

U.S. District Court District of Columbia Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 5/24/2010 at 6:43 PM and filed on 5/24/2010

Case Name: TAITZ v. OBAMA

Case Number: [1:10-cv-00151-RCL](#)

Filer: ORLY TAITZ

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 04/14/2010

Document Number: [30](#)

Docket Text: [SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM to re \[29\] Reply to opposition to Motion filed by ORLY TAITZ. \(Attachments: # \(1\) Exhibit 05.18.10 letter from SSA, # \(2\) Exhibit 05.19.10 Death threat\)\(TAITZ, ORLY\) 1:10-cv-00151-RCL Notice has been electronically mailed to: Alan Burch alan.burch@usdoj.gov ORLY TAITZ orly.taitz@gmail.com](#)

Dr. Orly Taitz, esq 29839 Santa Margarita Parkway, STE 100 Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688 Tel: (949) 683-5411; Fax (949) 766-7603 E-Mail: dr_taitz@yahoo.com UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Dr. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ, PRO SE § Plaintiff, HONORABLE ROYCE LAMBERTH § PRESIDING v. § **Civil Action: 10-151 RCL § Barack Hussein Obama, § ADDENDUM TO REPLY TO § OPPOSITION TO MOTION § FOR RECONSIDERATION § § § Defendant. § § Your Honor, Part of the complaint in this action is a FOIA request. Mr. Obama, defendant in this case, via US attorney's office sought dismissal of a FOIA request due to the fact that the refusal of information request by the Social Security administration was not final. Your Honor initially agreed, stating that the response from the Social Security administration was not final. Until now Taitz could not obtain a response from SSN, stating that it is a final decision. Yesterday Taitz received a letter from Jonathan R. Cantor, Executive Director of Office of Privacy and Disclosure of the Social Security Administration dated and signed May 18, 2010. (Exhibit 1 Letter and envelope) As a stroke of providence the letter states "This is our final decision in this matter. If you still believe the decision is incorrect, however, the law permits you to seek review in a district court of the United States". As such an impediment was lifted, and the Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable court to grant her motion for reconsideration, so that the matter can be heard on the merits. Legal Argument In regards to the content of the response from the Social Security Administration, it relies on the finding of Department of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). It concedes following points:**

1. Under 5U.S.C. §552(b)(6) exemption from the FOIA Taitz can obtain Obama's application for the Social Security without Obama's consent, if other conditions are fulfilled.
2. Social security or court cannot consider the identity of the requester- therefore the court cannot deny Taitz request due to her lack of standing or lack of particularized injury
3. Social Security or courts cannot consider the purpose for which the person requests the information.
4. Social security administration is obligated to provide information, if there is a public interest in knowing how SSA administers the Social Security Act.
5. The only objection to Taitz request by the SSA, is their claim that "disclosure of records containing personal information about President Obama would not shed light on how the agency performs its statutory duties". This is the reason SSA provides as justification for their refusal to provide the information in question.
6. Taitz appeals this decision on following grounds:
7. Official on line records of Selective service confirm that Barack Obama has been using SS number 042-68-4425.
8. Information requested does not represent **personal information of Mr. Obama, but rather personal information of another individual born in 1890, who would have been 120 years old, who is most probably deceased, but whose death was either never reported to the Social Security Administration or was deleted from the records of the Social Security Administration prior or around 1986, and the number was unlawfully assumed by Mr. Obama.** According to previously submitted sworn affidavit of recently retired senior deportation officer with the department of Homeland Security Mr. John Sampson, this Social Security number was issued to another individual in the state of Connecticut between 1976-1977, while Obama never resided there.
9. One of the printouts provided by Mr. Sampson show Mr. Obama's origin in Equatorial Guiney, which is different from the official information stating his origin to be Hawaii and Kenya.
10. According to previously submitted sworn affidavit from Susan Daniels, licensed private investigator, certified by the Department of Homeland Security, this Social Security number was issued in the state of Connecticut between 1976-1977, to an individual born in 1890. As Mr. Obama never resided in CT and was not born in 1890, Ms. Daniels concludes that this is not his SS number. Ms. Daniels provided a follow up report, showing that according to multiple national databases there are numerous Social Security numbers connected to name Barack Obama and Michelle Obama, none of which were issued in Hawaii.
11. According to memoirs by Mr. Obama, such as Dreams From My Father Mr. Obama started working in Baskin Robbins store in Honolulu HI around 1975-1976, he needed SS number to obtain employment, and he would have had to obtain a SS number from HI, not CT.
12. According to a previously submitted sworn Affidavit by retired elite Scotland Yard officer of anti organized crime and anti communist proliferation units Mr. Neil Sankey, there are multiple records in National databases, showing Obama

using multiple Social Security numbers, which are either numbers of deceased individuals or numbers never assigned, none of which were issued in HI.

13. According to the sworn declaration by forensic expert Sandra Ramsey-Lines, Obama's short version certification of live birth provided to the public cannot be considered as genuine without forensic examination of the original birth certificate, which Obama refuses to unseal. Lack of valid original birth certificate with the name of the doctor and the name of the hospital and signatures, could attest to the foreign birth and could explain the need to seek SS numbers of deceased individuals and numbers never assigned.
14. Madeleine Payne Dunham, Obama's maternal grandmother, used to volunteer in Oahu circuit court probate department, where she was able to obtain SS numbers of deceased individuals. Additionally, both Ms. Payne and Obama's mother Ann Dunham(Obama, Soetoro) worked as loan officers and had an opportunity to view SS numbers of others.
15. As the public has a right to know how SSA performs its statutory duties, the public has a right to know how Obama obtained these SS numbers, and see the original application for SS number 042-68-4425, as well as other numbers used by Obama according to National databases.
16. Without such disclosure the public will have no trust and confidence that SSA properly performs its statutory duties, specifically in light of the fact that an individual occupying the highest office in the land, could get there using Social Security numbers of others.
17. Public has a right to know, what happens to estates of individuals, who are deceased, and whose death was never reported.
18. The public has a right to know if this breach of SSA is limited to Obama, or whether it is widespread.

m. Taitz would venture to submit to the court, that there **was never a more justified need for a disclosure**, as there is in this case, with a SSN wrongfully assumed and used by a President and Commander in Chief.

1. Taitz will be willing to consent to have initial discovery under seal and in camera.
 1. Taitz is requesting discovery to be done expeditiously, as she is continuously subjected to death threats Exhibit 2, which would not stop, as long as this mystery exists and there is an incentive for some to intimidate attorneys seeking answers. Taitz has a family and three children, which makes this matter more urgent.

Wherefore, Taitz respectfully requests this Honorable court to grant her motion for reconsideration. /s/ DR ORLY TAITZ
 ESQ By: _____ Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq. (California Bar 223433) Attorney for the Plaintiffs
 29839 Santa Margarita Parkway ste 100 Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688 Tel.: 949-683-5411; Fax: 949-766-7603 E-
 Mail: dr_taitz@yahoo.com **PROOF OF SERVICE** I CERTIFY THAT TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ABOVE
 PLEADINGS WERE SERVED on 05.24.10. on Alan Burch, Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Columbia
 555 4th str.,N.W. Washington D.C. 20530 VIA ELECTRONIC FILING /s/Orly Taitz Dr. Orly Taitz Esq 29839 Santa
 Margarita PKWY Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688



SOCIAL SECURITY

Refer to:
S9H: AD5672

May 18, 2010

Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq.
29839 Santa Margarita Parkway
Suite 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

Dear Dr. Taitz:

This letter is in response to your March 15, 2010, appeal of the Social Security Administration's (SSA) Freedom of Information Officer's decision that we cannot release information from the records of President Barack Obama to you. After considering all of the facts of the case, I agree with the SSA Freedom of Information Officer's decision to withhold the requested information.

Without a valid consent from President Obama, we cannot comply with your request. The records you requested are subject to the restrictions on disclosure contained in the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)). The only exception that might permit us to disclose these records to you without consent would be the exception for disclosure required by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552).

Exemption 6 of the FOIA does not require agencies to disclose information that would be a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy (See 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6)). In considering whether this exemption applies to records, agencies must balance the public interest in disclosure against the privacy interest of the person whose records you are requesting. The Supreme Court set out certain guiding principles for such determinations in Department of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989).

According to the Supreme Court case cited above, the only public interest that agencies should consider is whether disclosure of the records would shed light on the way an agency performs its statutory duties. We may not consider the identity of the requester or the purpose for which the person requests the information. While there clearly is a public interest in knowing how SSA administers the Social Security Act, disclosure of records containing personal information about President Obama would not shed light on how the agency performs its statutory duties. Therefore, disclosure of such personal information would be a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, and the FOIA exempts the records from disclosure.

Page 2 – Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq.

This is our final decision in this matter. If you still believe the decision is incorrect, however, the law permits you to seek review in a district court of the United States.

Sincerely,



Jonathan R. Cantor
Executive Director
Office of Privacy and Disclosure

