

1
2
3 grand jury presentments and indictments, Obama refused to provide any vital records
4 that would be acceptable in any court of law.

5 Respondent – Barack Hussein Obama, hereinafter “Obama”, Acting President of
6 the United States and Commander in Chief, who refused to present in any court of
7 law or to the public any vital records that would show his eligibility as for US
8 presidency based on Article 2, section 1 of the Constitution, as one born in the
9 United States to two citizen parents without allegiance to any other sovereignties.
10 From birth and until now Mr. Obama had citizenship and allegiance to three other
11 nations: Great Britain, Kenya and Indonesia.

12 Standing

13 Taitz is an attorney and has submitted request to Attorney General Eric Holder
14 and US attorney for the District of Columbia Jeffrey Taylor to file Quo Warranto, to
15 ascertain Obama’s legitimacy for presidency . After 9 months of waiting she did not
16 receive any response from either Attorney General or US attorney for the district of
17 Columbia. She is seeking a ex-relator status to proceed with Quo Warranto. As Taitz
18 tried to ascertain Obama’s legitimacy as an attorney, representing her clients, she
19 was subjected to vicious attacks coming from the media acting as regime official
20 propaganda, from Obama’s supporters and from some judiciary, acting as tools to
21 silence her and intimidate her into dropping her legal actions. She was subjected to
22 numerous death threats, tampering with her car, when a fumes emissions hose was
23 disconnected and hot combustible fumes were going back to the engine, as she was
24 driving with her three children in the car. Several convicted criminals and document
25 forgers were hired by someone and used in concert to submit perjured affidavits to
26 court and to forge her signature, in an attempt to influence the judiciary and
27 undermine her in the eyes of the community and undermine her law license. Her
28 foundation web site was repeatedly hacked and destroyed. Her paypal account was
tampered with. On 01.21.09. her case Lightfoot v Bowen was erased from the docket

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

of the Supreme Court of the United States only two days before it was supposed to be heard in conference by all nine justices. For a year and a half after repeated complaints to law enforcement she could not get any assistance or relief from the the law enforcement. When she brought two legal actions in the Middle District of Georgia on behalf of the members of the US military, as a form of intimidation and retaliation, she was sanctioned \$20,000. Taitz is seeking not only verification of Obama’s legitimacy under Quo Warranto, but financial compensation for damages suffered as well as compensation for the severe emotional distress.

Here come the plaintiff Dr. Orly Taitz ESQ and alleges, that District of Columbia jurisdiction allows Quo Warranto ex relator status in the name of the United States against a person who within the District of Columbia usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises, a franchise conferred by the United States, civil and military”. D.C. Code §§16-35-1-3503.

The plaintiffs have filed both with the Attorney General Eric Holder and the US Attorney Jeffrey A. Taylor and his successor Channing Phillips a request for Quo Warranto in March and April of 2009 respectively. Exhibit 1, copies of the Certified Mail receipts, showing that those were received. Hundreds of concerned citizens have called the Department of justice demanding a response to Quo Warranto submission. No response was received for ten months. Letters, e-mails, faxes went unanswered. Employees of the justice department were slamming phones in the face of the citizens calling and urging a response, even when those calls came from high ranking officers of US military. This game of hide and seek by the Attorney General Holder and US attorneys played with the plaintiffs and their counselor is infantile at best and treasonous at worst, as National Security is on the line. Recent near tragedy of NorthWest 253, slaughter of CIA agents and tragedy at Fort Hood are only a few reminders of how dangerous it is to have a Big Question Mark with numerous stolen

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and fraudulent social security numbers sitting in the position of the President and Commander in Chief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant Leave of Court to file Quo Warranto as ex-relator in the name of the United States of America against Barack Hussein Obama, President of the United States case.

Writ of Quo Warranto

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

I. What is Respondent Obama’s standard and burden of proof of his birthplace under Quo Warranto and ethical duties? - Considering Obama’s first cousin Raela Odinga, Prime Minister of Kenya, sealed alleged records of Obama’s birth in Mombasa; while the State of Hawaii holds Obama’s “original” sealed birth records, **allows registration of births out of State, allows registration based on a statement of one relative only without any corroborating evidence and seals original birth records.**

II. Does the State of Hawaii’s withholding Respondent’s Obama’s original birth records by privacy laws breach the U.S. CONST. by obstructing constitutional rights duties of the People to vote, and State and Federal election officers to challenge, validate & evaluate qualifications of presidential candidates based on legally acceptable and not fraudulent records and the President Elect., per U.S. CONST. art. II § 1, art. VI, & amend. XX § 3?

III. Does the restrictive qualification for President of “natural born citizen” over

1
2
3 “citizen” include allegiance to the U.S.A. from birth without any foreign allegiance,
4 as required of the Commander in Chief in time of war to preserve the Republic,
5 including birth within the jurisdiction of the U.S.A. to parents who both had U.S.
6 citizenship at that birth, and having retained that undivided loyalty?

7 IV. Does birth to or adoption by a non-citizen father or mother incur foreign
8 allegiance sufficient to negate being a “natural born citizen” and disqualify a
9 candidate from becoming President?

10 V. Having attained one’s majority, do actions showing divided loyalty with
11 continued allegiance to the foreign nationality of one’s minority evidence foreign
12 allegiance sufficient to disqualify one from being a “natural born citizen” with
13 undivided loyalty to the U.S.A., such as campaigning for a candidate in a foreign
14 election, or traveling on a foreign passport?

15 VI. Does a presidential candidate or President Elect by default fail to
16 qualify under U.S. CONST., art. II § 2 and amend. XX, § 3, if they neglect their
17 burden to provide State or Federal election officers *prima facie* evidence of each of
18 their identity, age, residence, and natural born citizenship, sufficient to meet
19 respective State or Federal statutory standards?

20 VII. Do candidates for office disqualify themselves if they seek office under
21 a birth name differing from a name given by adoption, or vice versa, when they
22 neglect to provide election officers *prima facie* evidence of legal changes to their
23 name, or if they neglect to legally change their name?

24 VIII. Does a President elect fail to qualify through breach of ethical
25 disclosure duties, and obstruction of election officers’ constitutional duties to
26 challenge, validate and evaluate qualifications for President, by withholding or
27 sealing records evidencing identity, age, residency, or allegiance, or by claiming
28 privacy and opposing in court efforts by Electors, election officers, or the People to
obtain and evaluate such records?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IX. Does misprision by Federal election officers cause a President Elect to fail to qualify, if they neglect or refuse to challenge, validate, or evaluate qualifications of Electors or a President Elect, being bound by oath to support the Constitution and laws, after citizens provided information challenging those qualifications via petitions for redress of grievance, or by law suits?

X. To uphold its supremacy and inviolability, and to preserve the Republic, does the U.S. Constitution grant standing to Citizens to bring suit or quo warranto over negligence, obstruction, misprision, or breach of constitutional duties, and protect the People's rights?

Here come the plaintiffs/ ex-relators in the name of the United States of America praying this Honorable Court issue Quo Warranto writ against Barack Hussein Obama, President of the United States and Commander in Chief.

Ex Relators are seeking Quo Warranto under District of Columbia Codes §§16-3501-16-3503 which provides for the "Writ of Quo Warranto to be issued in the name of the United States of America against a person who within the District of Columbia usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises, a franchise conferred by the United States or a public office of the United States, civil or military". The ex-relators assert that respondent Obama has indeed usurped the franchise of the President of the United States and the Commander in Chief of the United States Military forces due to his ineligibility and non-compliance with the provision of the Article 2, Section 1, Clause 5 of the Constitution of the United

1
2
3 States that provides that the President of the United States has to be a Natural Born
4 Citizen for the following reasons:

5 The legal reference and legal definitions used by the framers of the
6
7 Constitution was the legal treatise “*The Law of Nations*” by Emer De Vattel as
8
9 quoted and referenced in the Article 1, Section 8. *The Law of Nations* defines
10
11 “...Natural Born Citizens, are those in the country, of parents who are citizens. As
12
13 the society cannot exist and perpetuate itself otherwise than by the children of the
14
15 citizens, those children naturally follow the conditions of their fathers, and succeed
16
17 to all their rights.” Book 1, Chapter 19, §212. In his book *Dreams From my Father*
18
19 as well as on his web site *Fight the Smears* respondent Obama admitted to the fact
20
21 that his father was never a US citizen, but rather a British citizen from a British
22
23 colony of Kenya and based on British Nationality act respondent Obama was a
24
25 British citizen at birth and a Kenyan citizen from age 2 on December 12, 1961 when
26
27 Kenya became an independent nation. As such, for the reason of his allegiance to
28
foreign nations from birth respondent Obama never qualified as a Natural Born
citizen.

24 In spite of some 100 legal actions filed and 12 Citizen Grand Jury
25
26 presentments and indictments Respondent Obama due to his ineligibility never
27
28 consented to unseal any prima facie documents and vital records that would confirm
his legitimacy for presidency.

1
2
3 The state of Hawaii statute 338-5 allows one to get a birth certificate based on
4 a statement of one relative only without any corroborative evidence from any
5 hospital. Respondent Obama refused to unseal a birthing file (labor and delivery file)
6 evidencing his birth from the Kapiolani Hospital where he recently decided, that he
7 was born. Similarly, respondent Obama refused to consent to unseal his original birth
8 certificate from the Health Department in the state of Hawaii. The original birth
9 certificate is supposed to provide the name of the hospital, name of the attending
10 physician and signatures of individuals in attendance during birth. As such there is
11 no verifiable and legally acceptable evidence of his birth in the state of Hawaii.
12
13
14

15 Circa 1995 Respondent Obama has made an admission in his book *Dreams*
16 *from My Father* that he has a copy of the original birth certificate, when describing a
17 certain article about his father he write "...I discovered this article, folded away
18 among my birth certificate and old vaccination forms..." In spite of the fact that
19 respondent Obama has a copy of his original birth certificate, he released for public
20 consumption only a COLB, an abbreviated certification of life birth which was
21 issued in 2007 and does not provide any verifying information, such as name of the
22 hospital and name of the attending physician and signatures, which infers that he
23 knows that he is not eligible and actively trying to obfuscate the records in order to
24 usurp US presidency. An affidavit from one of the most prominent forensic
25 document experts, Sandra Ramsey Lines, previously submitted to this court, states
26
27
28

1
2
3 that authenticity of COLB and inference of the US birth cannot be ascertained based
4 on COLB alone without examining the original birth certificate in Hawaii, that
5 respondent Obama refuses to unseal and present in court and to the public at large.
6

7 As respondents schools records from Indonesia, previously submitted, show
8 him the citizen of Indonesia under the name of Barry Soetoro, and there is no
9 evidence of legal name change upon his repatriation from Indonesia, there is a high
10 likelihood of the scenario whereby the respondent was sworn in as a president not
11 only illegitimately due to his allegiance to three foreign nations, but also under a
12 name that was not his legal name at the time of inauguration and swearing in as the
13 president.
14
15

16 Affidavits from licensed private investigators Neil Sankey and Susan Daniels,
17 previously submitted to this court, show that according to national databases
18 respondent Obama has used as many as 39 different social security numbers, none of
19 which were issued in Hawaii, which in itself is an evidence of foreign birth. Most
20 egregious is the fact that the respondent has used for most of his life in Somerville
21 Massachusetts, Chicago, Illinois and currently in the White House SSN XXX-XX-
22 4425, which was issued in the state of Connecticut between 1976-1979 and assigned
23 to an individual born in 1890, who would have been 120 years old, if he would be
24 alive today. Respondent never resided in the state of Connecticut and he is clearly
25 not 120 years old. There is such a high probability of criminal acts of identity theft
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and social security fraud committed by the respondent that the undersigned requests this Honorable court to use its inherent powers to order Sua Sponte an evidentiary hearing on this particular issue for possible criminal prosecution of identity theft and social security fraud, as the respondent has submitted himself to the jurisdiction of this Honorable court and can be brought to a separate evidentiary hearing to ascertain if fraud was perpetrated upon the court by assertion of false identity, even if the underlying case is not heard or closed for one reason or another. The undersigned requests to bar the US attorney's office from representing the respondent in such hearing based on US Code 44 Section 22 and due to obvious inherent conflict of interest.

Wherefore the plaintiffs ex-relators in the name of the United States of America are requesting this Honorable Court to issue a writ of Quo Warranto against a respondent Barack Hussein Obama and order an evidentiary hearing whether fraud upon the court was committed and whether criminal charges should be brought against the respondent for fraud, identity theft and social security fraud.

/s/ DR ORLY TAITZ ESQ
By: _____
Dr. Orly Taitz, Esq. (California Bar 223433)
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
29839 Santa Margarita Parkway ste 100
Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688
Tel.: 949-683-5411; Fax: 949-766-7603
E-Mail: dr_taitz@yahoo.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned Orly Taitz, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that on this, 01.06.2010, I provided electronic copies of the Plaintiffs’ above-and-foregoing Notice of Filing to all of the following non-party attorneys whose names were affixed to the “STATEMENT OF INTEREST” who have appeared in this case in accordance with the local rules of the Central District of California, to wit:

ROGER E. WEST roger.west4@usdoj.gov (designated as lead counsel for President Barack Hussein Obama on August 7, 2009)

DAVID A. DeJUTTE
FACSIMILE (213) 894-7819

DONE AND EXECUTED ON THIS 01.06.2010

/s/Orly Taitz

Dr. Orly Taitz Esq
29839 Santa Margarita PKWY
Rancho Santa Margarita CA 92688