
Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ.
29839 Santa Margarita Parkway, Ste. 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688
Ph 949-683-5411 F949-766-7603
Orly.Taitz@gmail.com
CA Bar License 223433
In propria Persona in MS



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON DIVISION

DR. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ	)	CASE# 3:12-cv-280-HTW-LRA
BRIAN FEDORKA	)	
LAURIE ROTH	)	
LEAH LAX	)	
TOM MacLERAN	)	
	)	
V	)	
	)	
DEMOCRAT PARTY OF MISSISSIPPI,	)	
SECRETARY OF STATE OF MISSISSIP	PI)	
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA	)	
OBAMA FOR AMERICA	)	
NANCI PELOSI	)	
DR. ALVIN ONAKA	)	
LORETTA FUDDY	)	
MICHAEL ASTRUE	)	
JANE DOES, JOHN DOES 1-100	)	

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF A SWORN AFFIDAVIT BY SHERIFF JOSEPH M. ARPAIO DEEMING BARACK OBAMA'S BIRTH CERTIFICATE, SELECTIVE SERVICE CERTIFICATE AND SOCIAL SECURITY CARD TO BE FORGERIES

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT AND REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY EVIDENTUARY

Taitz et al v Obama et al 06.15.2012 Request for judicial notice of Arpaio's affidavit and response 1

## HEARING DUE TO EVIDENCE OF FORGERY AND FRAUD IN DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEFENDANTS

I. MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF A SWORN AFFIDAVIT
BY SHERIFF JOSEPH M. ARPAIO DEEMING BARACK
OBAMA'S BIRTH CERTIFICATE, SELECTIVE SERVICE
CERTIFICATE AND SOCIAL SECURITY CARD TO BE
FORGERIES

Under FEDERAL Rules of Civil Procedure §201 c(2) Plaintiff Dr Orly Taitz ESQ, hereinafter "Taitz", requests this honorable court to take a judicial notice of the sworn affidavit by Sheriff Joseph Arpaio attesting to the fact that the copy of the long form birth certificate for Barack Hussein Obama, II, (Hereinafter "Obama") posted by the White House on the White House web site, as well as Mr. Obama's selective service card and Social Security card, represent forgeries. Plaintiff is not seeking this honorable court to issue a judicial notice, deeming those documents to be indeed forgeries, as a separate evidentiary hearing will be necessary, but rather a judicial notice of this affidavit being released by the Sheriff of Maricopa County Arizona.

#### **DECLARATION OF ORLY TAITZ**

I, Orly Taitz, am an attorney and an officer of the court in the state of California, 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals, 3<sup>rd</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals, Supreme Court of the United States and International Criminal Bar Panel, as well as admitted pro hac vice in multiple other courts around the country.

Taitz et al v Obama et al 06.15.2012 Request for judicial notice of Arpaio's affidavit and response 2

I attest that attached copy of the affidavit of Sheriff Joe Arpaio, attesting to forgery in the birth certificate, selective is a true and correct copy issued by Sheriff Joe Arpaio on June 12, 2012, in Maricopa County AZ.

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

06.15.2012

# II. PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT

Plaintiff respectfully submits this opposition to defendant's motion to supplement and requests an emergency evidentiary hearing to be scheduled by this honorable court, as defendants submitted forged documents, claiming those to be true and correct copies of Barack Hussein Obama's true and correct typewritten original birth certificate, reflecting his birth in Kapiolani hospital in Hawaii and kept on file since 1961.

Defendants herein submitted to this court a copy of an alleged long form birth certificate for Barack Obama and requested from this court a judicial notice of this "document". This was done by attorneys Begley and Tepper after Plaintiff Taitz submitted to this court the first amended complaint and multiple other pleadings containing a mountain of evidence showing Barack Hussein Obama

Taitz et al v Obama et al 06.15.2012 Request for judicial notice of Arpaio's affidavit and response 3

(hereinafter "Obama") using forged documents, among them a forged birth certificate, forged selective service certificate, fraudulently obtained Social Security number and a name not legally his.

Taitz submitted to this court sworn affidavits of senior deportation officer John Sampson, licensed investigator Susan Daniels, "Adobe Illustrator" expert Felicito Papa, scanning and copying machines expert Douglas Vogt and others.

Additionally Taitz presented this court with the transcript of press conference by sheriff Joseph Arpaio and a videotape of the court hearing in <u>Farrar et al v Obama</u>

CE-1215136-60-MALIHI Administrative Court State of Georgia.

Aforementioned affidavits attested to the fact that the vital records not only represent forgeries, but more importantly, the stamp of the registrar, Alvin T. Onaka, (Hereinafter "Onaka") and date stamp on the "document" in question were imported from another document and were located on a different layer, when the document was opened in "Adobe Illustrator" program. The security paper was applied after the document was prepared, which was an additional sign of forgery. Based on all of the above, the only way to authenticate the alleged copy was an expert examination of the original document on file since 1961 and the corresponding microfilm. It was clear that authentication by the same employee, Alvin T. Onaka, who is a co-defendant in this case of racketeering, fraud and forgery, would be self serving, biased and with no evidentiary value.

Taitz filed a motion for sanctions, as attorneys Begley and Tepper sought a judicial notice of Obama's alleged long form birth certificate, even though they had in front of them affidavits of senior deportation officer John Sampson, licensed investigator Daniels, Adobe Illustrator expert Papa, scanning machines expert Vogt, all attesting to the fact that the alleged birth certificate was a forgery. Begley and Tepper intended to use judges of this court as a tool in legitimizing Obama's forged identification papers.

In response, Begley and Tepper sought to supplement their response by retroactively seeking some validation from an official in Hawaii, registrar Alvin T. Onaka, who was complicit in the forgery and uttering of forged documents in the first place.

Taitz opposes this request to supplement the response for following reasons:

1. a request for certification, sent by Tepper and Begley to Onaka, was done retroactively, as means to cover up, after a request for sanctions and bar complaints against them were already filed. This retroactive request is irrelevant to the fact that these two attorneys attempted to use this court for legitimating of forged records, while they had in front of them a mountain of evidence, showing such records to be forged.

- 2. Onaka's response is self serving, as Onaka is a suspect and a defendant in this suit for racketeering with predicate crimes of fraud and uttering of forged documents.
- 3. Since evidence shows that the alleged copy of Obama's long form birth certificate is a forgery, the only way to ascertain, whether Obama has any valid identification papers, is to have an expert examination of the original 1961 birth certificate and the original microfilm, allegedly kept on file.

# MOTION/ REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY EVIDENTIARY HEARING FOR EXPERT EXAMINATION OF THE ORIGINAL BIRTH CERTIFCATE AND ORIGINAL MICROFILM USED BY DEFENDANT ONAKA TO ISSUE CERTIFICATION

- 1. Taitz incorporates all of the prior paragraphs as if fully pled herein.
- 2. Attorneys Begley and Tepper submitted to this court a certification from registrar Alvin T. Onaka, where Onaka is stating that this court has tangible interest in getting information about original Obama's records. Based on affidavit of Sheriff Joseph M. Arpaio of Maricopa county, AZ and other affidavits submitted in this case, the alleged copy of the long form birth certificate of Obama is a computer generated forgery. If registrar Onaka is claiming that this forgery corresponds with documentation on file, the only reasonable explanation is that the only thing Onaka has on file, is another copy of the same computer generated

forgery, which was created sometime after 2004, but not a genuine birth certificate created as a result of any hospital records from Kapiolani Hospital in 1961.

- 3. As Onaka admits that this court has tangible interest in getting vital records of Obama, and due to the fact that only forgery was submitted so far, it is imperative for this court to schedule an emergency evidentiary hearing for an expert examination of the book of records containing alleged original long birth certificate of Obama, as well as the original microfilm containing a copy of the original birth certificate. As Onaka submitted verification to this court and Obama made alleged birth certificate public, Onaka would be prevented from claiming privacy and refusing to present the original documents he used as a basis for his certification, submitted to this court by Begley and Tepper.
- 4. Scheduling such emergency evidentiary hearing is imperative and justified as U.S. national security is at stake. We have a foreign national, Barack Hussein Obama, occupying the position of the President of the United States of America and Commander- in- Chief and seeking to be on the ballot during 2012 general election, extending his presidency for four more years, while using forged identification papers. Alvin. t. Onaka, Registrar of the Department of Health, subjected himself to the jurisdiction of this court by submitting his certification. Only an expert examination of the original book of records and the original

microfilm, allegedly used by Onaka would provide final answers to the questions of legitimacy of Obama's alleged long form birth certificate.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on all of the above, this court should take a judicial notice of June 12, 2012 sworn affidavit of Sheriff Joseph M. Arpaio of Maricopa county Arizona, attesting to forgery in the birth certificate, selective service certificate and Social Security number of Barack Obama. This court should schedule an emergency evidentiary hearing and subpoena Alvin T. Onaka, registrar of the department of Health in the state of Hawaii to appear before this court and produce the original book of records and original microfilm used by Onaka in order to issue May 31, 2012 verification of birth of Barack Hussein Obama, II, submitted to this court by attorneys Tepper and Begley on 06.06.2012

Date:

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

06.15.2012

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Yulia Yun, over 18 year old and not a party to the case attest that the correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on all of the parties of the case by mail/email on June 15, 2012.

Yulia Yun