendants Emken and Feinstein) who acted in concert in depriving the plaintiffs

of their rights to participate in lawful elections and their right for free political speech and redress of grievances which is reflected in lawful elections.

Plaintiff Taitz suffered additional damages, as an attorney bringing challenges against Obama, she was defamed, persecuted, harassed and sanctioned. All of these damages were an actual and foreseeable result of fraud committed by Obama.

27. Obama created "Obama for America" with a purpose of defrauding American citizens and illegally usurping the U.S. Presidency, while using forged identification papers.

28. Obama used a forged birth certificate as his identification paper and as a proof of his eligibility.

29. Obama used one or more Social Security numbers, that were not assigned to him.

30. Obama used a forged Selective Service certificate.

31. Obama held April 27, 2011 press conference, presenting a forged birth certificate and attacking "birthers".

32. Obama refused to comply with any subpoenas and notices to appear in court or elections commission or election board hearing in different states in relation to his identification papers.

33. Obama acted through his agents, such as aids, press secretaries, attorneys, governmental officials, members of the media loyal to Obama and controlled opposition members of the media, campaign workers, his web site "Fight the Smears" and "Obama for America" in order to defraud the Plaintiffs and others and in order to attack, harass, defame, slander and persecute Plaintiffs and other patriots seeking to expose Obama's forged documents.

Defendant "Obama for America" is a RICO organization created by Obama and his accomplices with a goal of subsidizing elections fraud.

b) *Fraud by defendant Alvin Onaka*

34. Defendant Alvin Onaka, Registrar of the state of Hawaii, aided and abetted Obama and was complicit in the cover up of the fact that Obama is using a forged birth certificate from the state of Hawaii. Onaka refused to provide an original Birth certificate or original microfilm for examination

c) *Fraud by Defendant Astrue*

35. Defendant Michael Astrue, commissioner of the Social Security Administration, aided and abetted Obama by covering up the fact that Barack Obama is fraudulently using a Connecticut Social Security number, xxx-xx-4425, which was issued in and around 1977 in the State of Connecticut to a resident of Connecticut born in 1890 and that this Social Security number does not pass E-verify, when checked under the name Barack Obama.

d) Fraud by Defendants Schatz and Matusow

36. Brian Schatz is being sued in his capacity as former Chairman of the Democratic party of Hawaii, and Lynn Matusow is being sued as the Secretary of the Democratic Party of Hawaii. Schatz and Matusow aided and abetted fraud committed by Obama when they signed an altered/falsified OCON (Official Certificate of Nomination) for Barack Obama and removed the necessary wording "eligible according to the US Constitution". Exhibit 22 shows Official Certification of Nomination for Al Gore -2000 and for John Kerry 2004 submitted by the Democratic party of Hawaii to the office of elections. Those certifications show the necessary wording that the candidates for President and Vice President are "legally qualified to serve under the provisions of The U.S. Constitution". In order to aid Obama and to attempt to avoid criminal liability in certifying a fraudulent Official Certification of Nomination, Brian Schatz and Lynn Matusow falsified the Certificate of

Nomination and removed the words “eligible to the U.S. Constitution” from the certification sent to Hawaii Office of Elections on behalf of Obama. Obama's Official Certification of Nomination (Exhibit 22 as well) states "This is to certify that the following candidates for President and Vice President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the Democratic Parties balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held on February 19th 2008 in the state of Hawaii and by acclamation at the national Democratic Convention held August 27, 2008 in Denver, Colorado."

e) Fraud by Defendants Nancy Pelosi and Alice Germond

37. Nancy Pelosi and Alice Germond aided and abetted fraud committed by Obama when, as a former Chairwoman and Secretary of the 2008 Democratic National Convention, they signed an altered certificate of nomination to the State of Hawaii. Certification of Nomination for John Kerry, which was sent to all 50 states in 2004 (Exhibit 23) and certification for Obama, which was sent to 49 states in 2008 (Exhibit 24) were identical. However, Obama could not get on the ballot in general election, as the state of Hawaii required the wording "eligible under the provisions of the U.S. Constitution" and Brian Schatz and Lynn Matusow, who resided in Hawaii were not willing to sign the certification with such wording, as it was common knowledge among Hawaiian officials that -none of the Hospitals in Hawaii had any valid birth

certificates for Obama, therefore, Obama was not a natural born citizen and did not qualify. Subsequently Pelosi, Germond, Schatz, Matusow and Obama acted in concert, as Schatz removed the necessary wording from the certification sent by the Democratic party of Hawaii and Pelosi added this wording to the DNC certification (Exhibit 25). Through this scheme, Schatz, Matusow, Pelosi and Germond acted in concert and aided and abetted ineligible Obama to get on the ballot in 2008 election. Through manipulation of certificates, Schatz and Pelosi were complicit in fraud and forgery of records.

f) Fraud by Defendant Holder

38. Eric Holder is being sued as the Attorney General of the United States, who received from Taitz a Quo Warranto complaint as well as multiple criminal complaints with evidence of Obama and others committing massive elections fraud and Obama usurping the position of the U.S. President and Commander in Chief by virtue of fraud, misrepresentation and use of forged and fraudulently obtained identification papers. Holder aided and abetted Obama by burying the matter and not responding to Quo Warranto and not prosecuting Obama. He aided and abetted Obama by being complicit and covering up the fact that a foreign national with all forged papers is usurping

the U.S. Presidency. Holder put his cushy job ahead of his oath of office to defend and protect the U.S. Constitution.

39. g) Fraud by Defendant Napolitano

Defendant Napolitano is being sued in her capacity as the director of Homeland Security.

Defendant Napolitano received certified mail complaints from Taitz and multiple other individuals advising her that Obama is committing massive elections fraud and using forged identification papers and fraudulently obtained Connecticut Social security number.

Defendant Napolitano aided and abetted Obama by being complicit and covering up the fact that a foreign national with all forged papers is usurping the U.S. Presidency. Napolitano put her cushy job ahead of her oath of office to defend and protect the U.S. Constitution.

Fraud by Defendants Secretaries of States of California, Georgia, New Hampshire, West Virginia, MS as well as Ballot law commission of New Hampshire.

40. Defendants Secretaries of States of California, Georgia, New Hampshire, West Virginia, Mississippi as well as Ballot law commission of New

Hampshire received from Taitz evidence in the form of sworn affidavits showing Obama being a foreign national, who is usurping the U.S. Presidency by virtue of fraud and use of forged identification papers and intending to do so for four more years. Defendant Secretary of State of West Virginia received formal complaints and election challenges from Plaintiff Judd, who was the Democratic party candidate for the U.S. Presidency in the Democratic primary election.

Secretary of state of New Hampshire and the Ballot Law Commission of New Hampshire received election fraud complaints/election challenge from State Representatives Lawrence Rappaport, Carol Vita, Lucien Vita, Plaintiff Orly Taitz, Plaintiffs -candidates Lax and Macleran. State of New Hampshire allows any citizen from any state to file an election challenge and election fraud complaint.

Defendants Secretaries of State and Ballot Law Commission of New Hampshire were complicit with Obama and committed elections fraud by knowingly and maliciously allowing Obama on the ballot as a legitimate candidate for the U.S. President, while knowing that Obama committed fraud and placed his name on the ballot by virtue of fraud and misrepresentation, knowing that he is a citizen of Indonesia and that he is fraudulently

representing himself as eligible on the ballot using forged and fraudulently obtained identification papers and stolen Social Security number

g) Fraud by Defendant Land

41. Defendant Clay D. Land (Hereinafter “Land”) aided and abetted Obama in elections fraud, use of forged Identifications papers for purpose of elections fraud.

42. Taitz presented to US District Judge of the Central District of Georgia, Clay D. Land, two cases brought by officers of the US military against Barack Hussein Obama.

43. Over 200 members of the U. S. military signed consent forms wishing to be clients of attorney Orly Taitz challenging Barack Obama as ineligible for the position of the US. President and Commander in Chief.

44. Taitz brought legal actions on their behalf in different courts around the nation. Two of these actions were brought in Columbus GA in front of Federal Judge Clay D. Land.

45. The first action was brought by Taitz originally on behalf of Major Cook and later joined by Major General Childers and Lieutenant Colonel Earl Graef.

46. Taitz provided Land with evidence showing that Obama does not have a valid birth certificate and does not have a valid Social Security number.

47. Taitz provided Land with a sworn affidavit of licensed investigator Neil Sankey. Sankey is also a former Scotland Yard officer who served in an elite unit dealing with organized crime and communist proliferation. Sankey provided a 44 page affidavit, which showed that according to most reliable national databases used by the licensed investigators and attorneys such as Lexis Nexis and Choice Point there are multiple Social Security numbers used by Barack Obama, none of which was issued in the state of Hawaii, where Obama grew up and started working and was supposed to obtain a SSN.

48. Major Cook, who was a highly decorated US officer and who was supposed to be deployed to Afghanistan within days, was asking a stay/injunction of his deployment until Obama's legitimacy to the US Presidency and validity of his Identification papers is ascertained by the court.

49. Knowing that Obama does not possess any valid papers and in order to avoid the embarrassment, the military rescinded the deployment orders for Cook. This was done within hours before the scheduled hearing.

50. Taitz brought a motion seeking to join Major General Childers and Lieutenant Colonel Earl Graef, arguing that even if Cook's deployment orders were rescinded, the issue is not moot, that this case is akin to Roe v Wade 410 U.S. 113 (1973), in that this is an issue which presents itself repeatedly before the court but eludes resolution on the merits.

51. Land dismissed the case by all plaintiffs against all defendants, denied all plaintiffs their First Amendment rights of redress of grievances and wrote a demeaning and defamatory opinion about both Plaintiffs and Taitz.

52. As a result, as the case was never heard on the merits and Plaintiffs were denied their right to present their case, Major Cook was fired from his position as an analyst with a company providing defense contracts.

53. Retired Major General Childers lost several consulting contracts and believed that it was in retaliation for his involvement in this action.

54. Shortly thereafter another officer, Flight Surgeon Captain Connie Rhodes was supposed to be deployed. She asked Taitz to represent her in a similar action. By that time Obama administration was concerned that further revocation of orders might seriously affect deployment.

55. Land decided to use this case and Taitz in particular as an example, showing that the establishment will persecute anyone who dares to represent active members of the military against usurper Obama.

56. Land could not deny standing as Rhodes was supposed to deploy within days.

57. Land dismissed the case based on the doctrine of abstention, stating that this is a matter for the military to decide. He claimed that this is a matter for the military to decide, even though Taitz provided Land with a letter by Commander Crawford, counsel for Admiral Mullin, Chairman of Joint Chief of Staff, where Crawford stated that the military is concerned with the situation, but cannot do anything as Obama is a civilian and not a member of the military.

58. Land did not limit himself with wrongfully denying Rhodes her First Amendment civil right of Redress of Grievances under the color of authority, but he also wrote a defamatory and demeaning ruling.

59. Land also assessed \$20,000 of sanctions against Taitz claiming that it was frivolous to bring legal actions against Obama on behalf of active members of the U.S. military.

60. There was no justification for sanctions. The only reason Land did it, was to try to silence Taitz, as a federal whistleblower, and to aid and abet in the cover up of Obama's forged IDs.

619. Land knew that he has in front of him evidence of the biggest security breach in the history of this nation. He had evidence of Obama using fraudulent Social Security numbers, including two numbers in his own back yard in Columbus, GA.

62. Land knowingly and with malice aided and abetted Social Security fraud and elections fraud by refusing to hear the cases on the merits, even though the plaintiffs had standing and by abusing his authority and position of a federal judge, to verbally and financially abuse Taitz and harass her with sanctions in order to stop litigation against Obama.

63. Land further abused plaintiffs' civil right for redress of grievances by refusing to hear the case on the merits, even though the plaintiffs had standing by his own admission.

64. Land abused Taitz rights under the color of authority by assessing her \$20000 of sanctions without allowing her a hearing on the issue of sanctions.

65. Taitz and her plaintiffs were the whistleblowers against Obama. Through his actions Land engaged in intimidation of a whistleblower in order to cover up crimes committed by Obama.

66. Members of the media used demeaning and defamatory comments by Land in order to assassinate her character. In a number of cases attorneys were not willing to work with her because of demeaning comments and sanctions assessed by Land

67. Taitz suffered a damage of \$20, 000.

68. All of the Plaintiffs suffered damage, as Lands ruling was used by other courts, and other plaintiffs were denied their right for redress of grievances against Obama's usurpation of the U.S. Presidency, when U.S. attorneys and AG attorneys used Land's decision to attack Taitz and others in different courts.

69. All of the defendants (aside from defendants Feinstein and Emken) acted with an intent to defraud. Plaintiffs were intended victims and foreseeable victims. Plaintiffs suffered financial damages, defamation, humiliation, harassment and emotional distress as a result of fraud committed by the Defendants.

g) Aiding and Abetting Fraud by Defendant Patrick R. Donahoe

70. Taitz submitted to Donahoe a complaint, which provided Donahoe with evidence of Obama committing fraud and using a forged postal stamp on his alleged Selective Service certificate. Namely, U.S. postal stamp which was affixed to Obama's Selective Service certificate contained only two digits "80" , while other documents from 1980 showed a 4 digit postal stamp "1980".

71. Additionally Taitz forwarded to Donahoe a video-tape with presentation by Sheriff Joseph Arpaio of Maricopa county Arizona, showing how Obama's selective service certificate was forged and how 2008 postal stamp was cut in half, reversed and used as 1980 stamp with only two digits "80" .

Donahoe had in front of him evidence of the most serious crime being committed: most serious breach of the U.S. national Security and use by a foreign national a forged U.S. postal stamp in order to get into the position of the U.S. President.

Donahoe became criminally complicit when he took no action and covered up this crime.